The Diffusion of Hydrogen in Pd–Ni Solid Solutions
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An electrochemical permeation method has been used to determine the variation of the diffusivity of hydrogen through annealed and cold rolled Pd–Ni membranes as a function of temperature in the range 279 to 335 K and of Ni composition in the range 0 to 100 at. %. At a given temperature the hydrogen diffusivity decreases with an increase of Ni content in an almost logarithmic fashion, and at the same composition, the $D$ values in the annealed samples are higher than those in the cold rolled samples. The experimental diffusivities have been discussed in terms of the proposed diffusion model with the lattice dilation effects.

1. Introduction

It is of interest to investigate the diffusion behavior of hydrogen in Pd–Ni binary alloy system which has generally been regarded as a complete series of solid solution with no evidence of long-range order[1], because of the fundamental elucidation of interstitial diffusion.

The diffusivity of hydrogen in a palladium alloy has been in general attributed to differences in the lattice parameter and compressibility of the alloys, i.e., an elastic interaction, or to the electronic interaction between hydrogen and the substitutional solute atoms[2–7]. Assuming that elastic interaction predominate, and that the interstitial volume available to hydrogen atoms, i.e., the activation volume of hydrogen for diffusion is kept constant, we may expect that the addition of an alloying element which expands the Pd lattice produces an increase in the diffusivity, whereas addition of an element which contracts the Pd lattice causes a decrease in the diffusivity.

Recently, the lattice dilation effect on the diffusivity of hydrogen in Pd–Ag alloys[5, 6] and in Pd–(Ce, Y, B) alloys[7] as a function of $\theta_a$, the atom fraction of the alloying element has been examined by an electrolytic method. There are initially slight predicted increase in the hydrogen diffusivity, as the alloying contents increase. At least at small values of $\theta_a$, the lattice dilation effect is thought to play a role in the hydrogen migration process.

The diffusion data available in the literature on the Pd–Ni alloys are not abundant. Buchold et al.[3] studied the hydrogen diffusivity in Pd–Ni alloys with Ni contents in the range 0 to 26 at. % and in the temperature range 273 to 323 K by measuring the $\beta$–radiation of tritium decay and showed that the iso-electronic Ni as alloying partner does not influence the activation energy for diffusion within the experimental error. Yoshihara–McLellan[8] obtained the similar results of the composition dependence of the activation energy for diffusion of Pd–0 to 41.83 at. % Ni alloys by an electrolytic method.

The present study has been carried out to determine the diffusivity of hydrogen in annealed and cold rolled Pd–Ni alloys containing Ni in the atom fractions up to $\theta_a = 1$ and in the temperature range 279 to 335 K by an electrochemical permeation method, and especially for the fully annealed alloys of Pd–rich and Ni–rich sides to examine the lattice dilation effect on the diffusivity.
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2. Experimental

The Pd–Ni alloy samples were received in the form of cold rolled foils of about 50–100 μm in thickness prepared at Tanaka Kikinzoku Kogyo K. K. by arc-melting Pd (99.98 wt.%), and Ni (99.95 wt.%) metals under an argon atmosphere. The analysis of composition of the alloys was also performed by the same company.

The sample foils used here were subjected to the following heat treatments in vacuo of about 2 x 10⁻⁶ Torr, in addition to (1) as cold rolled; (2) annealed at 773 K for 3.6 ks, and (3) annealed at 1123 K for 7.2 ks (fully annealed). X-ray diffraction line profiles revealed that all the alloy samples had a fcc single phase and showed no evidence of long-range order. In addition, the determination of the lattice parameter of the fully annealed samples (at 1123 K for 7.2 ks) was carried out at room temperature using CuKα radiation with a Ni filter.

