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Abstract

Applying design patterns to design of an application makes it robust against issues related to extensibility and maintainability. However, currently, a designer must apply structures and constraints of design patterns to an application by hand, therefore mistakes can occur often. We have proposed a notation using SGML for describing design patterns and a support system for design patterns. We aim at providing a source code generation support system based on the notation and system. There have been many researches on semi-automatic application generators using existing knowledge such as libraries based on algorithms and data structure, and classes packaged based on the object-oriented technology. When using design patterns for source code generation support, the same requirements for such semi-automatic application generators must be considered. The requirements are lessening codes to be written by users, and satisfying constraints without directing users’ attention to them.

In this paper, we consider the requirements and describe a design and implementation of the source code generation support system and results.

1. Introduction

Design patterns are design knowledge for solving issues related to extensibility and maintainability which are independent from problems concerned by an application. A design pattern is described in explanation texts and charts expressing its structure and behavior. Applying design patterns to design of an application makes it robust against the issues. However, currently, a designer must apply structures and constraints of design patterns to an application by hand, therefore mistakes can occur often.

We aim at promoting use of design patterns and have proposed a notation using SGML[1] for describing design patterns and a support system for using design patterns[2]. For promoting use of design patterns further, we aim at providing a source code generation support system from design patterns. The system not only supports integrating design patterns as design knowledge, but also aims at cooperating with application components in the distributed components repository which we have developed[3].

There have been many researches on semi-automatic application generators using existing knowledge. Several commercial development environments support integrating algorithm libraries and classes packaged based on the object-oriented technology, so as to lessen codes to be written by users. There are researches such as Draco[4] and GenVoca generators[5] which generates codes from abstract notations.

When using design patterns for source code generation support, the same issues as such semi-automatic application generators must be considered. The issues are, by using existing knowledge, how to lessen codes to be written by users, and how to satisfy constraints without directing users’ attention to them.

In this paper, in Section 2, we explain design patterns and PIML (Pattern Information Markup Language), which is a language we have proposed for describing a design pattern.
In Section 3, We consider which information can be generated from design patterns automatically, and which constrains in design patterns must be satisfied. Then, in Section 4, we design the source code generation support system. In Section 5, we present implementation of a prototype system and results. In Section 6, we mention related works, and in Section 7, we mention conclusions.

2. Design Patterns and PIML

Design patterns are descriptions about structures appeared in applications frequently for solving issues which are independent from application-dependent problems. A design pattern is expressed as a structure including several classes. Most of design patterns aim at constructing reusable structures in an application by decreasing dependency of parts of the application and increasing extensibility in future[6].

For example, Figure 1 is the Iterator pattern expressed in the same notation as [7]'s. The five boxes except the left bottom box express classes. If a class box is separated by a line, a bold word above the line is a class name and words below the line are method names. The left bottom box is a pseudo-code expressing a behavior of the method connected with it by a dotted line with a circle. Lines connecting the class boxes represent relationships. An arrow means reference and a dotted arrow means creation. A word above an arrow is a name of it. A line with a triangle in the middle of it is an inheritance relationship, and the class on the top of the line is a parent class and the class on the bottom of the line is a child class. If a name word is italic, the class or method is abstract.

The Iterator pattern generalizes aggregate objects as an abstract class Aggregate, and provides another abstract class for iteration as Iterator which is independent from Aggregate. Therefore, it is unnecessary to show an internal structure of each aggregate object to its client objects, and it becomes easier to update and exchange the aggregate object in future.

[7] is a catalogue book of design patterns. It includes 23 patterns such as the Iterator pattern and Composite pattern. In this book, a design pattern includes items as follows: “name,” “also known as,” “intent,” “motivation,” “applicability,” “structure,” “participants,” “collaborations,” “consequences,” “known uses,” “related pattern.”

Using design patterns for supporting design and understanding source codes is effective in software development. They must be described in an electric format providing functions for the use. We have proposed a language for describing design patterns using SGML[1] framework in [2]. We call the language PIML (Pattern Information Markup Language).

