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Diet studies of eared seals (Otariidae) are very important, because they are high-level consumers in marine ecosystems (Bowen 1997). Recently, non-lethal methods such as scat analysis have been applied to diet studies of eared seals (e.g., Zeppelin and Ream 2006; Sigler et al. 2009). In this analysis, diet compositions are estimated from the undigested hard part remains of prey items (fish otoliths, squid beaks, crustacean exoskeletons, etc.; Pierce and Boyle 1991). Fish species are identified based on the morphology of otoliths. Size and weight of fish consumed can also be estimated from the lengths of otoliths in scats (Sinclair et al. 1994). Although scat analysis is a useful method that provides dietary information without animal capture, the resultant information could include biases caused by digestion (Jobling and Breiby 1986; Yonezaki et al. 2003; Gudmundson et al. 2006). Fish otoliths are partially, or even completely, digested during passage through the alimentary system. Feeding experiments of various eared seals have been conducted to investigate the potential biasing effects of digestion on otoliths (e.g., Staniland 2002; Tollit et al. 2003). Numerical loss and length reduction rates of fish otoliths in scat samples were assessed from captive experiments, and correction factors calculated to enable the reconstruction of the original number and body lengths of fishes that were consumed. These correction factors are known to vary with prey species, eared seal species and experimental conditions (e.g., Bowen 2000; Orr and Harvey 2001; Staniland 2002). However, few studies have applied the correction factors to wild samples and tested the validity of the diet reconstruction.

The purpose of this study was to use experimentally designed meals and captive northern fur seals (*Callorhinus ursinus*) to calculate correction factors (CFs) for reconstruction of the myctophid fish size to compensate for the effects of digestion. Moreover, we apply the CFs to otoliths recovered from the large intestine contents of wild fur seal specimens, and estimated the size of the myctophid fish eaten. Myctophid fish used in the experiment are dominant components of micronekton communities in oceanic ecosystems and are important prey items of northern fur seals in the western North Pacific Ocean (Yonezaki et al. 2003; Yonezaki et al. 2008). Therefore, the calculation of CFs of myctophid fish otoliths enables data for use in the reconstruction of the diet of northern fur seals based on scats.

Materials and methods

Feeding experiments

Feeding experiments were conducted with a sub-adult male (NFS 1; 5-yr-old, body mass 41.5 kg) and an adult female (NFS 2; 14-yr-old, body mass 30.6 kg) northern fur seals kept in the Izu-Mito Sea Paradise (Aquarium), Shizuoka, Japan from November to December 2000. These experimental animals were kept in 8.0 m$^2$ and 5.5 m$^2$ compartments, respectively. They were maintained on a diet of blue mackerel (*Scomber australasicus*) and were fed twice daily (in the morning and evening) before each experimental period. Meal sizes were approximately 4.0 kg day$^{-1}$ (NFS 1) and 2.0 kg day$^{-1}$ (NFS 2).

The experimental prey (Japanese lanternfish *Notoscolex japonicus* (JL) and California headlightfish *Diaphus theta* (CH)) were used from samples caught during a trawl survey off the Sanriku coast in August 2000. The long diameter length of sagittal otolith (OL, x: mm) – standard length (SL, y: cm) regression formula for JL was determined by the least-squares method using subsamples from the trawl survey in 2000. Regression formula for CH was used from Ohizumi et al. (2001). Regression formulae are given in the following equation:
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Japanese lanternfish:
\[ y = 1.789x + 2.213 \ (n = 65, r^2 = 0.72) \]
California headlightfish:
\[ y = 2.964x - 1.283 \ (Ohizumi et al. 2001, n = 49, r^2 = 0.84) \]

The protocol of a feeding trial was that the fur seals were fed the experimental prey in the morning of the first day, after the experimental feeding, the fur seals were fed blue mackerel without heads and digestive organs twice a day until the end of each trial to avoid contamination of non-experimental otoliths. SLs of experimental prey (True-SLs) were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm prior to the experimental feeding. After experimental feeding, each animal was checked hourly during the day and scats were collected when they were observed. The animals were videotape-recorded during the night and scats were collected the following morning. The trial ended when otoliths no longer appeared in two successive scats. As a result, the duration of the trials was five days. During each trial fur seals were held on land, but were washed by water at the time of room cleaning in the morning and at feeding time. Fur seals were allowed to swim in pools between the trials.