Before carrying out the hydrogen permeation measurement, all the samples were chemically etched with a solution of 2:2:1-H₂SO₄:HNO₃:H₂O mixture. At least, two new samples were used for each permeation run to avoid the hysteresis effects due to the hydrogen damage and/or the formation of microvoids etc. The electrochemical permeation method used under the galvanostatic charging conditions was similar to that described previously[7, 9, 10]. The anodic compartment of the permeation cell was filled with a 0.1 k mol m⁻³ NaOH and an anodic potential of −350 mV (Ag / AgCl) was applied at the diffusion side using potentiostat. The specimen was cathodically polarized at a constant current density of ic=10 Am⁻² in the cathodic compartment which contained a solution of 160 mol m⁻³ H₂SO₄ + 2.5 x 10⁻³ kg m⁻³ H₂SeO₃. The cell was enclosed in a thermostatically controlled air bath that kept the temperature within ±1 K between 279 and 335 K.

The diffusivity was determined from the build-up transient of the permeation curve by using the linear relation of log (τ²/Jl) vs. 1/t which is the first term (n = 0) approximation of eq. (1),

\[ J_l = \frac{2\sqrt{D \sigma F}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{(2n+1)^2L^2}{4Dt} \right], \]

where \( D \) = the diffusivity of hydrogen, \( \sigma \) = the concentration of hydrogen beneath the cathodic surface, \( L \) = the thickness of the specimen, \( F \) = Faraday's constant and \( t \) = the permeation time.

3. Results and Discussion

The lattice parameters \( a_0 \) for the fully annealed Pd–Ni alloys are given in Fig. 1, together with earlier data[11, 12]. The \( a_0 \) values obtained in this study are in agreement with the previous results[11, 12], and they decrease with an increase of Ni content, i.e., the Ni addition effects a negative dilation of the Pd–lattice, and the Pd addition produces a positive dilation of the Ni–lattice.

In all cases of the annealed and as cold rolled samples the hydrogen diffusivity \( D \) measured in the temperature range 279 to 335 K obeyed an Arrhenius relationship. Values of the frequency factor of diffusion \( D_0 \) and the activation energy \( \Delta H_0 \) for diffusion were determined by a least-squares fit and they are summarized in Table 1.

![Fig. 1 Lattice parameters of fully annealed Pd–Ni alloys at 298±1 K.](image-url)
Table 1 Temperature dependence of hydrogen diffusivity, \( D = D_0 \exp[-\Delta H_0/(\text{Jmol}^{-1})/RT](\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}) \) and lattice parameter, \( a \), for various Pd-Ni alloys. Temperature range =279–335 K, \( i_e = 10 \text{ Am}^{-2} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>as cold rolled</th>
<th>773 K, 3.6 ks annealed</th>
<th>1123 K, 7.2 ks annealed</th>
<th></th>
<th>Lattice parameter, ( a_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( D_0 ) / m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>( \Delta H_0 ) / Jmol⁻¹</td>
<td>( D_0 ) / m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>( \Delta H_0 ) / Jmol⁻¹</td>
<td>( D_0 ) / m²s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>8.07 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>22180±960</td>
<td>1.01 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>21760±1090</td>
<td>1.05 x 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6 at% Ni</td>
<td>7.64 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>23970±1000</td>
<td>8.67 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>22550±1000</td>
<td>8.68 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0 at% Ni</td>
<td>4.94 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>24690±920</td>
<td>6.67 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>23180±1510</td>
<td>6.32 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1 at% Ni</td>
<td>3.29 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>25060±1050</td>
<td>4.74 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>23680±1170</td>
<td>4.60 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0 at% Ni</td>
<td>2.82 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>25820±920</td>
<td>2.86 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>23810±920</td>
<td>2.80 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0 at% Ni</td>
<td>2.75 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>26820±1090</td>
<td>1.74 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>24020±1260</td>
<td>1.65 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.3 at% Ni</td>
<td>2.76 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>28450±1380</td>
<td>9.51 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>24560±1130</td>
<td>7.96 x 10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.8 at% Ni</td>
<td>1.42 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>36530±1590</td>
<td>1.79 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>29370±1510</td>
<td>1.82 x 10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>3.03 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>47990±1050</td>
<td>5.43 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>40960±920</td>
<td>7.05 x 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 shows the plots of \( \Delta H_D \) vs. Ni content, together with earlier data [3, 8]. There is no remarkable difference in the activation energy for diffusion between the samples annealed at 773 K for 3.6 ks and at 1123 K for 7.2 ks, but the energy values for the as cold rolled samples are larger than those for the annealed samples over the alloy compositions. This is attributed to the hydrogen trapping effect at the lattice defects such as dislocations introduced by cold rolling.