A PIML description of a design pattern consists of three parts. They are explanation texts such as motivation and availability, structure information such as constituent classes and relationships among them, and pseudo-codes expressing behaviors of classes. The explanation texts and structure information are described using SGML elements, and the pseudo-codes are described in a simple programming language designed by us originally. The reason of designing a simple programming language for describing the pseudo-codes is for using them for display as is, and using the pseudo-codes at source code generation.

The SGML elements are based on item names in [7]. Figure 2 indicates the correspondence of PIML description elements to items of a design pattern. The name of a design pattern is contained in the pattern element as an attribute. The items “intent” and “motivation” are enclosed by pairs of tags, (<intent>, </intent>) and (<motivation>, </motivation>). The class diagram expressing structure is converted into nested description in a pair of tags (<structure>, </structure>). Pseudo-codes also are contained in the structure element, but the details are omitted in the figure.

3. Source Code Generation from Design Patterns

We provide a source code generation support system for supporting design and supporting understanding source codes. It aims at using design patterns as components efficiently by automating to integrate design patterns into an application design as much as possible. It also aims at enabling users understand meanings of structures in source codes by relating them to design patterns which they are based on.

The source code generation support system must generate all source codes that can be generated automatically. Let us consider what is the information that can be generated automatically.

A constituent class and method of a design pattern can
correspond to several classes and methods in an application. In this research, we call the class and method respectively a role and operation, to distinguish them from a class and method in an application. We call generating more than one class or method from one role or one operation cloning.

The information which a design pattern provides is as follows.

1. Roles as constituent elements.
2. Operations belonging to each role.
3. Relationships among the roles.
4. Behaviors of the roles for performing functions as a design pattern.

The former three are structural information and are described as nested elements in a PIML document. The last one is behavioral information and is described as pseudo-codes in a PIML document.

A PIML document has the structural information and the behavioral information in a structure element. A structure element contains a relations element consisting of elements expressing relationships and a roles element consisting of role elements. A role element expressing a role contains an operation element consisting of operation elements. An operation element expressing an operation contains an args element consisting of arg elements and a pseudocode element expressing a pseudo-code. An arg element expresses an operation argument.

The code in Figure 3 is an extract from a PIML description of the Iterator pattern in Figure 1. The letters "..." in the code express omission. The Iterator pattern contains five roles (Aggregate, ConcreteAggregate, Iterator, Client, ConcreteIterator). However, Iterator, ConcreteIterator and Client are omitted because of limitation of space. As for the role Aggregate, its details are omitted for the same reason. A syslabel attribute of a role element is an identifier of the role, and an abstract attribute expresses whether the role becomes an abstract class. In the code, the two roles have identifiers "Aggregate" and "ConcreteAggregate" respectively, the Aggregate role is abstract and the ConcreteAggregate role is concrete.

The relations element in the code contains six relationships among the roles. There are four kinds of relationships in PIML, aggregate, reference, inheritance and creation, and they are expressed as elements. An aggregate element and a reference element contain an identifier as a syslabel attribute. All the kinds of relationship has a direction, that is, a start point...
and an end point. The start point is described in an origin attribute, and the end point is described in a target attribute. For example, in the code, a reference relationship named “target” has a start point role ConcreteIterator and an end point role ConcreteAggregate.

The ConcreteAggregate role has an operation named CreateIterator() containing a pseudo-code. There are five attributes in an operation element, in addition to a syslabel attribute for an identifier, an override attribute for an overriding relationship originated from inheritance, a constructor attribute as a flag whether the operation is a constructor, an access attribute for indicating an access level of the operation, and a return attribute for indicating a type of return value. For example, the CreateIterator() operation overrides on the same name operation in the parent role Aggregate, is not a constructor, is public and returns Iterator. The example pseudo-code in the pseudocode element expresses a behavior to generate an object of ConcreteIterator and return it as Iterator.

In addition to elements mentioned above, each of a role and an operation element contains a notes element for describing explanations of them. A structure element also contains a layout element for describing the layout information of roles used at displaying them. However, because these elements are not used for code generation, their details are omitted in this paper. The cloneables element and constituent elements of it are explained later.

