



Title	The radius of starlikeness for some analytic functions
Author(s)	Yoshikai, Toshiaki
Citation	長崎大学教養部紀要. 自然科学. 1978, 18, p.31-36
Issue Date	1978
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10069/16508
Right	

This document is downloaded at: 2020-10-22T10:08:41Z

The radius of starlikeness for some analytic functions

By

Toshiaki YOSHIKAI

(Received September 25, 1972)

Abstract

Let $F(z)$ be regular in $|z| < 1$ and $F(0) = 0$, $F'(0) = 1$. Let

$f(z) = 1/(c+1)z^{1-c} \{z^c F(z)\}'$ and c be a real and non-negative

constant. we have determined the radius of starlikeness of order β for $f(z)$

when $F(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \beta, \alpha < 1$.

1, Introduction

In this paper we shall treat a generalization of the recent results of H. S. Al-Amri [1]. The method used in the proof of the theorem is that of V.A. Zmorović [5]. The class $S^*(\alpha)$ is called the starlike functions of order α . Analytically, $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \{zF'(z)/F(z)\} > \alpha$ in $|z| < 1$.

We shall denote by P the class of regular functions $p(z)$ in $|z| < 1$, $p(0) = 1$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$. Let

(1) $f(z) = \frac{1}{c+1} z^{1-c} [z^c F(z)]'$, and c be a real and non-negative constant.

Let $F(z) = (c+1)z^{-c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt$ be regular in $|z| < 1$ and $F(0) = 0$, $F'(0) = 1$.

If $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$, then there exists $p(z) \in P$ such that

(2) $zF'(z)/F(z) = \alpha + (1-\alpha)p(z)$.

From (1), (2) we get

$$z^c f(z) / \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt = c + \alpha + (1-\alpha)p(z).$$

Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &zf'(z)/f(z) - \beta \\ &= -(c+\beta) + (1-\alpha)(h+p(z)) + zp'(z)/(h+p(z)), \end{aligned}$$

Where $h = (c+\alpha)/(1-\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

We shall determine $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ the radius of starlikeness of order β for $f(z)$.

Clearly, $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ is the smallest positive root of $Q_{\alpha, \beta, c} = 0$, where

$$(4) \quad Q_{\alpha, \beta, c}(r) = \min_{p \in P} \min_{|z|=r < 1} \operatorname{Re} \{ -(c+\beta) + (1-\alpha)(h+p(z)) \}$$

$$+ zp(z)/(h+p(z))\},$$

Thus this problem are reduced to seeking the quantity

$$(5) \quad Q(r) = \min_{p \in P} \min_{|z|=r < 1} \operatorname{Re}\{\Psi(p(z), zp'(z))\},$$

where $\Psi(w; W)$ is an analytic function of the variables w and W in the W -plane and in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}\{w\} > 0$.

By M. S. Robertson's variational method [4], the minimum in (5) is realized for functions of the form

$$(6) \quad p(z) = \lambda_1 \frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta_1}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta_1}} + \lambda_2 \frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta_2}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta_2}}$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.

We obtain $r_{a, \beta, c}$ by an application of a theorem due to V. A. Zmorovič.

Theorem A (V. A. Zmorovič). Let $\Psi(w; W) = M(w) + N(w)W$,

where $M(w)$ and $N(w)$ are defined and finite in the half plane

$\operatorname{Re}\{w\} > 0$. Put

$$w = \lambda_1 \frac{1 + z_1^m}{1 - z_1^m} + \lambda_2 \frac{1 + z_2^m}{1 - z_2^m}$$

$$W = \lambda_1 \frac{2mz_1^m}{(1 - z_1^m)^2} + \lambda_2 \frac{2mz_2^m}{(1 - z_2^m)^2},$$

where z_1 and z_2 are arbitrary points on $|z|=r < 1$, m is a positive integer,

$\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $\lambda_2 \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.

Then the function $\Psi(w, W)$ has the form

$$\Psi(w; W) = M(w) + \frac{1}{2}m(w^2 - 1)N(w) + \frac{1}{2}m(\rho^2 - \rho_0^2)N(w)e^{2i\psi},$$

where

$$(1 + z_k^m)/(1 - z_k^m) = a + \rho \exp(i\psi_k) \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

$$w = a + \rho_0 \exp(i\psi_0) \quad (0 \leq \rho_0 \leq \rho),$$

$$|z_1| = |z_2| = r, \quad a = (1 + r^{2m})/(1 - r^{2m}),$$

$$\rho = 2r^m/(1 - r^{2m}), \quad e^{i\psi} = i \exp[i(\psi_1 + \psi_2)/2].$$

Also

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \min \operatorname{Re}\{\Psi(w; W)\} &\equiv \Psi_\rho(w) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\{M(w) + \frac{1}{2}m(w^2 - 1)N(w)\} - \frac{1}{2}m|N(w)|(\rho^2 - \rho_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

