Current Topics in DNA Double-Strand Break Repair
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DNA double strand break (DSB) is one of the most critical types of damage which is induced by ionizing radiation. In this review, we summarize current progress in investigations on the function of DSB repair-related proteins. We focused on recent findings in the analysis of the function of proteins such as 53BP1, histone H2AX, Mus81-Eme1, Fanc complex, and UBC13, which are found to be related to homologous recombination repair or to non-homologous end joining. In addition to the function of these proteins in DSB repair, the biological function of nuclear foci formation following DSB induction is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION: AT the broken DNA ends

Ionizing radiation (IR) induces a variety of DNA lesions, including single- and double-strand breaks, DNA-protein cross-links, and various base damages. A DNA double-strand break (DSB) is one of the most serious threats to cells because it can result in loss or rearrangement of genetic information, leading to cell death or carcinogenesis. There are at least two repair pathways which can repair DSBs: (1) non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ)- and/or micro-homology-mediated recombination, and (2) homologous recombination (HR)-mediated repair.¹ These damage responding repair pathways are thought to be regulated by several major steps. First, a sensor protein (probably, ATM or Rad50/Mre11/NBS1 complex) recognizes damage induction by radiation. Second, mediator proteins receive a structural modification by the sensor protein(s), and this modification is converted to a compatible form for signal amplification by transducer proteins. These transducers amplify the signal, and finally, effector proteins accomplish enzymatic reactions of DNA end processing, rejoining, or cell cycle regulation. Figure 1 shows a brief overview of relationship among radiation-DSB responding factors. When DSBs are generated, ATM protein kinase is activated and relocates through an interaction with Rad50/Mre11/NBS1 complex.² Then ATM phosphorylates histone H2AX and many other substrate proteins including Artemis, MDC1, NBS1, p53, Chk2, and DNA-PKcs kinase. ATM-phosphorylated proteins activate cell cycle checkpoints, NHEJ repair pathway, and HR repair-related pathways. Hence, ATM kinase, whose mutation causes a genetic disorder, ataxia-telangiectasia (AT), at the broken DNA ends is an central regulator of the DSB responding pathway. In addition to signal transduction, many proteins involved in damage response, including activated ATM itself, form nuclear foci (see chapters 3, 7, and Fig. 7). Recently, it has been found that proteins involved in HR pathway are often ubiquitinated and this seems to be essential for HR repair (chapter 6).

In this review, we summarize current topics in DNA repair with a focus on the function of proteins related to HR repair (chapters 4, 5, and 6), a novel NHEJ pathway that is mediated by 53BP1 (chapter 2), and the biological function of nuclear foci formation of damage sensor or mediator proteins (chapters 3 and 7).

2. 53BP1-dependent repair pathway for X-ray-induced DNA damage

DSBs activate signaling responses, termed cell-cycle checkpoints, which monitor DNA damage and transduce signals to coordinate repair and cell cycle progression.³ One
of the key players of cell-cycle checkpoints is the tumor suppressor protein p53. p53 is activated and posttranscriptionally modified in response to DNA damage. These modifications include phosphorylation by ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM), a central signaling kinase in the response to DNA damage. p53 transcriptionally activates genes involved in cell cycle control, DNA repair and apoptosis, and participates in the maintenance of the genome integrity after DNA damage.

Using the yeast two-hybrid system, 53BP1 was identified as a protein that binds to wild type p53. Human 53BP1 consists of 1972 amino acid residues, the C-terminus of which contains tandem BRCA1 C-terminus (BRCT) motifs. 53BP1 binds to the DNA-binding domain of p53 through 53BP1’s BRCT motifs. BRCT domain is found in a large number of proteins involved in the cellular responses to DNA damage, suggesting 53BP1’s roles in these aspects. Consistently, 53BP1 rapidly forms discrete nuclear foci in response to γ-radiation. These foci colocalize with phosphorylated H2AX (γ-H2AX), a marker of DNA DSBs, indicating that 53BP1 relocates to sites of DNA DSBs in response to γ-radiation. The minimal domain for focus formation consists of tandem Tudor motifs, which have been reported to associate with various methylated lysine residues in histone H3 and H4. These include lysines K79 in histone H3 and K20 in histone H4. Although methylation of histone H3 K79 is unaltered in response to DNA damage, K79 lies in the nucleosome core, and is inaccessible under normal conditions. Because of this, 53BP1 is proposed to sense changes in higher-order chromatin structure.

