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Adenomyoepithelioma of the Breast
A Case Report with an Immunohistochemical Study
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Adenomyoepithelioma is a rare primary tumor of the breast in women. It is characterized by a birecipient pattern consisting of both ductal and myoepithelial elements. We report here an additional case of adenomyoepithelioma in a 57-year-old woman. The aspiration cytology revealed atypical cell clusters, and the simple mastectomy was performed under the diagnosis of ductal carcinoma. Macroscopically, the tumor presented as a well-defined mass. Histologically, the tumor demonstrated the characteristic birecipient growth pattern consisting of ducts and a periductal proliferation of mainly polygonal neoplastic cells. Immunohistochemically, epithelial cells lining the glandular structures were strongly positive for cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA). Polygonal myoepithelial cells gave negative reactions with cytokeratin and EMA. Most polygonal myoepithelial cells were positive for α-smooth muscle actin (SMA) and S-100 protein. Our case was a representative example of a typical adenomyoepithelioma, but the cytological diagnosis needs a deep attention to avoid overdiagnosis.
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Introduction

Adenomyoepithelioma is a rare primary tumor of the breast in women. It consists of epithelial cells accompanied by myoepithelial cells. Both cell types are normally present in glandular tissue of the breast. It is characterized by a birecipient pattern consisting of both ductal and myoepithelial elements. In 1970, Hamperl 1) first described a primary breast adenomyoepithelioma. Since then, reported cases have frequently been presented as single case reports 2) or in small numbers 3) and occasionally in large series 4). We report here an additional case of adenomyoepithelioma of the mammary gland bearing distinctive birecipient differentiated features.

Case report

A 57-year-old woman noted a small mass in the lower interior quadrant of the right breast one month prior to admission. The mass was painless, and there had been no history of abnormal nipple discharge. The axillary lymph nodes were not enlarged. The aspiration cytology of the tumor revealed large and/or acinous cell clusters with nuclear atypia, and the cytologically class V was diagnosed. Simple mastectomy was performed for the diagnosis of ductal carcinoma. The resected tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin and was embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm thick were stained with hematoxylin & eosin. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC) method and antibodies for cytokeratin, EMA, SMA, and S-100 protein. The excisional specimen contained a well circumscribed tumor measuring 1.5x1.5cm. The cut surface was nodular and pale grayish. Microscopically, the
neoplasm had thick fibrous capsule and was composed of tumor cells arranged predominantly to form lobules separated by connective tissue septa (Fig 1). Each lobe consisted of bicellular growth pattern of ducts and a periductal proliferation of mainly polygonal neoplastic cells (Fig 2). Cuboidal and columnar epithelial cells with eosinophlic cytoplasm and conspicuous nucleolus were arranged to form ductal structure, or occasionally formed small nests (Fig 3). Polygonal cells with abundant eosinophlic cytoplasm proliferated in sheets and often surrounded the ducts. Round cells with abundant clear cytoplasm and round nuclei were intermingled with the eosinophilic cells (Fig 3).

By the immunohistochemical examination, many cuboidal and columnar epithelial cells lining the glandular structures were strongly positive for cytokeratin (Fig 4). Positive staining for EMA was observed at the luminal surface of epithelial cells, with lesser or absent staining in the cytoplasm (Fig 5). Polygonal and clear myoepithelial-like cells showed negative reactions for cytokeratin and EMA. Most polygonal and

Figure 1. The tumor with thick fibrous capsule is composed of tumor cells arranged predominantly to form lobules separated by connective tissue septa. Hematoxylin & eosin, x40

Figure 2. The tumor shows a bicellular growth pattern consisting of ducts and a periductal proliferation of mainly polygonal neoplastic cells. Hematoxylin & eosin, x100

Figure 3. Cuboidal and columnar epithelial cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm and conspicuous nucleolus are arranged to form ductal structure, or occasionally form small nests. Polygonal cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm proliferate in sheets and often surround the ducts. Clear cells with abundant hydropic cytoplasm and round nuclei are intermingled with the eosinophilic cells. Hematoxylin & eosin, x200