Because functions of a design pattern do not provide solutions for application-dependent problems, the solutions must be provided by users. The structure information and behavioral information which a design pattern provides for adding extendibility and maintainability to an application can be converted into codes. However, the structure and algorithms for solving application-dependent problems must be described by users.

For example, in the Iterator pattern, classes can be generated from the five roles by replacing names of them, and codes in operations corresponding to CreateIterator() in the ConcreteAggregate role can be generated from its pseudo-code. However, other implementation codes must be provided by users, because the Iterator pattern does not provides it. For example, internal structure of classes generated form ConcreteAggregate and concrete operations, internal structure of classes corresponding to them and generated form ConcreteIterator, and implementation of operations such as first() in them.

The codes generated from pseudo-codes are not completely suitable to the target application. In other words, the behavior performed by the codes may have to be replaced by other functions or delegated to other objects. However, it is necessary to generate them, because they can be help for implementation by indicating behaviors in a design pattern as examples in the target programming language.

4. Design of the System

The structural and behavioral information described in a PIML document are parsed into a tree data structure called a Pattern Structure (PS). The tree data structure is used in a system which we have developed for authorizing and browsing design patterns[2]. The structure is designed using the Visitor pattern[7] to be traced by a converter separated from it. The separated converter is called a ConcreteVisitor in the Visitor pattern. A converter for instantiating a design pattern in the source code generation system is also designed as a ConcreteVisitor which can be replaced by the converter in the authoring and browsing system. It prompts users to input necessary information for conversion by tracing a tree of the target PS all along.

We design the generation process as follows. The details of data structures IPS (Instantiated Pattern Structure) and AC (Application Component) in the following process are explained in the following subsections.

1. Instantiating design patterns

   By giving names which are language-independent information, an IPS is generated from a PS.

2. Relating design patterns to an application

   An AC is generated from an IPS automatically. If necessary, concrete types and concrete implementation codes are added and changed.

3. Generating source codes

   Source codes are generated in the target programming language from an AC.

Figure 4 expresses the input and transformation of structures.

The design is based on the requirements described in the following subsections.

4.1. Satisfying Constraints

The followings are the constraints which should be satisfied by classes generated from a design pattern.

1. Relationships described in a design pattern

   The relationships among generated classes must correspond to the relationships among roles. Furthermore, if an operation is overridden because of an inheritance relationship, the corresponding methods must be overridden also.
The relationship constraint can be satisfied, by converting relationship information held in a PS into the generated structure correctly. A PS has overriding relationships for overridden operations, and the generated methods to be overridden is attached the same overriding relationships as operations.

2. Correspondence of identifiers

Identifiers of roles, operations and relationships in pseudo-codes and operation arguments must be replaced by names of classes, methods and variables corresponding to them. At the time, it is necessary to prevent confusing names of classes generated from a role.

For example, the confusion can occur when pseudo-codes have a method call to a role which is not related directly to the role containing the codes. When there are relationships among roles for identifiers included in pseudo-codes and operation arguments, they can be distinguished by referring to the generated relationships. However, when there are not relationships for identifiers, the confusion among identifiers generated them can occur.

To prevent the confusion of identifiers provided by unrelated roles, we provide a name space. A name space is a table to relate the unique names in a design pattern to the names of generated classes and methods. A name space is generated at cloning, and is added pairs of a name in a PS and a name in an IPS. A converter for code generation can obtain appropriate identifiers from the name space which the target belongs to.

For example, when cloning based on the Iterator pattern as Figure 5, two name spaces are generated as follows. (Iterator → Iterator, Aggregate

3. Cloning constraints

When instantiating a role or an operation as a class or a method, sometimes other roles and operations must be instantiated at the same time. For example, in the Iterator pattern, because there is a constraint that CreateIterator() operation in ConcreteAggregate must generate ConcreteIterator (Figure 5), when instantiating one of ConcreteAggregate and ConcreteIterator, it is necessary to instantiate the other.