53BP1 becomes hyperphosphorylated in response to γ-radiation. ATM-deficient cells show no 53BP1 hyperphosphorylation, and inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase family by wortmannin strongly inhibited γ-radiation-induced hyperphosphorylation. In addition, 53BP1 is readily phosphorylated by ATM in vitro. These results suggest that 53BP1 is an ATM substrate that is involved in cellular responses to DSBs. However, there is some evidence that 53BP1 have a role in DNA damage signaling upstream of ATM. Analysis of mammalian cell lines depleted in 53BP1 expression through small interfering RNA revealed that 53BP1 is required for accumulation of p53, G2-M checkpoint, intra-S-phase checkpoint, and optimal phosphorylation of at least a subset of ATM substrates such as Chk2, BRCA1 and Smc1 in response to radiation-induced DNA damages. These results indicate that 53BP1 is a central mediator of the DNA damage checkpoints.

The Tudor motifs also stimulate end-joining by DSB repair proteins DNA ligase IV/Xrcc4, but not by T4 DNA ligase in vitro. This suggests that 53BP1 has the potential to participate directly in the repair of DNA DSBs. DSBs are repaired by two major pathways: HR and NHEJ. HR primarily uses the undamaged sister chromatid as a DNA template allowing for accurate repair of the lesions, and functions in late S-G2 phase. NHEJ is an error-prone joining of DNA ends with the use of little or no sequence homology, and plays a major role in the repair of IR-induced DSBs, especially during the G1 phase of the cell cycle when sister
chromatids are not available. Riballo and their colleagues proposed a model for the repair of IR-induced DSBs during the G1 phase in mammalian cells, in which the majority of DSBs are rejoined by the “core NHEJ”, but repair of a sub-fraction of DSBs requires Artemis, an endonuclease required for processing the hairpin intermediate generated during V(D)J recombination. The “core NHEJ” is composed of Lig IV/Xrcc4, Ku70/Ku80, and DNA-PKcs. Artemis is a downstream component of ATM-dependent signaling in DSB repair, and the ATM/Artemis-dependent repair pathway also requires proteins locating to sites of DSBs, including 53BP1. However, in chicken DT40 cells, 53BP1 seems to contribute to survival of cells irradiated with IR during the G1 without Ku70 or Artemis. We established 53BP1-deficient chicken DT40 cells. 53BP1-deficient cells show increased sensitivity to X-rays during G1 phase. Although intra-S and G2/M checkpoints are intact, a frequency of isochromatid-type chromosomal aberrations is elevated after irradiation in 53BP1-deficient cells. Furthermore, disappearance of X-ray-induced γ-H2AX foci is prolonged in 53BP1-deficient cells. Thus, the elevated X-ray sensitivity in G1 phase cells is attributable to repair defect for IR-induced DNA-damage. Epistasis analysis revealed that 53BP1 is non-epistatic with Ku70 and Artemis, but epistatic with DNA ligase IV. Strikingly, disruption of the 53BP1 gene together with inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase family by wortmannin completely abolishes colony formation by cells irradiated during G1 phase. These results demonstrate that there is a 53BP1-dependent repair pathway which is distinct from the Ku70-dependent and Artemis-dependent NHEJ pathways (Fig. 2).

The 53BP1-dependent pathway made a larger contribution to cell survival in G1 than in early S phase, suggesting that the 53BP1-dependent pathway is regulated at the G1 to S phase transition by mechanisms distinct from the other two pathways. It has been shown that 53BP1-deficient mice have intact V(D)J recombination but impaired class switch recombination. It is unclear whether the 53BP1-dependent repair pathway is involved in class switch recombination. However, if, as proposed, class switch recombination occurs in the G1 phase of the cell cycle, it is possible that, in vertebrates, class switch recombination is the main stage at which 53BP1 participates in DNA damage repair.