The minimum in (7) is reached when

$$(8) \quad \exp[i(2\psi + \arg N(w))] = -1.$$

Comparing problem (4) with the terms of Theorem A, we have $m = 1$, and

$$M(w) = -(c + \beta) + (1 - \alpha)(w + h),$$

$$N(w) = 1/(w + h),$$

$$h = (c + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha), \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

From (4), (7) and these relations our problem is reduced to minimizing $\Psi_\rho(w)$, where

$$(9) \quad \Psi_\rho(w) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -(c + \beta) + (1 - \alpha)(w + h) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{w^2 - 1}{w + h} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2 - \rho_0^2}{|w + h|}$$

2. Radius of starlikeness

Theorem. Let $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,
 $f(z) = \frac{1}{c+1} z^{1-c} [z^c F(z)]'$ in $|z| < 1$. Let $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ be the radius of the largest disk in which $\operatorname{Re} \{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > \beta$.

Put

$$(10) \quad \alpha^2 + 2(\beta - 2\alpha - c)a + (2h + \beta + c)^2 - 4(2 - \alpha)h^2 = 0,$$

$$(11) \quad \{4\alpha^2 - 2(\beta - c + 2)\alpha + (1 + \beta)(1 - c)\}r^2 + 2\{(3 - \beta + c)\alpha - c\beta - 2\}r + (1 + c)(1 - \beta) = 0,$$

where $a = (1 + r^2)/(1 - r^2)$, $h = (c + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)$; also

$$(12) \quad B_1 \beta^3 + B_2 \beta^2 + B_3 \beta + B_4 = 0$$

where

$$B_1 = 4(1 - \alpha)\{2c + (2 - c)\alpha - \alpha^2\},$$

$$B_2 = -4\alpha^4 + 4(c + 1)\alpha^3 + (9 - 28c + 8c^2)\alpha^2 + 2(21c - 16c^2)\alpha + 33c^2,$$

$$B_3 = 4(1 - c)\alpha^4 + 2(1 + 12c - c^2)\alpha^3 + (2c^3 + 14c^2 - 23c - 29)\alpha^2 - (6c^3 + 21c^2 + 29c - 14)\alpha + 4c^2 + 14c,$$

$$B_4 = (2\alpha^2 + c^2\alpha + 3c\alpha + 3\alpha - c^2 - 2)^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)(2\alpha + c)^2(2\alpha^2 + c^2\alpha + 3c\alpha + 3\alpha - c^2 - 2) + (2\alpha + c)^2(2\alpha - c\alpha + 3c)^2 - 4(2 - \alpha)(c + \alpha)^2(2\alpha + c).$$

Then $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ is the smallest positive root r in (10) with $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_0(\alpha, c)$ and the smallest positive root r in (11) with $\beta_0(\alpha, c) \leq \beta < 1$, where $\beta_0(\alpha, c)$ is the smallest positive root of (12). These results are sharp.

proof. Our problem is reduced to minimizing $\psi_\rho(w)$. This minimum is reached when the point w ($|w - a| < \rho$) is fixed, and the chord passing through it and through the points $a + \rho \exp i\psi_k$ ($k = 1, 2$) is perpendicular to the vector $\exp i\phi/2$, where $w + h = R \exp i\phi$.

By setting $w = a + \xi + i\eta$, $\rho_0^2 = \xi^2 + \eta^2 \leq \rho^2$.

Then (9) becomes

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_\rho(w) &\equiv \psi_\rho(\xi; \eta) \\ &= -(c + \beta + h) + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \alpha\right)(a + \xi + h) + \frac{1}{2}(h^2 - 1)(a + \xi + h)R^{-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - \xi^2 - \eta^2)R^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where} \quad R^2 = (a + \xi + h)^2 + \eta^2.$$

We now wish to minimize $\psi_\rho(w)$ as a function of η . A differentiation shows that

$$(14) \quad \partial\psi_\rho/\partial\eta = \frac{1}{2}\eta R^{-4} S(\xi, \eta),$$

where

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} S(\xi, \eta) &= [\xi^2 + 4(a + h)\xi + \rho^2 + \eta^2 + 2(a + h^2)]R \\ &\quad - 2(h^2 - 1)(a + \xi + h) \\ &\geq [\xi^2 + 4(a + h)\xi + \rho^2 + 2(a + h)^2 - 2(h^2 - 1)](a + \xi + h). \end{aligned}$$

But the last expression in (15) is an increasing function of ξ in the interval $[-\rho, \rho]$. Hence

$$S(\xi, \eta) \geq S(-\rho, \eta) = 2[(a - \rho)^2 + 2h(a - \rho) + 1](a + h - \rho) > 0.$$

Thus we see from (14) that $\Psi_\rho(\xi, \eta)$ is minimized on every chord $\xi =$ constant of the circle $\xi^2 + \eta^2 = \rho_0^2$ at the point $\eta = 0$.