Figure 4. Cuboidal and columnar epithelial cells lining the glandular structures were strongly positive for cytokeratin. Cytokeratin, x200

Figure 5. Positive staining for EMA was at the luminal surfaces of epithelial cells, with lesser or absent staining of the cytoplasm. EMA, x200
positive staining for these proteins. Result of immunohistochemical staining is summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Immunohistochemical characteristics of adenomyoepithelioma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Epithelial cells</th>
<th>Myoepithelial cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-100 protein</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+: positive, -: negative

**Discussion**

Adenomyoepitheliomas appear to be formed by a proliferative process that includes a conspicuous contribution from the myoepithelium as well as the glandular epithelium. They frequently appear in the salivary glands and the skin. So far, a total of 123 cases have been described in the breasts of women. Only two cases have been described in men. The age of the patients ranged from 27 to 80 years (average 60 years). Patients with an adenomyoepithelioma of the breast usually present with a palpable painless mass. The grossly measured size of adenomyoepitheliomas has varied from 0.5 to over 5.0 cm, with a median size of 1.5 cm. With rare exceptions, the tumors have been described as well circumscribed, firm or hard; lobulation is often noted. Small cysts were observed in a few cases. Upon microscopic examination, the tumor can be found to be delineated by a true or pseudo-capsule and is composed of an epithelial and myoepithelial component. The epithelial component may form solid nests or ducts, cystic, trabecular, pseudo-papillary, or papillary structure. The epithelial cells usually have a cuboidal or cylindrical shape. Their cytoplasm is mostly eosinophilic, but can also be clear or amphophilic. A PAS-positive, diastase-resistant, secretion can be seen at the luminal side of the cytoplasm and/or in the lumen. The epithelial cells may also show apocrine features and may show squamous or mucinous metaplasia. Occasionally, a sebaceous cell population may be seen. Nuclear atypia has been described. Mitoses are usually scanty, but a mitotic count of up to 10 per 10 HPF has been
The myoepithelial component is arranged surrounding the epithelial component and can form solid strands, trabeculae, or even larger sheets. The myoepithelial cells are embedded in or surrounded by a basal lamina. They are usually polygonal or spindle shaped. Their cytoplasm is usually clear, but may also be eosinophilic, pale, pink, or amphophilic. PAS-positive, diastase-digestible, granular staining of the cytoplasm representing glycogen is often seen, especially in the cells with clear cytoplasm. Mitotic counts ranging from 0 to 16 per 10 HPF have been reported, and nuclear atypia may be present as well. There are only a few descriptions of fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB). FNAB of adenomyoepithelioma is sometimes difficult to distinguish from a malignancy, because atypical/suspicious cytology is occasionally encountered.

This case showed cell clustering and nuclear atypia by FNAB. But two cell pattern was hardly recognized with Papanicolaou staining. Retrospectively Giemsa staining clearly demonstrated a two-cell pattern in FNAB (Fig.7). To avoid misreading of atypical cell cluster as malignant, Giemsa staining is recommended. Immunohistochemistry is also helpful in distinguishing the two cell types (Table 1).

Most of the reported adenomyoepitheliomas were benign that can be treated by local excision. Local recurrence was rare and may be attributed to incomplete excision. The multinodular character of the lesion and peripheral intraductal extension are contributory factors. The metastases have been described in the literature. The sites of metastases were axillary lymph nodes, lungs, brain, bones, and thyroid gland. Because of potential for local recurrence and subsequent malignant progression, adenomyoepitheliomas should preferably be treated by wide local excision. Although this case underwent simple mastectomy, case accumulation is important to establish surgical treatment.

We reported here a representative example of adenomyoepithelioma, and the cytological diagnosis is sometimes difficult to differentiate a malignancy.
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