3. Role of NBS1 and histone H2AX in DNA double-strand break repair

Nijmegen breakage syndrome (NBS) is a radiation-hypersensitive genetic disorder. NBS and AT show the similar cellular phenotypes such as radiation-hypersensitivity, chromosomal instability and radiation-resistant DNA synthesis. So far, it has been clarified that the responsible gene product of NBS, NBS1, interacts with ATM (the responsible gene product of AT syndrome) and this interaction is indispensable for the recruitment of ATM to DSB sites and activation of ATM kinase. Hence, the functional interaction between NBS1 and ATM is important for the regulation of cell cycle checkpoints. Previously, we reported that NBS1 forms a complex with MRE11 nuclease and RAD50 and worked for HR repair in DT-40 chicken cells. Moreover, NBS1 forms the complex with γ-H2AX in response to DSB damage, and this interaction is essential to the recruitment of NBS1 to DSB sites. These facts suggest that the NBS1 complex may function for DSB repair together with ATM and γ-H2AX in human cells. NBS1 has BRCT and FHA domains in the N-terminus, ATM-phosphorylating sites in the central region, and hMRE11 and ATM-binding sites in the C-terminus (Fig. 3). Therefore, we investigated the role of these domains for HR repair using a DR-GFP assay.
ATM-complemented cells, suggesting that ATM might be dispensable for HR repair. As γ-H2AX interacts with NBS1 through the FHA/BRCT domain, we also examined the role of H2AX in HR repair. H2AX-knockout ES cells showed a decrease in HR activity, and the mutation into the acetylated or sumoylated site of H2AX influenced the DSB-induced foci formation and HR activity. Sumoylation of H2AX was confirmed by an in vitro E. coli sumoylation system.33 Furthermore, the repression of acetylation at common sites between H2A and H2AX by a specific inhibitor also decreased IR-induced foci formation and HR activity. These results suggest that the modification of H2AX is related to the recruitment of DSB-related proteins and to HR repair. Taken together, both NBS1 and H2AX could function in HR repair, although ATM, which functionally and physically interacts with NBS1, is dispensable for HR.

4. The role of the Mus81-Eme1 endonuclease in maintenance of genome integrity

The heterodimeric Mus81-Eme1 structure-specific endonuclease plays a role in perturbed replication fork processing and DNA repair by HR. The complex preferentially cleaves nicked Holliday junctions, aberrant replication fork structures, D-loops, and 3’-flap structures, suggesting its roles both upstream and downstream of HR.34 Dysfunction of Mus81-Eme1 leads to hypersensitivity to a wide range of DNA-damaging agents. In yeast, mus81 mutants are hypersensitive to ultraviolet light, methylmethane sulfonate, camptothecin, and hydroxyurea, suggesting a role for the endonuclease in the rescue of stalled and collapsed replication forks.35 In contrast, murine and human Mus81 and Emel mutant cells are hypersensitive to mitomycin C and cisplatin but not to camptothecin.36,37 In addition, Mus81-Eme1 has been proposed to play a role in processing spontaneous DNA damage.38 In this chapter, evidence that the complex is involved in the maintenance of genome integrity is assessed.

An increase in chromosome aberrations represented by breaks, triradials, dicentrics, and fusions is observed in Mus81 and Emel-deficient mammalian cells.39,40 Furthermore, the frequency of aneuploidy is increased in these cells. Remarkably, haploinsufficiency of Mus81 or Emel also leads to these aberrations, suggesting that the proper biallelic expression of Mus81 and Emel is required for the maintenance of chromosome integrity in mammalian cells. Because these aberrations are observed in the absence of exogenous DNA damage, Mus81-Eme1 plays a role in processing spontaneous DNA lesions.

Mus81–/– murine cells accumulate in G2. Phosphorylation of Chk1 is elevated in these cells, indicating that the Chk1-mediated checkpoint is activated in response to spontaneous DNA damage.41 We examined the mechanisms underlying checkpoint activation using synchronized human HCT116 cells.38 Both damage-induced Chk1 and Chk2 phosphorylation was increased in Mus81 or Emel mutant cells during the S phase. Silencing of ATM reduced the frequency of cells with damage-induced Chk1 or Chk2 phosphorylation, whereas silencing of ATR did not affect the frequency. In addition, phosphorylation of Chk2 was increased in these cells in G2, which was reduced by silencing of ATM. These observations suggest that spontaneous DNA damage generated by Mus81-Eme1 dysfunction activates both the intra-S-phase and G2 checkpoints (Fig. 4).

