Myodermal flap for reconstruction of oral mucosa
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Abstract

Purpose

Myocutaneous flaps were commonly used to repair the mucosal defect that results from ablative tumor surgery. However, skin is not a perfect substitute for the oral mucosa because it can lead to poor hygiene due to hair growth and desquamation. The myodermal flaps used for the reconstruction of mucosa in oncologic surgery of the oral cavity.

Material and method

Pectoralis major and platysma myodermal flaps were applied in 8 patients for the purpose of intraoral reconstruction in cancer surgery. The skin paddle of the flaps denuded by shaving the epithelial layer was transferred into the oral cavity to cover the mucosal defect.

Result

The flaps epithelialized secondarily without severe contraction.

Conclusion

The myodermal flap is a promising option for reconstruction of the oral mucosa.
**Introduction**

Myocutaneous flaps were commonly used to repair the mucosal defect that results from ablative tumor surgery. However, skin is not a perfect substitute for the oral mucosa because it can lead to poor hygiene due to hair growth and desquamation. A muscle-only flap has been used for reconstruction of the oral cavity because it heals with secondary epithelialization, mimicking the oral mucosa \(^1-^3\). However, cicatricial contraction remains an issue for the muscle-only flap \(^4,^5\). In a previous study, we showed that fascial flaps heal with secondary epithelialization with mild contraction \(^5\). On the other hand, dermis contributes to the inhibition of wound contraction \(^6\). This paper describes myodermal flaps used for the reconstruction of mucosa in oncologic surgery of the oral cavity.

**Surgical technique**

Pectoralis major myodermal flap was used in 4 cases which had more than
5 cm diameter defect (cases 5, 6, 7, and 8). After denudement by shaving the epithelial layer using scalpel (Fig. 1), the pectoralis major myodermal flap was elevated (Fig. 2), and the flap was then applied to the defect (Fig. 3). The platysma myodermal flap was used in 4 cases (cases 1, 2, 3, and 4) for intraoral reconstruction. The advantages of this flap are the easy harvest and transplant to the defect. However, the blood supply to the skin paddle has been problematic. Because submental artery and vein plays an important role as feeder and venous drainage of the superiorly based design of the platysma flap, respectively 7, it is of vital importance to maintain the patency of the facial artery and vein. Accordingly, platysma myodermal flap was selected for the cases whose facial artery and vein were preservable. After the denudement (Fig. 4), the flap was transferred into the defect of the oral cavity (Fig. 5).

Cases

A total of 8 patients, 2 males and 6 females, suffering from oral malignant
tumor had their oral defects reconstructed with a myodermal flap (Table 1).

**Results**

The surface of the flaps was shown to be covered with granulation tissue at 1 week post-operatively. At 2 or 3 weeks post-operatively, stratified squamous epithelium completely covered the surface of the flaps without severe contraction (Figs. 6, 7). This prevented fixation of the tongue with resulting in neither masticatory disturbance nor dysphagia. The protheses were applied in all cases and they were well functional.

The postoperative biopsies showed surface epithelium, subjacent dermis. This indicates epithelialization on the dermis of the flap. The dermis under the epithelium was occupied mostly by collagen fibers (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

After the resection of oral cancer, prothese serves as a tool for functional
rehabilitation. Because flap contraction makes it difficult to adjust the prothese on the flaps, vestibuloplasty and palatal mucosa grafts may be required. In the previous study, we showed that the muscle-only flap heals with secondary epithelialization in the oral cavity, in a way similar to the mucosa. However, the flap matures to fibrous tissue with resultant severe contraction. This biological behavior of the muscle-only flap may indicate the limitation of its applicability, suggesting that its best use is for mucosal defects in the osseous region. In contrast with the muscle-only flap, we found that fascia flaps in the oral cavity heal with mild contraction. This result suggests that different extracellular matrices consisting of bare flaps may play a determinant role in their secondary epithelialization. Hill et al. have also reported that epithelial proliferation and histodifferentiation are influenced by the corresponding subepithelial connective tissue. Similarly to the fascia, the dermis mainly consists of collagenous tissue. Prior studies have suggested that the ability of the dermis to inhibit contraction depends on the preservation
of the collagen matrix \(^\text{10, 11}\). Accordingly, it may be valid to use a
myodermal flap for reconstruction of the oral mucosa, and myodermal flap
is likely to be reasonable to apply for soft tissue reconstruction in the
alveolus regions.

Implant plays important role of oral rehabilitation after ablative
tumor surgery. However, it is well known that implant placed on the flap
frequently induce implantitis. The presence of a good tissue-implant
interface is important for maintaining a healthy, stable environment. The
seal between the implant and the oral epithelium is an especially important
factor in determining a successful outcome. In the myocutaneous flap, it
is possible for a pocket to form between the skin and the implant. On the
other hand, the epithelialized myodermal flap may make close contact with
the implant \(^\text{12}\) and create a good implant-tissue interface. However,
defatting would be required for this flap to get thin and to adhere to
alveolar bone for implant placement.

In conclusion, the myodermal flap is a promising option for
reconstruction of the oral mucosa.
References


Legends to figures

Fig. 1: Denuded skin paddle of pectoralis major myodermal flap (case 6).
Fig. 2: Elevated pectoralis major myodermal flap (case 6).
Fig. 3: Pectoralis major myodermal flap applied to a defect of the oral cavity (case 6).
Fig. 4: Elevated platysma myodermal flap (case 3).
Fig. 5: Platysma myodermal flap applied to a defect of the oral cavity (case 3).
Fig. 6: Secondarily epithelialized pectoralis major myodermal flap, 4 months after the operation (case 6).
Fig. 7: Secondarily epithelialized platysma myodermal flap, 2 months after the operation (case 3). Mild contraction was observed.
Fig. 8: Biopsy of 3 years postoperation (Hematoxylin and Eosin stain, x 30) (case 3). Epithelium over the collagenous layer is shown.