<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance Linkage: A Preliminary Study for the Conceptual Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Uchida, Shigeru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>東南アジア研究年報, 2009, 50, p. 43-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>2009-03-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10069/21627">http://hdl.handle.net/10069/21627</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAOSITE</td>
<td><a href="http://naosite.lb.nagasaki-u.ac.jp">http://naosite.lb.nagasaki-u.ac.jp</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance Linkage — A Preliminary Study for the Conceptual Framework

Sarwar U. Ahmed
Shigeru Uchida

Abstract:

Over the last three decades, the pressure on firms to engage in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities and establish themselves as highly socially responsible companies has increased. However, on the issue of incentive, some corporations view CSR activities as simply a costly hindrance and some companies use CSR methodologies as a strategic tactic to obtain public support for their presence in global markets which could help companies to sustain a competitive advantage by using their social contributions. Researchers around the world have reported a positive, negative, mixed and neutral impacts of corporate social responsibility on corporate financial performance (CFP). On this background, this study aimed at summarizing the findings of major empirical studies and drawing the conceptual framework to investigate the relationship between CSR and CFP.
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1. Introduction

In the current perspective of global competitive market, companies must endeavor to reveal a picture of themselves as highly socially responsible companies. Active involvement in socially beneficial programs provides extra advantages to the company. Through globalization not a few corporations usually pursue growth and they have faced new challenges that restricted to their growth and potential profits. Companies in different countries of the world
CSR activities linking the firm towards attainment of the ultimate goal

have to play a role in social issues otherwise government regulations, environmental restrictions, tariff, varying standards of what constitutes labor exploitation are problems that can cost companies a lot. One of the primary encouraging factors is the idea that Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) could be considered to increase long term profitability and sustainability of the company as well as enhance the reputation of the organization (see Fig. 1). Over the last three decades, the pressure on firms to engage in CSR has increased. Among the global and/or multinational companies in the world, some view CSR issues as simply a costly hindrance and some companies use CSR methodologies as a strategic tactic to obtain public support for their presence in global markets which could help companies to sustain a competitive advantage by using their social contributions. Researchers around the world have reported a positive, negative, mixed and neutral impacts of corporate social responsibility on corporate financial performance (CFP). On this background, the objective of this study is to draw a conceptual framework for examining the direction of linkage between corporate social responsibility and financial performance.

1. Overview of CSR

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is defined about how businesses align their values
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and behavior with the expectations and needs of stakeholders, not just customers and investors, but also employees, suppliers, communities, regulators, special interest groups and society as a whole. CSR describes a company’s commitment to be accountable to its stakeholders. CSR is necessary a growing term that does not have a standard definition or fully recognized set of specific criteria. CSR is generally understood to be the way a company attains a balance or integration of economic, environmental, and social imperatives while at the same time addressing shareholder and stakeholder expectations, with the understanding that businesses play a key role on job and wealth creation in society. Corporate social responsibility has become a prominent topic both in the business and academic press. Nevertheless, opinions differ as to whether a firm’s CSR activity provides any economic benefits.

According to Frankental, CSR is a vague and intangible term which can mean anything to anybody, and therefore is effective without meaning. On the other hand, the Commission for the European Communities defines CSR as a concept whereby companies integrate social and environmental concerns in the business operations and in their interactions with their stakeholders on a voluntary basis. According to Wood, the basic idea of CSR is that business and society are interwoven rather than distinct entities. For Mallenbaker, CSR is about how companies manage the business process to produce an overall positive impact on society. More generally, a distinction has been drawn between CSR seen as philanthropy as opposed to CSR as a core business activity. Jones et al. observed that the social responsibility of business encompasses the economic, legal, ethical, and discretionary expectations of organizations that society has at a given point in time.

Empirical Studies on CSR and Financial Performance

Corporate social performance (CSP) to measure CSR

McWilliams and Siegel found that, when research and development (R&D) and industry factors are excluded, the coefficient on corporate social performance (CSP) is positive and statistically significant. However, when R&D and industry factors are included, the degrees of the coefficient reduce dramatically and are no longer significant and CSP showed a neutral effect on profitability. Through a broad-based index of corporate social performance, Waddock and Graves analyses whether there is a positive relationship between corporate social performance and financial performance and whether both slack resource and good management theory may be operating simultaneously. Using the improved measurement version, they found that corporate social performance
does depend on financial performance and that the sign of the relationship is positive. Researchers view that, corporate social performance is a kind of *virtuous circle*, there is a simultaneous relationship, and corporate social performance is both a predictor and consequence of company financial performance. Klassen and McLaughlin found that, environmental management has the potential to play an essential role in the financial performance of the firm. They used financial event methodology and archival data of firm-level environmental and financial performance. For strong environmental management significant positive returns were measured as indicated by environmental performance awards, and significant negative returns were measured for weak environmental management as indicated by environmental crises. McGuire et al, analysis shows that a firms’ prior performance, assessed by both stock-market returns and accounting-based measures, is more closely related to corporate social responsibility than is subsequent performance and the measures of risk are more closely associated with social responsibility. The researchers suggest that, firms low in social responsibility also experience lower return on assets (ROA) and stock-market returns than do firms high in social responsibility. Cochran and Wood reexamined the relationships between corporate social responsibility and financial performance and they found that exclusion of asset turnover and asset age led to spurious positive correlations between CSR and financial performance and with this variable included, there is still weak evidence of positive correlation between CSR and financial performance. Mostly there are two types of empirical studies of the relationship between CSR and financial performance Clinebell and Clinebell, Hannon and Milkovich, Posnikoff, Teoh et al, Worrell et al, Wright and Ferris. Some studies use the event study methodology to assess the short-run financial impact when firms engage in socially responsible or irresponsible acts and the results of these studies have been mixed. Teoh et al found no relationship between CSR and financial performance, Wright and Ferris found a negative relationship and Posnikoff reported a positive relationship and McWilliams and Siegel studies are inconsistent on the relationship between CSR and short run financial returns.