The p53-mediated checkpoint activation is not observed in Mus81–/– cells in the absence of exogenous DNA damage. However, increased activation of p53 is observed in Mus81–/– cells compared with wild-type cells following mitomycin C treatment.40 This observation suggests that the p53-dependent checkpoint is activated in response to interstrand cross-linking-induced DNA damage in the absence of Mus81.

Both Mus81+/– and Mus81–/– mice exhibited a profound predisposition to lymphomas and other solid tumors.39

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mutated site</th>
<th>FHA</th>
<th>BRCT</th>
<th>ATM-phosphorylating</th>
<th>MRE11-binding</th>
<th>ATM-binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR activity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS1 foci</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRE11 foci</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Characteristic domains of NBS1. The domains (FHA, BRCT, MRE11-binding), which are essential for DSB-induced foci formation of MRE11, are indispensable for HR activity. The table summarizes the relationship between the site of NBS1 mutation and DNA damage response (HR activity, NBS1 foci formation, and MRE11 foci formation). (+): a little or no effect. (–): abrogate the listed function.
However, no increased susceptibility of tumor has been observed in another mouse model. It is therefore possible that Mus81-Eme1 dysfunction does not directly lead to tumorigenesis but rather contributes to chromosome instability. Importantly, a recent study has indicated that loss of one allele of Mus81 increases the predisposition of p53−/− mice to sarcoma. This observation suggests that Mus81 may play a role in suppressing sarcoma formation in collaboration with p53.

Thus, accumulating evidence suggests that cellular checkpoints are activated in response to both spontaneous and exogenous DNA damage in cells with Mus81-Eme1 dysfunction. Mus81-Eme1 is therefore likely to play a role in the maintenance of genome integrity in collaboration with multiple checkpoint pathways.

5. FA pathway and homologous recombination repair

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a rare hereditary disorder characterized by progressive bone marrow failure, compromised genome stability, and increased incidence of cancer (reviewed in Wang 2007). FA is caused by genetic defects in altogether 13 genes but this number may further increase in the future. These include genes encoding components of the FA core complex (FancA/B/C/E/F/G/L/M), a key factor FancD2, breast cancer susceptibility protein BRCA2/FancD1, BRCA2’s partner PALB2/FancN, BRIP1/FancJ helicase, and just recently discovered FancI. In addition, there are a few gene products that associate with the FA core complex (i.e. FAAP100 and FAAP24 proteins) but without known FA patients lacking these factors.

It has been well known that cells from FA patients display hypersensitivity to DNA crosslinks, and in this regard they seem to resemble cells deficient in HR proteins such as Rad51 paralogs. Moreover, they are often mildly sensitive to ionizing irradiation as well. These data may support an idea that basic defects in FA patients could be related to DNA DSB repair. However, until recently, the role played by FA proteins is largely unknown, except for the case of BRCA2, which regulates the central HR protein Rad51. In the DNA damage response, FancD2 and FancI proteins (they form D2-I complex) are targeted to chromatin and forms nuclear foci following their monoubiquitination, a process likely catalyzed by the FA core complex. These foci colocalize at least partially with Rad51 as well as BRCA1.

The monoubiquitination is critical for regulating nuclear dynamics of FancD2 (unpublished) as well as tolerance to cisplatin treatment. BRCA2/FancD1, PALB2/FANCN, and BRIP1 helicase are not required for FancD2/FancI monoubiquitination, but they should act downstream of, or in parallel to, the core complex-FancD2/FancI pathway.