The activation energy for diffusion in fully annealed samples increases slowly from 21.42 kJmol⁻¹ for pure Pd up to about 60 at.% Ni and rises steeply at higher Ni contents, reaching a value of 40.88 kJmol⁻¹ for pure Ni. Below about 20 at.% Ni, the energy values obtained in this work are a little smaller than those of earlier works [3, 8]. The activation energy for diffusion in the annealed specimens with small addition of nickel is in qualitative agreement with the theory of diffusion in fcc palladium alloys proposed by Buchold et al. [3], i.e. the isoelectronic solute element is appeared to have virtually no effect on the activation energy.

Figure 3 shows the plot of the hydrogen diffusivity vs. Ni content at 298 K. The diffusivity in the annealed Pd–Ni alloys decreases in an almost logarithmic fashion, with an increase of Ni content, i.e., with decreasing the lattice parameters of the alloys. At the same composition, the \( D \) values in the annealed samples are higher than those in the cold rolled samples. The reduction in diffusivity for the cold rolled samples can be explained in terms of hydrogen trapping process involving the lattice imperfections.
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The diffusion coefficient of hydrogen at 298 K vs. Ni content in Pd-Ni alloys is shown in Fig. 3. The symbols used are:

- ○: as cold rolled
- △: annealed at 773 K for 3.6 ks
- □: annealed at 1123 K for 7.2 ks
- ■: calculated value.

Figure 4 shows a model of hydrogen diffusion for an fcc substitutional disordered alloy.

Now, the results found for the fully annealed samples (at 1123 K for 7.2 ks) of Pd-rich and Ni-rich sides will be discussed in terms of a diffusion model of hydrogen in a random substitutional solid solution along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction in an fcc lattice proposed recently [7,13]. It was assumed for simplicity that hydrogen atoms occupy octahedral sites only, although the previous report [13] has taken into account the diffusion paths through the two types of O (octahedral) and T (tetrahedral) interstitial sites. Furthermore, in general, two possible migration paths along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction in the fcc lattice structure are considered, but we consider here only one of the model paths, because the model for the other path [13] has too many unknown jump parameters to enable a comparison to be made with the experimental data.

Figure 4 shows the model path used here for the disordered alloys. The influence of lattice defects such as vacancies and dislocations on the diffusion is not considered here.

The $O_1$-site is the 1st nearest neighbor octahedral site around a solute atom, i.e., it is surrounded by one solute and five Pd atoms. Other $O$-sites are unaffected by solute atoms. The sum of the site fractions, $f_0$ for $O$ and $f_{O_1}$ for $O_1$, is unity. There are two types of saddle points for jumps. $T_o$ actually consists of two tetrahedral sites, each of which is surrounded by four Pd atoms. $T$ similarly consists of two tetrahedral sites; one similar to that for $T_o$ and the other surrounded by one solute and three Pd atoms.

$\bar{G}_H^O$ and $\bar{G}_H^{O_1}$ are the migration free energies for hydrogen atoms at $O$ and $O_1$-sites, respectively. The energy zero is taken as that of atoms at rest in vacuum so that the energies are numerically negative. The hydrogen jump rates $l$, $k$, and $p$, the diffusivities $D_l$, $D_k$, and $D_p$, and the corresponding activation energies $E_l$, $E_k$ and $E_p$ for diffusion refer to those for the jumping processes of $O \leftrightarrow O$, $O \leftrightarrow O_1$, and $O \leftrightarrow O_1$, respectively. $\alpha$ is the jump fraction for an atom at an $O$-site to jump to an $O_1$-site with the jump rate $k$ and $1 - \alpha$ the jump fraction for an atom at an $O$-site to jump to an adjacent $O$-site with the rate $l$. Since the jump path with the jump rate $k$ is the same as that with the jump rate...
the relation of $\alpha f_0 = f_{01}$ holds. From the geometry of the jump path, we can specify each jump fraction with the value of $f_{01}$. That is,

$$\alpha = f_{01} / (1 - f_{01}), \quad 1 - \alpha = (1 - 2 f_{01}) / (1 - f_{01}).$$

Furthermore, the site fraction of the neighboring sites around a solute atom can be given by

$$f_{01} = Z_{01} \theta_o / \beta_o,$$

where $Z_{01}$ is the number of neighboring octahedral sites around a solute atom along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction (i.e., $Z_{01} = 2$), and $\beta_o$ has the usual meaning ($\beta_o = 1$). Thus, we can obtain $f_{01} = 2 \theta_o$ and the effective range of $\theta_o$ for this model is limited to $0 < \theta_o < 1/4$, because $0 < f_{01} < 1/2$.