**Accounting profit to measure financial performance**

There are also other studies which examined the nature of the relationship between corporate social performance, CSP a measure of CSR, and long term firm performance, using accounting or financial measures of profitability Aupperle et al. McGuire et al. and Waddock and Graves. They found mixed results, while Aupperle et al.
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No relationship found between CSP and profitability. In contrast, McGuire et al found that, prior performance was more closely related to CSP than subsequent performance, and Waddock and Graves found significant positive relationships between an index of CSP and performance measures such as ROA in the following year. Another study using measures of return based on the stock market by Vance indicate negative CSP relationship. In contrast, Alexander and Buchholz improved on Vance's analysis by evaluating stock market performance of an identical group of stocks on a risk adjusted basis, which produce an inconclusive result.

Studies using accounting profit ratios

Studies using accounting profit ratios ROA, ROE, Return on Equity, ROI, Return on Investment, ROS, Return on Sales find merely strong positive relations between corporate social responsibility and financial performance. Berman et al, Brown and Perry, Dooley and Lerner. Greening found positive relations and Johnson and Greening got moderate and mixed relations. Patten found strong results but no effect on the relationship between CSR and Financial performance.

Stock market returns to measure financial performance

Studies using stock market returns find an ambiguous relation between CSR and financial performance. Average return studies done by Freedman and Stagliano McGuire et al, Ingram, Brown find moderate result and positive relationships between CSR and financial performance. Vance finds strong results but negative relationships and Guerard Jr find moderate and mixed results and Davidson III and Worrell find weak result but mixed relationships. On the other hand, Brown find positive relationships but weak result. But Alexander and Buchholz find weak result but no effect. Guerard Jr finds no effect and Chen and Metcalf find weak result and no effect between CSR and financial performance.

4. Generally accepted methods of measuring CSR

There are two generally accepted methods of measuring CSR, first one is the reputation index and in this method knowledgeable observers rate firms on the basis of one or more dimensions of social performance. One reputation index was generated by Milton Moskowitz, who over a period of several years rated a number of firms as outstanding, honorable mention, or worst. Content analysis is the second method of
measuring CSR. Normally, in content analysis the extent of the reporting of CSR activities in various firm publications and especially in the annual report Ìused by Bowman and Haire, Abbott & Monsen, Anderson & Frankle, Ingram.

5. Common measures of financial performance

Common measures of financial performance fall into two broad categories ñ investor returns and accounting returns. The basic idea of investor returns is that, the return should be measured from the perspective of shareholders. Whereas, accounting return measures of financial performance focuses on how firm earnings respond to different managerial policies.

McGuire et al Ìused market performance which was measured by risk-adjusted return, or alpha, and total return as measured of financial performance. Accounting-based performance measures were ROA, total assets, sales growth, asset growth, and operating income growth. The ratio of debt to assets, operating leverage, and the standard deviation of operating income were the accounting-based measures of risk. Waddock and Graves measured financial performance using three accounting variables ñ return on assets, return on equity, and return on sales, providing a range of measures used to assess corporate financial performance by the investment community.

In some studies, the most common measure of accounting returns used are simply earnings per share (EPS) or price-earnings (P/E) ratios. Bragdon and Marlin. On the other hand, Cochran and Wood Ìused three accounting returns measures ñ the ratio of operating earnings to assets, the ratio of operating earnings to sales, and excess market valuation. In addition to this, three other measures of financial performance are used by researchers ñ Market-to-book ratio, Accounting profit ratio, return on assets, return on equity, return on investment, return on sales and stock market returns.

6. Conceptual framework of the research

In this study, we have conducted a comprehensive literature survey on both direction of relationship between CSR and CSP and ways to measure them. The result of this survey study might be concluded in the following way by drawing a conceptual framework as shown in Fig.

1. Various empirical studies around the world have reported a positive, negative, mixed and neutral impacts of corporate social responsibility Ìon corporate financial
Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance Linkage
A Preliminary Study for the Conceptual Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>Financial Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Return on assets (ROA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Return on equity (ROE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>Return on investment (ROI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk (debt/Asset ratio)</td>
<td>Return on sales (ROS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D (expenditure/Sales)</td>
<td>Earnings per share (EPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising intensity</td>
<td>Price/earnings (P/E) ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental performance</td>
<td>Share price returns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior financial performance</td>
<td>Total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social performance disclosures</td>
<td>Sales growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excess market valuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asset age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asset turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operating earnings to assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operating earnings to sales</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Conceptual Framework](image)

performance (CPF). Hence rather than having any preconceived idea on the direction of the relationship, we should have an open view on this, as the linkage between CSR and CSP will depend on various factors, e.g., industry, location, size etc.

2. Among the two common methods of measuring CSR, content analysis would be more appropriate as first step to measure the relationship between CSR and CSP. Because in this case the measuring parameters would have a more common and unbiased sources, based on annual reports.

3. Corporate Financial Performance (CPF) can be measured from both by investors return and accounting return.
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