Six Cases of Leukemia Discovered at Mass Surveys of Nagasaki Atomic Bomb Survivors *1
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1) Mass screening examinations were conducted on atomic bomb survivors in Nagasaki from 1954 to 1957. We found six cases of leukemia. 2) Five cases out of these six were chronic granulocytic leukemia and one was acute myeloblastic leukemia. 3) Three cases out of five chronic granulocytic leukemia are considered to have been in an early stage of this disease. Each of these cases showed leukocytosis, increase of basophils and a small number of immature cells in the peripheral blood. Decreased leucocyte alkaline phosphatase and increased leukocyte catalase activity and increased basophils are important findings to be emphasized.

Ionizing radiations have been assumed as leukemogenic agents in man as well as experimental animals based upon: (a) an increased incidence of leukemia in the population exposed to A-bombs in Hiroshima and Nagasaki (4-9), (b) observations by Court Brown and Doll upon leukemia in cases of ankylosing spondylitis with therapeutic doses of x-rays (10), (c) Simpson's research on children with therapeutic doses of x-rays for enlargement of the thymus gland (11) and (d) various reports on the incidence of leukemia in x-ray laboratory workers.

At the present time, individual cases of radiation-induced leukemia can not be differentiated clinically or histo-pathologically from cases of “spontaneous” leukemia. However, in order to explain the radiation leukemogenesis in man it is important to analyse and report the leukemic cases occurring in the irradiated population.

In 1954 we started a mass screening examination, with cooperation of the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission in Nagasaki City and found one case of early chronic leukemia. As the mass survey continued over the years we have found a total of six cases of leukemia by the end of 1957, which are reported here in detail.

---

*1 Reported at the 20th General Meeting of Japan Hematology Society on March 30, 1958
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CASE REPORT

Case I. T.I. A 12-years-old junior high school boy.

He was exposed to the A-bomb in a one-storied wooden house located at 1406 meters from the hypocenter at the age of 3 years. He showed no acute radiation symptoms although he had a laceration of his head. His development and growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient, Sex</th>
<th>T. I.</th>
<th>H. N.</th>
<th>K. T.</th>
<th>S. I.</th>
<th>M. H.</th>
<th>T. M.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at Time of Bomb</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Diagnosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed Distance (meters)</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>2727</td>
<td>6220</td>
<td>2585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Radiation Symptom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Leukemia</td>
<td>chr. myelo.</td>
<td>chr. myelo.</td>
<td>chr. myelo.</td>
<td>chr. myelo.</td>
<td>chr. myelo.</td>
<td>acute myelo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9/54</td>
<td>2/56</td>
<td>12/56</td>
<td>12/57</td>
<td>11/57</td>
<td>12/57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen (cm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph Nodes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (%)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC (x10^4)</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reticulocyte (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (x10^4)</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>388,000</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>48,200</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential Neutro.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promyelo.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelo.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamyelo.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band.</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg.</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph.</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were normal and he did not suffer from any other specific diseases. When seen on September 8, 1954 during the mass survey (the number of the examined survivors was 3,333), it was found leukocytosis (22,600 per cu.mm.). He had no complaints but a firm spleen tip was palpated just under the left costal margin. There was found mild anemia and leukocyte differential showed an increase of neutrophils with nuclear shift to the left and increased number of basophils. Neither myeloblasts nor promyelocytes were found. An obvious increase of promyelocytes were found in the bone marrow and the patient was considered to be in the
### Table 2.
Myelogram on the First Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>T. I.</th>
<th>H. N.</th>
<th>K. T.</th>
<th>S. I.</th>
<th>M. H.</th>
<th>T. M.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9/54</td>
<td>2/56</td>
<td>3/57</td>
<td>12/57</td>
<td>12/57</td>
<td>1/58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleated Cell Counts</td>
<td>$35 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$36 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$84 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$21 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloblasts</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promyelo.</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelo.</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamyelo.</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band.</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg.</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promyelo.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelo.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamyelo.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seg.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baso.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proerythroblasts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baso.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baso.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoides</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Cells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megakaryocytes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

early stage of chronic granulocytic leukemia. He was treated with Nitromin, 6MP and Myleran with favorable results and at present he is doing well in complete remission. As shown in Fig. 1, leukocyte catalase was high and alkaline phosphatase was low.

**Case II. A 13-years-old junior high school boy.**

At the age of 3 years he was exposed in a wooden house located 1.099 meters from the hypocenter. Although he had mechanical injuries nearly all over the body, he showed no acute radiation symptoms such as epilation, bleeding and stomatitis. The other members of the family who were in the same house died. He felt exhausted after exertion sometimes since the summer of 1955. Leukocytosis (380,000 per cu.mm.) and splenomegaly were discovered at mass survey on February 10,1956 (the number of the examined survivors was 4049). The peripheral white cell differential showed a typical picture of chronic granulocytic leukemia.
Fig. 1. Clinical course of case 1 (T.I.).

Remarks: normal values of neutrophil alkaline phosphatase activity (N-APA) 14.2~40.8 (Mean 28.0±1.2).³
Remarks: normal values of leukocyte catalase activity (CAA) 0.74~1.66 (Mean 1.23±0.04).³

Fig. 2. Clinical course of case 2 (H.N.).

Remarks: normal values of neutrophil alkaline phosphatase activity (N-APA) 14.2~40.8 (Mean 28.0±1.2).³
Remarks: normal values of leukocyte catalase activity (CAA) 0.74~1.66 (Mean 1.23±0.04).³
The patient required a higher dosage for longer period than case 1, although he responded well to the treatment with 6 MP and Myleran. The duration of remission was also shorter as compared with case 1. He is now in complete remission and the spleen is not palpable. Values of leukocyte catalase and alkaline phosphatase are similar to those of case 1.