We planned to examine function of the FA pathway by making knockout cell lines lacking FA proteins in chicken B cell line DT40. The rationale to choose this system is that there are a number of HR assays that could be performed in DT40 cells, and other genetic models such as yeast S. cerevisiae do not have a set of FA genes. Our DT40 FA mutant cell lines display similar basic phenotypes. They grow slower than wild type cells, and are hypersensitive to DNA crosslink inducer cisplatin, while radiation sensitivity is quite mild. We first tried to examine whether these mutant cells show defects in HR repair of chromosomal DSB induced by restriction enzyme I-SceI. In this assay, cells that have undergone HR repair form neo-resistant colonies, and the number of the colonies indicates DSB repair activity mediated by HR. We found that FANCD2- or FANCG-deficient cells are indeed defective in this HR assay. Our report was the first to show that the FA pathway is required for normal HR repair. Then we looked at the repaired chromosomal site in fancd2 cells by Southern blotting, and found that HR repair in this system was compromised not only quantitatively but also qualitatively. The mode of the HR repair was altered such that fraction of long tract gene conversion (LTGC) was decreased from 15% to...
Furthermore, ~5% of cells undergo aberrant repair that apparently started with HR but ended by ligation due to non-homologous end joining.\(^{51}\)

The utility of the DT40 system in HR research is highlighted by the phenomenon “immunoglobulin gene conversion (Ig GCV).” Chicken B lymphocytes diversify its Ig variable gene by GCV mechanism, which depends on Ig transcription, AID expression, and a set of HR factors.\(^{52}\) DT40 is originated in retrovirally-induced lymphoma in the Bursa of Fabricius, and still continues GCV in in vitro culture condition.\(^{53}\) We found Ig GCV occurs at significantly reduced rate in fancd2 cells, which is consistent with a role of the FA pathway in HR.\(^{51}\)

HR repair is proficient mainly during late S to G2 phases in the cell cycle,\(^{54}\) perhaps because of availability of the template (sister chromatid) and DSB end processing regulated by CDK\(^{55}\) as well as CtIP protein.\(^{56,57}\) Therefore we expected that FA protein deficiency should affect DSB repair in those cell cycle phases. Indeed, we found that synchronized fancd2-deficient cells display higher radiation sensitivity in late S to G2 phase compared to G1 to early S phases.\(^{55}\) Kinetics analysis of IR-induced chromosome aberration also supported this notion. Then we looked at IR sensitivity in ku70/fancg double knockout cells. In the absence of Ku70 protein, a critical NHEJ factor, DT40 cells are more tolerant to IR than wild type in higher dose range (4–12 Gy),\(^{54}\) suggesting that presence of Ku may hampers access of HR factors to the broken ends.\(^{58}\) The double knockout cells are slightly but significantly more IR sensitive than ku70 single knockout cells (unpublished data), consistent with the role of the FA pathway in HR but not in NHEJ.

We have also analyzed relationship between the classical FA pathway (the core complex-FancD2-FancI pathway) and FancD1/BRCA2.\(^{59}\) BRCA2 is essential for IR- or MMC-induced Rad51 foci formation but not for FancD2 foci formation, suggesting that the former is not a prerequisite for the latter. Likewise, FancD2 foci formation is not required for Rad51 foci formation. Consistently, DNA damage-induced chromatin loading of Rad51 is normal in cells deficient in FA proteins, raising a possibility that the FA pathway and BRCA2-Rad51 pathway are, at least in their activation phase, independent with each other and in a parallel relationship.\(^{59}\)

In conclusion, our data clearly demonstrated that the FA pathway participates HR repair (more extensively reviewed in Takata et al. 2006, 2007).\(^{49,60}\) Interestingly, BRCA2/FANCC double knockout cells show similar levels of IR sensitivity with BRCA2 mutant.\(^{59}\) Taken into account with Rad51 focus and chromatin loading data, this may suggest the FA pathway acts downstream of Rad51. However, further work is needed to draw definite conclusion regarding the function of the FA pathway.

6. UBC13, a ubiquitin E2 conjugating enzyme, plays critical roles in homologous recombination-mediated double strand break repair

Ubiquitylation is involved in DNA repair including nucleotide excision repair, crosslink repair, and postreplication repair (PRR). Rad6/Rad18, a ubiquitin E2/E3 enzyme complex, monoubiquitinites lysine 164 of PCNA, thereby facilitates the loading of translesion polymerases including Polη at blocked forks to resume replication.\(^{61,62}\) Another E2 enzyme, Ubc13 poly-ubiquitinates lysine 64 of PCNA, thereby facilitates the loading of translesion polymerases including Polη at blocked forks to resume replication.\(^{61,62}\) Another E2 enzyme, Ubc13 poly-ubiquitinates PCNA through lysine 63 of ubiquitine (K63) to regulate PRR in yeast. K63 poly-ubiquitination does not appear to involve recognition by the proteasome,\(^{63,64}\) and its role in damage response has been unclear.