Recovered scats were collected in plastic bags and soaked in the home detergent diluted with water. Scat samples were gently washed under running water through a series of three sieves (2.0, 1.0, and 0.5 mm) in the laboratory. All particles remaining on the sieves were collected and preserved in 70% ethanol. OLs were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm under a binocular microscope. Left and right otoliths were distinguished wherever possible.

For each feeding trial, recovery rates of otoliths were determined. SLs of JL and CH (Estimated-SL) were calculated from the recovered OLs using the above OL-SL regression formulae.

The shapes of all the collected otoliths from scats can be identified to the prey species (Ohizumi et al. 2001). Therefore, CFs were calculated by dividing the mean True-SL by the mean Estimated-SL for each prey species and for male and female fur seals (Orr and Harvey 2001).

### Analysis of wild northern fur seals samples

Ten northern fur seals (one juvenile male, one juvenile female, and eight adult females) were collected off the Sanriku coast (37°18’N, 141°59’E) by R/V No.38 Kanki-maru (413 GT) on 20 April 1998, as part of ongoing research monitoring program on the reproductive condition of this species. Their mean (±SD) body weight and length were 33.5 kg (±9.8). The fur seals were dissected onboard the research vessel, and the large intestines of specimens were preserved frozen at −20°C. The large intestine samples were thawed and incised later in the laboratory. Otoliths collected from the large intestine contents were treated using the same method as in the feeding experiments. Otoliths of myctophid fishes were identified based on the description of Ohizumi et al. (2001) and counted under a binocular microscope. These OLs were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm. SLs were calculated using the regression formulae. Furthermore, the calculated SLs were corrected using the CFs determined from the feeding experiments. Considering that northern fur seals show significant sexual dimorphism, the CFs were applied to each sex.

### Trawl sampling and comparison of fish size composition

Fish samples were collected during the night of 20 April 1998 off the Sanriku coast (36°58’N, 142°10’E) with a trawl net towed at a speed of approximately 5 knots for 30 min by R/V Shunyo-maru (396 GT). Sam-

---

**Table 1.** Number (n), standard length (SL [cm]; mean and standard deviation [SD]) of experimental prey fed to northern fur seals. Recovery rates of otoliths in scats and calculated SLs from the recovered OLs using the OL-SL regression formula. Percentage of SL reduction calculated by the comparison of True-SL and Estimated-SL and the correction factors for SL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental prey species</th>
<th>Ingested prey species</th>
<th>Estimated prey species from otoliths in scats</th>
<th>% SL reduction</th>
<th>Correction factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scientific name</td>
<td>Common name</td>
<td>Trial code</td>
<td>% Recovery of otoliths</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Notothenia sitchensis</em></td>
<td>Japanese lanternfish</td>
<td>JL-NFS 1</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Notoscopelus japonicus</em></td>
<td>Japanese lanternfish</td>
<td>JL-NFS 2</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diaphus theta</em></td>
<td>California headlightfish</td>
<td>CH-NFS 1</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH-NFS 2</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % Recovery = (Left otoliths + Right otoliths + the total number of otoliths of undetermined orientation)/2 × n × 100
* significant difference (P < 0.01)
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Plling depths ranged from 0 to 50 m (1st trawl, 0–25 m, 2nd trawl, 25–50 m) to cover the main feeding depths of northern fur seals (Gentry et al. 1986). The trawl net had a opening of 30 m × 30 m, total length of 90 m, and 10 mm meshed cod-end. Trawl samples were sorted by species on deck. Subsamples of JL and CH were extracted at random from the trawl samples, and SLs were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm. JH and CH were mainly collected from the first (sampling depth, 0–25 m) and second trawls (sampling depth, 25–50 m), respectively.

The SL compositions estimated from OLs of JL and CH collected from the large intestine contents of fur seals with and without the correction by using the CFs of different sexes that were compared with the SL compositions of the trawl samples. All statistical analyses were performed using R. 2.9.2 (R Development Core Team, http://www.r-project.org/).

Results and discussion

Six scats (JL-NFS 1), eight scats (JL-NFS 2) and four samples of regurgitated materials (JL-NFS 2) were collected in the JL experiments. Five scats (CH-NFS 1), seven scats (CH-NFS 2) and one sample of regurgitated material (CH-NFS 1), one sample of regurgitated material (CH-NFS 2) were collected in the CH experiments. All collected otoliths were recovered from scats; the otoliths did not appear in the samples of regurgitated materials. This is because all OLs were smaller than the diameter of the pyloric end of the stomach of the fur seals (Yonezaki et al. 2003). Most of the otoliths were recovered from scats excreted within two days after feeding (Fig. 1). The excretion pattern was similar to other captive feeding experiments of California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) (Orr and Harvey 2001).