This problem does not occur when a role or operation corresponds to only one class or method, but occur when a role or operation corresponds to several classes or methods. Therefore, in this research, the constraint is called a cloning constraint.

Because the Iterator pattern has one cloning constraint
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4.2. Preventing Confusion among Design Patterns

An application can include several design patterns. Then, a class can include several roles for different func-
tions as sets of methods. For modification later, it is neces-
sary to distinguish them to prevent confusion.

To distinguish them, we provide an intermediate struc-
ture called an Instantiated Design Pattern (IPS). We call
a structure containing an application information an Application Component (AC).
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and the cloning process itself is simple, effort and cau-
tion for maintaining consistency is not necessary so much. However, when there are several cloning con-
straints and cloning results based on a constraint af-
fect the later cloning (for example, the AbstractFac-
tory pattern[7]), much effort and caution for maintain-
ing consistency among them is necessary. Therefore, it is important for the system to guarantee the consist-
ency.

For solving this problem, first, we extend PIML to de-
scribe a cloning constraint. A cloning constraint can be inferred from relationships and extra constraints(they
must be defined by a design pattern author). In this re-
search, we introduce an element cloneable to de-
scribe a set of roles and operations which must be
cloned at the same time. For example, the example
constraint of the Iterator pattern mentioned above is
described as Figure 6.

A cloneable element contains roles and operations
to be cloned at the same time. Each role or opera-
tion is described in a celem element with its kind and
its name (for example, “role” and “ConcreteAg-
gregate”). A cloneables element can contain several cloneable elements, because there can be several constraints in a design pattern.

The system has several tables containing references to
classes and operations, for keeping results of cloning
as the candidates for the next cloning. By using the
tables, the system can manage the consistency among results of cloning, when there are several cloning con-
straints and they affect one another.

4.3. Converting an Abstract Description into a Con-
crete Language

Because a PIML description does not depend on a partic-
ular programming language, it is necessary to convert it into
codes in a target programming language. To generate con-
crete source codes, it is necessary to convert basic types in
PIML into types in the target programming language. And
it is necessary to convert one-to-many relationships into ag-
gregate classes or array types.

Furthermore, it is necessary to generate concrete codes
for behaviors. Pseudo-codes are descriptions of behaviors
in a design pattern, and has a simple grammar without arith-
metic operations and comparison operation. It is necessary
to convert the simple programming language to the gram-
mar of the target programming language.

The gap is solved when converting an IPS to an AC.

The basic types which can be described in a PIML doc-
ument are int, void and boolean. The system uses a
table to convert them for each programming language. In
addition to them, anytype can be described. Anytype is
to indicate a type which is not defined in a design pattern,
and is not a basic type either. Any classes in an application
can be set in the place of anytype. For one-to-many rela-
tionships, the system provides a candidate list of array types
and aggregate classes to enable users select.

Because the grammar of pseudo-codes consists of basic
elements, there are scarcely problems at converting them
into the target programming language. A special grammar
element is a forall statement to express a behavior to re-
peat certain operations for all child objects of the class the
generated codes belong to. For converting a forall state-
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The root node of an IPS has an identifier and is indepen-
dent from other IPSs. Therefore, it is possible to distinguish
one node of an IPS to several nodes of an IPC. One node of an IPC has one reference to a PS and one reference to an AC.

The root node of an IPS has an identifier and is indepen-
dent from other IPSs. Therefore, it is possible to distinguish
one node of an IPS to several nodes of an IPC. One node of an IPC has one reference to a PS and one reference to an AC.
ment, the system provides a mechanism to convert each step for iteration (initializing iteration, proceeding count, getting the current element, checking statement and finalizing iteration) into concrete codes to operate array types or aggregate classes in the target language. When array types and aggregate classes are registered in the system, concrete codes for them corresponding to the iteration steps respectively are also registered. The system replaces forall statements with the codes at generation.

The generated codes from pseudo-codes can be overwritten by users freely.