Zhao in Takeda’s laboratory recently reported that vertebrate Ubc13 plays a critical role in HR-mediated DSB repair as well as PRR.\(^{65}\) UBC13\(^{-/-}\) DT40 cells show hypersensitivity to a wide range of DNA damaging agents including UV, X-ray, cross-linkers and camptothecin, and exhibit impaired extension of nascent strand over damaged templates, indicating a conserved role for Ubc13 in PRR in eukaryotic species.
In yeast, Rad18 and Ubc13 are involved in PRR but not HR. Surprisingly, Ubc13\textsuperscript{−/−} DT40 and Ubc13 knockdown human cells show a severe defect in HR as evidenced by a decrease in the frequency of gene targeting and the defective DSB repair of artificial HR substrates. To understand the cause of defective HR, we measured ionizing radiation-induced focus formation. The loss of Ubc13 reduces the focus formation of RPA, a single-strand (ss) binding protein, Brca1, and Rad51 but not that of γH2AX or autophosphorylated ATM (ATM\textsuperscript{P1981}). These results suggest that Ubc13 is required for the formation of a single-stranded overhang that is essential for the assembly of Rad51 at DSB ends. To explore a substrate for Ubc13 mediated ubiquitylation, we monitored IR-induced FK2 focus formation, which represents intensive conjugated ubiquitylation at the site of DSB. UBC13\textsuperscript{−/−} DT40 cells show virtually no FK2 focus and attenuated mono- and poly-ubiquitylation of γH2AX, following IR.\textsuperscript{65,66} Thus, H2AX is one of substrates for Ubc13. Presumably, poly-ubiquitylation by Ubc13 modifies local chromatin structure at the site of DSB, and thus increases the accessibility of HR factors including RPA and Rad51. It is of interest whether proteolytic degradation mediated by proteasome and poly-ubiquitylation via lysine 48 (K48) is involved in this Ubc13-dependent pathway. Murakawa et al. analyzed the effect of proteasome inhibitors on DSB repair. Interestingly, treatment of the cells with proteasome inhibitors resulted in phenotypes very similar to those caused by Ubc13 deficiency including the compromised HR and the impaired recruitment of Rad51 and RPA. Thus, the ubiquitin-proteasome system plays a critical role in HR-mediated DSB repair.\textsuperscript{67} It should be noted that Ubc13 catalyzes K63-dependent ubiquitylation implicated in signal transduction but not proteasome-mediated degradation. Thus, the relationship between Ubc13 mediated ubiquitylation and proteasome is not necessarily straightforward. Alternatively, it is possible that the proteasome inhibitors reduce free ubiquitin available for conjugation so that cells are unable to perform HR involving ubiquitylation. In summary, Ubc13-dependent ubiquitylation and probably proteolytic degradation are critical for promoting HR, which requires free single-stranded DNA tails, because the genome DNA of higher eukaryotic cells is maintained in a highly condensed chromatin folded into a higher order structure (Fig. 6).

7. RAD51 foci and ATM-dependent DNA damage signaling

DSBs induced by ionizing radiation are well known to stimulate the ATM-dependent DNA damage checkpoint pathway.\textsuperscript{29} The factors involved in this pathway, such as phosphorylated ATM, form discrete foci at the sites of DSBs, which amplify DNA damage signals.\textsuperscript{68} DSBs are repaired by two major repair pathways, NHEJ and HR.\textsuperscript{3} Although the factors regulating NHEJ do not form foci in G1, phosphorylated ATM forms foci, and number of which correlates well with the estimated number of DNA double strand breaks. NBS1, involved in HR, has been shown to form foci, and both NBS1 and phosphorylated NBS1 foci are colocalized with phosphorylated ATM foci in G1, S and G2. In contrast to NBS1, little is known about the role of the foci of RAD51, which is the major player in HR and DNA damage checkpoint signalling. The present study examined spatiotemporal relationship between ATM foci and RAD51 foci in normal human diploid cells exposed to X-rays.