The recovery rates of otoliths from scats were high (54.1–90.3%) despite the small sizes of myctophid otoliths (OL range: 1.75–6.21 mm) (Table 1). In other feeding experiments using otariids (California sea lion and South American fur seal Arctocephalus australis), the recovery rates of otoliths that were approximately the same size as the myctophid otoliths were low (26.4–49.4%) (Dellinger and Trillmich 1988). In general, myctophid otoliths are large for their body sizes and robust (Ohizumi et al. 2001). The high recovery rates of our experiments are related to the characteristics of the myctophid otoliths.

The mean OLs of JL and CH collected from scats were 4.80 mm (±0.61) (JL-NFS 1), 3.89 mm (±0.97) (JL-NFS 2), 2.52 mm (±0.44) (CH-NFS 1), and 2.38 mm (±0.47) (CH-NFS 2). For both individuals the mean Estimated-SLs were significantly smaller than the True-SLs (JL-NFS 1: F-test: F = 1.7406, P = 0.07723, t-test: t = –11.7399, P < 0.01, JL-NFS 2: F-test: F = 3.3879, P = 0.0003546, Welch Two Sample t-test: t = –12.2781, P < 0.01, CH-NFS 1: F-test: F = 1.3139, P = 0.1371, t-test: t = –3.8162, P < 0.01, CH-NFS 2: F-test: F = 1.5767, P = 0.01325, Welch Two Sample t-test: t = –6.3339, P < 0.01).

337 otoliths (JL) and 38 otoliths (CH) were collected from the large intestine contents of the wild fur seals.

The mean SLs of JL and CH of reconstructed without CFs were significantly 0.92 (JL) and 0.94 (CH) times more than mean SLs of the trawl sample (JL: F-test: F = 1.6863, P = 0.02451, Welch Two Sample t-test: t = –10.4519, P < 0.01, CH: F-test: F = 3.4644, P < 0.01, Welch Two Sample t-test: t = –2.8467, P < 0.01). The factor of the underestimation can be considered the effects of digestion. However, if we use the CFs derived from the captive experiments, the mean reconstructed SLs were significantly 1.29 (JL) and 1.07 (CH)
times more than that of the trawl samples (JL: F-test: \( F = 3.6331, P < 0.01 \), Welch Two Sample t-test: \( t = 34.3224, P < 0.01 \), CH: F-test: \( F = 4.3103, P < 0.01 \), Welch Two Sample t-test: \( t = 2.92, P < 0.01 \) (Table 2 and Figs. 2 and 3). Three explanations are possible for this discrepancy in prey size: (i) size-selective feeding on myctophids by wild fur seals (ii) size-selective sampling in our trawl survey (iii) overestimation of SLs by using CFs derived from captive feeding experiments. There is only very limited information available in the literature on size-selective predation by northern fur seals. It may be difficult for fur seals to select large size fishes in the same patch while foraging, considering that the predation of myctophids by fur seals occurs at nighttime (Yonezaki et al. 2008). They capture prey species mainly depending on vision. In this study, the variances of corrected SLs were significantly larger than the trawl samples. Prey size selectivity by fur seals could not explain the discrepancy in prey size.

There was a report that the small sized fishes of myctophid patch were distributed at shallow water depth (Yatsu et al. 2005). However, the difference in the size composition was at the level of a few millimeters. Considering the swimming speed of myctophid fishes and towing speed of trawl sampling, it is hard to account for smaller fishes being sampled selectively.

Under experimental conditions, depending on the level of animal activity, the excretion rate differs (Tollit et al. 2003). In the inactive condition, fish otoliths remain in the stomach for a longer time, and therefore become more susceptible to digestion by digestive acids. Therefore, the otoliths will be more reduced. On the
other hand, in the wild, the so-called active condition, otoliths do not remain in the stomach and are not exposed to the effects of digestive acids for as long as inactive seals (Dellinger and Trillmich 1988). Therefore if CF calculated under experimental conditions is applied to fur seals in the wild, it would overestimate the prey size. Differences in SL compositions between prey of wild fur seals with CFs and trawl samples are considered to be due to the above overestimate. In this study, (i) and (ii) hypotheses can not be excluded completely, but in order to calculate the CF based on feeding experiments, seals in the active condition should be assessed.
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