When a large number of such migration paths are randomly distributed in the $x$-direction, a fiducial plane between the $x$ and $(x+a)$ planes ($a$ = unit jump distance) intersects any part of the fluxes across the plane. Therefore, by summing the parts of the fluxes in one lattice plane, we can calculate the total flux corresponding to the situation of the migration path illustrated in Fig. 4. The diffusivity expression in this model is given by eq. (4) by assuming a system of low occupation probability on individual sites, and that the local equilibrium exists between the sites.

$$D = \frac{(1 - 4 \theta_o) D_f \exp \left[ \frac{E_i}{RT} \right] + 2 \theta_o (D_k + D_k') \exp \left[ \frac{E_k}{RT} \right]}{1 - 2 \theta_o \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0}}{RT} \right] \right)},$$

where $D_f$, $D_k$, and $D_k'$ are the jump frequency factors corresponding to the $D$ values. $\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0}$ is the difference in depth of the potential well between the sites. As $\theta_o$ changes, the specific volume of the substitutional lattice in general changes, and then the solute atoms would change the local interatomic potential experienced by hydrogen atom as a consequence of the dilation. Now, by taking account of the lattice dilation effect [5–7], and assuming that $\Delta S_{H\theta}^{O1.0} = S_{H\theta}^{O1.0} - S_{H\theta}^{O0} = 0$, where $S_{H\theta}^{O0}$ is the partial non-configurational entropy of hydrogen atoms, it is easy to show that the value of $\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0}$ is expressed in the form,

$$\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0} = E_k - E_{k'} = \Delta \epsilon + \frac{\Delta V \theta_o B V^*}{V_s},$$

where $\Delta \epsilon = \epsilon_{H-u} - \epsilon_{H-v}$, the difference between the $H-u$ and $H-v$ nearest neighbor binding energies. The 2nd term is the migration energy ($\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0}$) of the hydrogen atoms concomitant to the lattice dilation [5–7]. Then, the activation volume of hydrogen $V^*$ for diffusion is $V^* = \left( \Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0} / \Delta \rho \right) T$, where $\Delta \rho$ is the pressure applied to return the molar volume of the binary Pd–u (solute) alloy to that of Pd, i.e., $V^*$. The $V^*$ is assumed not to depend on the pressure. For an elastically isotropic solid, $\Delta \rho$ can be given in terms of the bulk modulus $B$ at a given temperature. The $V_s$ is the molar volume of the Pd–u binary solid solution,

$$V_s = V / (n_u + n_v) = \theta_u \bar{V}_u + (1 - \theta_u) \bar{V}_v \simeq \Delta V \theta_u + V_v^0,$$

where $n's$ is number of moles, $\bar{V}s$ the partial molar volume, $\bar{V}_u \simeq V^0 + \Delta V$ and $\bar{V}_v \simeq V_v^0$.

The quantity $\Delta V$ is obtained from lattice parameter measurements, and is defined by

$$\Delta V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{p} = \frac{3}{4} N_o a_{PD}^2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial a_{PD}} \bigg|_{p},$$

where $N_o$ is Avogadro’s number, $a_{PD}$ the lattice parameter of Pd, $a_o$ the lattice parameters of the solid solutions. Of course, if $\theta_u = 0$, then $\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0} = 0$ and equation (4) reduces to Arrhenius relation. In the case of $\Delta G_{H\theta}^{O1.0} > 0$, the interstitial site feels totally an “anti-trapping” effect, and in
the opposite case, the site feels a trapping effect.