By using extensive extraction prior to fixation, we successfully detected RAD51 foci in normal human cells even 30 minutes after X-irradiation with 0.5 Gy (Fig. 7). These foci were mainly observed in the S phase cells, and most of the foci were colocalized with phosphorylated ATM foci. Interestingly, a significant change in the size of phosphorylated ATM was observed, and grown foci were colocalized with phosphorylated NBS1 and phosphorylated BRCA1 foci, while the size of RAD51 foci remained unchanged. Three dimensional analysis revealed that RAD51 foci were included in a part of the large colocalized foci. Thus, it is indicated that phosphorylated ATM foci were created and grew to encircle RAD51 foci, which are the landmarks of chromatin regions processing HR.

Fig. 6. Ubc13 promotes HR by ubiquitinating proteins at the DSB.
These results suggest that the DNA damage checkpoint pathway is activated not only at the sites of DNA damage repaired by NHEJ, but also at the sites processed by HR. In addition, these results indicate that the foci of DNA damage checkpoint factors do not always reflect the sites of DSB repair. Instead, they light up the chromatin regions either directly modified by DSBs, or indirectly altered through DNA repair processes. These secondary changes in the chromatin structure may be involved in amplification of DNA damage checkpoint signals.

8. PERSPECTIVES

Both the HR and NHEJ repair pathways are biologically essential mechanisms for maintenance of chromosome or gene structure in higher eukaryotes. For mammalian immune systems, NHEJ is the central pathway for V(D)J recombination and HR mediates class switching. Because genetic disorders accompanying compromised HR function often presents cancer predisposition, normal HR should be an absolutely error-free repair pathway. Recently, it was reported that generation of DSBs associated with DNA replication stresses such as stalled replication forks closely related to cancer incidences and that these DNA replication-related DSBs are repaired through the HR pathway. This finding suggests the importance of HR repair for cancer prevention. In contrast, failure in regulation of HR often causes chromosomal translocation such as t(7;14) at TCR loci in AT patients, suggesting that the HR pathway also has potential risk of genetic alteration during DSB end processing.

It is still unclear how much NHEJ pathway is error prone. After DNA resection by RAG 1/2, NHEJ proteins in V(D)J recombination somehow 'accurately' join the DNA ends although terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase inserts additional sequences at a cording joint. This suggests that the majority of DNA ends could be accurately rejoined by NHEJ. One of the reasons why the NHEJ is thought to be error prone is because the chemical structure of radiation-induced DSB ends varies and those ends are often devoid of 5'-phosphate and/or 3'-OH groups. Accordingly, these abnormal ends must be removed by a nuclease for subsequent ligation. This end processing could result in a loss of several bases adjacent to the break point. Establishment of a quantitative assay that enables us to assess both the yield of different types of radiation-induced DSB ends and the efficiency of 'accurate' end processing should be helpful to solve the raised question.

Nuclear foci formation is also a mystery of DNA damage response. It is not well understood, in spite of intensive investigation by many researchers, why such many molecules must localize at the damaged site. It is no doubt that the foci, which are formed immediately after irradiation, must be the exact sites of DNA damage and repair reactions. The majority of the known foci-forming proteins are related to HR pathway whereas none of NHEJ-functioning proteins are reported to form the radiation-induced nuclear foci. Although the phosphorylation foci of DNA-PKcs following DNA damage induction is reported, this may not be bona fide nuclear foci formed via relocalization of the protein molecule itself. These observations suggest that the early nuclear foci could be sites of HR-repair.

In contrast to early nuclear foci, what is the biological function of the foci remaining for long time after DNA damage induction? Although it is suggested that these foci are sites of chromatin remodeling, almost all the DSBs disappear within several hours after irradiation. Thus, it is not clear why the chromatin remodeling sites persist long after the completion of DNA repair reaction. Further analysis of the mechanism of protein relocalization and chromatin remodeling would dissolve the mystery.
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Fig. 7. Colocalization of RAD51 and phosphorylated ATM foci.
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