Therefore the minimum of $\psi_\rho(\xi; \eta)$ in the disk $\xi^2 + \eta^2 \leq \rho^2$ occurs somewhere on the diameter $\eta = 0$. Setting $\eta = 0$ in (13), we have

$$(16) \quad \psi_\rho(\xi; 0) \equiv \ell(R) = (2 - \alpha)R + (h^2 + ah)R^{-1} - (a + 2h) - (c + \beta).$$

The absolute minimum of $\ell(R)$ is realized at

$$(17) \quad R_0 = [(h^2 + ah)/(2 - \alpha)]^{1/2}$$

$$\text{where} \quad R_0 < a + h + \rho.$$

However, if $R_0 \notin [a + h - \rho, a + h + \rho]$, then the minimum of $\ell(R)$ is attained at

$$(18) \quad R_1 = a + h - \rho.$$

The radius $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ is therefore determined from either

$$(19) \quad \ell(R_0) = 0,$$

where R_0 is given by (17), or from

$$(20) \quad \ell(R_1) = 0,$$

where R_1 is given by (18).

These two equations coincide for some $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\alpha, c)$.

(19) and (20) can be reduced to (10) and (11), respectively.

From (10) and (11) we get,

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1 &= r_{\alpha, \beta, c} \\ &= ((2\alpha - \beta + c - 1 - D^{1/2}) / (2\alpha - \beta + c + 1 - D^{1/2}))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where} \quad D = 4(2 - \alpha)h^2 - (2h + \beta + c)^2 + (\beta - 2\alpha - c)^2,$$

and

$$(22) \quad r_2 = r_{\alpha, \beta, c} \\ = (1+c)(1-\beta) / [(\beta(c+\alpha) + 2(1-\alpha) - (c+1)\alpha) \\ + ((\beta(c+\alpha) + 2(1-\alpha) - (c+1)\alpha)^2 \\ - (1+c)(1-\beta)(4\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta + 2c\alpha - 4\alpha + 1 - c + \beta - c\beta))^{1/2}],$$

respectively. To determine the $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\alpha)$ that makes the transition from (21) to (22) set $R_0 = R_1$.

It follows then

$$(23) \quad h^2 + ah = (2-\alpha)R_1^2.$$

From (20), (16) and (23), we obtain

$$(24) \quad (3-2\alpha)a + 2\alpha - \beta + c = 2(2-\alpha)\rho.$$

From (24), (10), we obtain

$$(25) \quad a = \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta^2 + (3\alpha - 2c\alpha + 5c)\beta - (2\alpha^2 + 3c\alpha + c^2\alpha + 3\alpha - c^2 - 2)}{(1-\alpha)(2\alpha - \beta + c)}$$

Equation (12) is deduced from (10) and (25).

Note that $r_{\alpha, \beta, c} = r_1$ cannot be used when $\beta > \alpha$, since $D < 0$.

Therefore, $r_{\alpha, \beta, c}$ may be used for $\beta > \alpha$. In fact r_2 may be used for $\beta \geq \alpha$ (see [3]).

Now we determine the form of the extremal functions $f_0(z)$ for Theorem.

Taking into account (8) and the fact that the minimum in case (19) is realized at a point on the diameter $\eta = 0$, we conclude that $p(z)$ (see (6)) should in this case be taken in the form

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + ze^{i\theta}}{1 - ze^{i\theta}},$$

where $\cos \theta$ is found from the equation

$$h + (1 - r_1^2)(1 - 2r_1 \cos \theta + r_1^2)^{-1} = R_0$$

in which the quantities r_1 and R_0 are determined by fomulars (21) and (17).

Then the extremal function $f_0(z)$ can be represented by the expression

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{c+1} z(c+1 - 2(c+\alpha)z \cos \theta + (c+2\alpha-1)z^2)(1 - 2z \cos \theta + z^2)^{\alpha-2}$$

In case (20), $p(z)$ is given by $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$. Hence the extremal

function

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{c+1} z(c+1 - (c+2\alpha-1)z)(1-z)^{2\alpha-3}$$

The proof of Theorem is now completed.

This theorem was found by S. D. Bernardi [2] when $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and c is positive integer.

References

1. H. S. Al-Amiri, On the radius of starlikeness of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42(1974), 466-474.
2. S. D. Bernardi, The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(1970), 312-318.
3. R. J. Libera and A. E. Livingston, On the univalence of some classes of regular functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30(1971), 327-336.
4. M. S. Robertson, Variational methods for functions with positive real part, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102(1962), 82-93.
5. V. A. Zmorovič, On bounds of convexity for starlike functions of order α in the circle $|z| < 1$ and in the circular region $0 < |z| < 1$, Mat. Sb. 68(1965), 518-526; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 80(1969), 203-213.