Now, we will compare the above model, i.e., eq. (4), with the experimental data of Pd-rich alloys containing 9.6 at. % Ni and 20.0 at. % Ni, and of Ni-rich alloy with 15.2 at. % Pd. In computer fitting with the observed data, we assumed that the value of $D_\text{exp} [-E_i / R T]$ in eq. (4) for the Pd-rich and Ni-rich alloys is equal to the experimental data of pure Pd and pure Ni, respectively and that for simplicity $E_k$ is equal to $E_i$. Thus, by taking $A \Gamma_{i'O}$ and $(D_k + D_p)$ as parameters to be determined to get the best fit, the sum of $(D_{\text{obs}} - D_{\text{cal}})^2$ at the solute concentrations and $T = 298$ K was calculated until a minimum was obtained. In this process a wide range of $A \Gamma_{i'O}$ and $(D_k + D_p)$ was tried. In particular, we had $A \Gamma_{i'O}$ stepped by 0.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$. The best fit values of $A \Gamma_{i'O}$ and $(D_k + D_p)$ are given in Table 2.

It can be seen that the 1st nearest neighbor octahedral sites around Ni atoms in the Pd-rich alloys have an "trapping" effect, and conversely the sites around Pd atoms in the Ni-rich alloy have an "anti-trapping" effect. However the thermodynamic data of hydrogen solution in the alloys do not shed too much light on the situation, because the partial enthalpy of a dissolved hydrogen $A H_o$ at infinite dilution increases with increasing Ni content in the Pd-rich alloys[14].

The comparison of the experimental data with calculated values of diffusivity $D$ at 298 K is given in Fig. 3. The closed symbols are the calculated values. Despite the degree of simplification involved, the present model provides a good description of the experimental data.

Now, if the solute atoms are assumed to be identical to Pd atoms and do not act as either trapping or anti-trapping effects for hydrogen atoms, but they only change the local interatomic potential experienced by an isolated hydrogen atom as a consequence of the lattice dilation, i.e., $\Delta \epsilon = 0$, then we can estimate the activation volume $V*$ from eq. (5), using the bulk modulus of Pd and its variation with the solutes and the lattice parameters of the alloys.

In the calculation, we assumed the bulk modulus does change in a linear manner for the alloys as written in the form $B = B_o - \delta \theta_o$, by taking the bulk modulus for pure metals[15] as limits, where $B_o$ is the bulk modulus of Pd[15].

The estimated activation volume $V*$ are given in Table 2. The $V*$ values for the Pd-rich alloys are $V* = (0.3 \sim 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$, and the value for the Ni-rich alloy is $V* = 0.9 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$. These values are comparable to $V* = 0.43 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for Pd--Ag alloys deduced by McLellan--Ishikawa [5,6], and also to $V* = (0.43 \sim 1.09) \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for Pd--(Ce, Y, B) alloys estimated by our previous study[7]. However, as the actual trapping effects are completely neglected in the calculation, the estimates are not necessarily well-established.

### Table 2. Calculated values of $A \bar{\Delta} G^{01.0}_H$, $(D_k + D_p)$, and $V*$ for Pd-rich and Ni-rich side alloys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\bar{\Delta} G^{01.0}_H$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$(D_k + D_p) \times 10^6$ [m$^2$s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$V* \times 10^6$ [m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd--9.8 at. % Ni</td>
<td>$-2.5$</td>
<td>$0.1$</td>
<td>$0.66$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd--20.0 at. % Ni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.31$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni--15.2 at. % Pd</td>
<td>$10.4$</td>
<td>$4.0$</td>
<td>$0.93$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The diffusivity of hydrogen in annealed and cold rolled Pd--Ni alloys has been measured in the composition range 0 to 100 at. % Ni and in the temperature range 279 to 335 K. In each alloy composition, the hydrogen diffusivity obeyed the classical Arrhenius relation within the temperature range of measurements. As the Ni-concentration increases, the $D$ value decreases. The cold rolling decreases the diffusivity, and that results in an increase in the activation energy for diffusion. This decrease in
The diffusivity data found for the fully annealed Pd-rich and Ni-rich alloys are consistent with the proposed diffusion model for solid solution, and the change in diffusivity is explained in terms of the lattice dilation effect.
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