**Case III. K.T. A 15-year-old male factory worker.**

At the age of 14 years, he was exposed in open area 1924 meters from the hypocenter. His back was burned and he had fever but showed no epilation, bleeding or stomatitis. Leukocytosis (38,500 per cu.mm.) was discovered at the examination on December 20, 1956 (the number of the examined was 4049). At the same time very slight splenomegaly was also found. The peripheral blood smear exhibited a small number of immature leukocytes and an increase of basophils and myeloid proliferation was seen on myelogram and the patient was diagnosed as an early stage of chronic granulocytic leukemia. He responded well to 6 MP. We have had no subsequent direct contact with him but it was told that he had been working on a job without any difficulty. On August 2, 1957 he died in a sudden death due to the perforation of peptic ulcer. The diagnosis was confirmed by autopsy. WBC was about 50,000 per cu.mm. just before the death but the differential was unknown. Leukocyte catalase was in the normal range and alkaline phosphatase was low.

**Case IV. S.I. A 23-year-old male factory worker.**

At the age of 10 years, he was exposed at a distance of 2727 meters from the hypocenter. He had no trauma, burns or any acute radiation symptoms. Leukocytosis was found at the mass survey on December 17, 1957 (the number of the examined was 2870). He had no subjective complaints. Leukocyte count was 48,200 per cu.mm. and the leukocyte differential showed a small number of immature...
leukocytes and an increase of basophils. The bone marrow picture also showed a proliferation of immature myeloid cells. The effect of 6 MP and Myleran was satisfactory and he is now working as a laborer. Leukocyte catalase was increased slightly and alkaline phosphatase was in the normal range. There were no splenomegaly and hepatomegaly.

Case V. M.H. A 32-year-old male company employee.

At the age of 20 years, he was exposed in a two-storied wooden building, 6,220 meters from the hypocenter and showed no acute radiation symptoms. He suffered from pulmonary tuberculosis at the age of 25 years and a thoraco-plasty was performed at the age of 29 years. Leukocytosis (56,000 per cu.mm.) and moderate splenomegaly were discovered at the mass survey in October 1957 (simultaneously with Case IV). The leukocyte differential of the peripheral blood and bone marrow showed a typical picture of chronic granulocytic leukemia. He responded well to 6 MP and Myleran treatment and lives now in good condition. Leukocyte catalase was high and alkaline phosphatase was low.

Case VI. T.M. A 30-year-old married female.

At the age of 17 years, she was exposed in open area 2585 meters from the hypocenter. Her face and extremities were burned but she showed no acute radiation symptoms. At the age of 25 years she was hospitalized with pulmonary tuberculosis and a pleural decortication was performed. Severe anemia was found at the mass survey in October 1957 (simultaneously with Case IV and V). Leukopenia and thrombocytopenia and moderate splenomegaly were noticed at the same time. Although the percentage of immature leukocytes was very small in the peripheral blood, bone marrow showed a distinct myeloblastic proliferation. Blood transfusion
Fig. 5. Clinical course of case 5 (M.H.).

Fig. 6. Clinical course of case 6 (T.M.).
and prednisolone did not improve her condition and she expired on May 15, 1958. The diagnosis of acute granulocytic leukemia was confirmed at the autopsy.

DISCUSSION

There has been consistent agreement by many workers on the following points:

1. The incidence of leukemia among the atomic bomb survivors in Nagasaki and Hiroshima is significantly high.
2. The incidence is higher in the more closely exposed group.
3. The peak of incidence was in 1951 and 1952 and thereafter it seems to be decreasing. However, no one can dare to predict how long the leukemia incidence will continue to be high.

In 1954 Moloney and Lange reported two cases of subclinical leukemia found among the Hiroshima atomic bomb survivors. They pointed out:

1. An increase of basophils.
2. Leukocytosis associated with a small number of immature cells.
3. Disturbance of the red cell and platelet series.
4. Decreased values for leukocyte alkaline phosphatase.

Case I, III and IV in our report may be considered to have been in the early stage of chronic granulocytic leukemia. Each showed a slight degree of leukocytosis, increase of basophils and a small number of immature cells in the peripheral blood. Furthermore, the values of leukocyte alkaline phosphatase were decreased and leukocyte catalase were increased. These findings are consistent with Moloney's observation and seem to be very important for the diagnosis of early chronic granulocytic leukemia.

Five cases out of six were chronic granulocytic leukemia and only one case was acute granulocytic leukemia. This may be explained by the fact that the patients of acute leukemia usually visit doctors soon after its onset because of severity of their symptoms.

At the present time, it is impossible to determine whether these six cases were due to atomic bomb radiation or not. Of the six cases, Case V was exposed at a long distance (6,220 meters) from the hypocenter, so that the dose of radiation may be almost zero. However, there is no question that Case I, II and III who were exposed within 2,000 meters, received a significant dose and also Case IV and VI who were exposed at the distance of about 2,500 meters, presumably received a considerable dose even under 20 rads. Although it is impossible to compare the detection rate of leukemia among the exposed with that among the non-exposed in the mass survey, the detection rate of leukemia in our mass survey is very high as compared with the incidence of leukemia in Japan (20-30 per million population per year). Lewis reported a proportional relationship between radiation dose and the incidence of leukemia and recently Heyssel and Tomonaga described a linear relationship between the radiation air dose of the atomic bomb and the incidence of leukemia among survivors, who had been lightly shielded.

It is said that delayed effect of radiation may last for a lifetime. Therefore, it is necessary to examine the atomic bomb survivors with a long-term plan. The periodic mass survey is of importance to study the late effects of radiation as well as for better management of survivors.
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