4.4. Separating Language-independent Parts from Language-dependent Parts

Because design patterns hardly depend on a programming language, it is useful to distinguish language-independent information and language-dependent information for adapting the system to multiple programming languages or converting applications into another language. Here, concretely, the language-independent information means mainly structure information such as class names and method names, and the language-independent information means concrete types for one-to-many relationships and concrete implementation codes necessary at execution.

The system provides two data structures for language-independent information and language-dependent information respectively, and two input processes for them. An IPS contains language-independent information and an AC contains language-dependent information. The converter from an IPS to an AC is based on Visitor pattern as the converter from a PS to an IPS, and converts an IPS recursively with tracing the IPS tree. Therefore, the system can be adapted to another programming language by replacing the converter with one for the language.

5. A Prototype and an Example of Generated Codes

We implement a prototype of the source code generation support system, based on a system which we have developed for authorizing and browsing design patterns[2]. The system reuses the PIML parser and data access part of the existing system.

All the required data structures described in the previous section, IPS, AC, tables for managing the cloning process and tables for relating pseudo-codes and a concrete language, are implemented in the prototype system. The system has converters for processing the data structures appropriately. Java is selected as the target language for the first prototype system, because it is a simpler object-oriented language than other widely-used languages.

In addition to the data structures and converters, we implement interactive data inputting part as a Java Applet. A user can generate Java source code files and a simple Makefile by inputting necessary information interactively.

Figure 7 illustrates an example of source code files generated from the Iterator pattern. Here, the codes generated automatically are grayed and the others are made up by a user.

FixedGroup.java is generated from ConcreteAggregate. CreateIterator() operation defined in ConcreteAggregate is converted to createIterator() method, and concrete codes in it are generated from pseudo-codes in the operation. FixedGroupIterator.java is generated from ConcreteIterator. The methods first(), next(), isDone() and getCurrentItem() are generated from the corresponding operations in the role. Implementation of the methods is application-dependent and is supplemented by hand.

Makefile is all generated from environment information and information of constituent classes stored in an AC (strictly speaking, a JavaAC) automatically. We confirm correct codes generated for cloned classes.

6. Related Works

There are several researches on generating source codes from design patterns: Utrecht University[8], IBM T. J. Watson Laboratory[9], and Nihon University[10].

[8] provides design pattern templates consisting of objects in Smalltalk, and generates an application by cloning
them. The constraints to be satisfied by generated classes are checked after generation, and it does not support satisfying them automatically. Smalltalk is the only target language.

[9] describes information for generation using macros in its target language (C++). A converting program replaces names using the macros with given user’s inputs automatically. It does not concern cloning constraints. For adapting the system to another language, macros for the target language must be prepared.

[10] provides models of design patterns in a CASE tool ROSE[11], and uses C++ skeleton codes generated by ROSE. Several constraints such as inheritance, reference and aggregate relationships are checked by a tool developed by [10] originally. The tool targets C++ only.

Cloning constraints are caused by not only relationships such as inheritance and aggregate, but also by other behavioral characteristics such as necessity of calling a particular method. Describing a design pattern using general modeling method does not express all cloning constraints. Therefore, the [10] system depending on the modeling method in ROSE cannot support the cloning process.

No other system than ours has the notation for expressing cloning constraints and supports the cloning process. Our system is easier to be extended than other researches, because it can be adapted to other languages by replacing a converting module.

7. Conclusions

We aim at promoting use of design patterns by supporting application creation from design patterns. We also aim at supporting understanding structures in a given application by relating it to design patterns through the creation process. We design a source code generation support system from design patterns, and implement a prototype system on the authorizing and browsing system of design patterns developed by us[2]. We design and implement data structure for keeping many-to-many relationships between design patterns and an application and for separating language-independent information and language-dependent information, and converters among them. We also design and implement the mechanism for supporting the cloning process. We confirm correct results by inputting test data.

We have to relate design patterns to application components in the distributed components repository developed by us[3], by extending design of the components. We have to examine integration of design patterns when adding another design pattern, and have to adapt the system for addition.

In future, we would like to examine reverse engineering such as relating structures in an application to design patterns interactively based on our system. And we would like to provide a more user-friendly integrated support environment.
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