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Abstract

Despite recent progress in the understanding of prion diseases, little is known about the host-defense mechanisms against prion. Although it has long been thought that type I interferon (IFN-I) has no protective effect on prion infection, certain key molecules in innate immunity such as toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 seemed to be involved in the host response. For this reason we decided to focus on TLRs and investigate the role of a transcription factor, interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), because the absence of MyD88, a major adaptor signaling molecule of TLRs, has no effect on the survival of prion infected mice. Intriguingly, survival periods of prion inoculated IRF3-knockout mice became significantly shorter than those of wild-type mice. In addition, IRF3 stimulation inhibited PrPSc replication in prion persistently-infected cells, and a de novo prion infection assay revealed that IRF3-overexpression could make host cells resistant to prion infection. Our work suggests that IRF3 may play a key role in innate immune responses against invasion of prion pathogens. Activated IRF3 could up-regulate several anti-pathogen factors, including IFN-I, and induce sequential responses. Although the mechanism for the anti-prion effects mediated by IRF3 has yet to be clarified, certain interferon responsive genes might be involved in the anti-prion host-defense mechanism.
The hallmarks of prion disease

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) are fatal progressive neurodegenerative disorders which feature three major histopathological findings: spongiform change, neuronal loss and gliosis. Although TSEs were originally thought to be caused by slow-virus infections, no exogenous viral genome has been identified. The infectious agent, now called prion, is thought not to possess its own genome and to be composed uniquely of prion proteins, which are encoded by the host gene. The infectious particles are composed mainly of proteinase K (PK)-resistant and β-sheet rich amyloid isoforms of prion protein (PrPSc) which are generated by conformational conversion of PrPC via unknown post-translational modifications. Effective therapeutics have yet to be established, although several compounds are known to inhibit the conversion process. Virus-like interference between distinct prion strains has been reported, but little is known thus far about the host-defense mechanisms against prion. It has long been thought that the host immune system does not recognize prion, because the sequence of PrPSc is identical to that of host PrP and also because the agent lacks its own genome, but several recent reports including ours suggest that the host defense system does indeed play at least a partially protective role against prion infection.

Interference between distinct prion infections

Biological diversity among prion strains is known to exist, with different strains producing
distinct symptoms, histopathological lesion profiles and incubation periods. These phenotypic
traits are handed down through serial transmission\textsuperscript{2}, and strain characteristics are maintained
through serial passage in a variety of experimental animals and cell cultures. Interference is
known to exist among prion strains. The pre-infection of mice with an attenuated strain (SY)
featuring a long-incubation period significantly suppressed the effect of superinfection with a
strong strain (FK) possessing a short incubation period\textsuperscript{3}, in an in vitro pure cell culture system
in the absence of immunocompetent cells\textsuperscript{4}. One of the best studied mechanisms of viral
interference is the anti-viral effect of type I interferon (IFN-I) which is induced following
recognition of virus-derived nucleic acids or proteins by the host. It was not known, however,
whether such IFN-responses were also evoked in host cells in the case of prion infection. As
early as the 1970s, it was reported that the administration of IFNs and anti-interferon globulin
had no therapeutic effect against goat-derived scrapie infection in animal models\textsuperscript{5-7}. In another
early study, IFNs were not detected in the serum, spleens, or brains of mice infected with
scrapie\textsuperscript{8}. More recently, IFN-\(\beta\) mRNA was shown not to be increased in the brains of CJD
patients\textsuperscript{9} or in mice infected with ME7 prion strain\textsuperscript{10}. On the other hand, IFN-stimulated genes
(ISGs), such as Mx and 2’5’-OAS, were increased by 263K infection in hamsters\textsuperscript{11,12} and by
139A, ME7 or Rocky Mountain Laboratory (RML) strains in mice\textsuperscript{12,13}. In the microglia of
CJD-affected human brains, increases in interferon regulatory factor (IRF) family gene
expression were also documented. These observations would suggest that although the initial
activation of the innate immune system is slight, provoking only subtle IFN production, this
may in turn stimulate more abundant IFN production. Further elucidation of the role of the
innate immune system is needed to uncover the mechanisms behind this phenomenon.

Pattern-recognition receptor (PRR)-mediated innate immune responses to prion infection

Generally, the invasion of pathogens is recognized initially by the innate immune system with
the switching on of the cellular defense system in the lymphoid cells, leading to the production
of cytokines and IFNs. The innate immune responses are initiated through both TLRs and
intracellular sensor molecules such as retinoic acid inducible gene-I (RIG-I) and melanoma
differentiation associated gene-5 (MDA5). These molecules are termed PRRs as they can
recognize characteristic structures, collectively known as pathogen-associated molecular
patterns (PAMPs), in various types of foreign pathogens, such as bacterial cell wall components
and viral envelope glycoproteins. The various intracellular signaling cascades that follow PRR
stimulation eventually converge to synthesize type I IFN (α and β), pro-inflammatory
cytokines such as TNF-α and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10, that are mediated by
transcription factors of the IRF family (IRF3 and/or IRF7). The secreted IFNs stimulate cells in
both an autocrine and paracrine manner to up-regulate various IFN responsive genes. Finally,
chemoattractants induced by IFN render host cells resistant to further infection at sites of
foreign antigen infection and/or by proteins that directly interfere with viral replication\textsuperscript{15}.

The role of conventional PAMPs in prion infection is puzzling. It has been reported that pretreatment with innate immune activators, such as complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA)\textsuperscript{18} and unmethylated CpG DNA\textsuperscript{19}, both of which are known to activate immune response-mediated TLR2 and -9, delayed the onset of TSE in mice inoculated with RML strain. On the other hand, LPS post-treatment, despite strongly activating innate immunity mediated TLR4 in lymphocytes, exacerbated the pathology in mice following prion inoculation\textsuperscript{20}, and Poly[I:C] post-treatment, selectivity acting on TLR3, RIG-I and MDA5, showed similar effects on prion infection\textsuperscript{10}. Poly[I:C] pre-treatment also had no effect on survival times following scrapie agent infection\textsuperscript{8}.

Collectively, prion pathogenesis was modified by the innate immune response of the host by the stimulators under certain experimental conditions, but the molecular mechanism underlying these complicated results remains to be elucidated.

Deletion of MyD88 gene, a major intracellular signal transducer in most TLRs, with the exception of TLR3, did not significantly affect the incubation time in the same mouse RML prion model\textsuperscript{21}. On the other hand, mice expressing a refractory mutation of TLR4 showed accelerated disease onset when they were infected with 139A and ME7 strains\textsuperscript{22}. In addition, mice deficient in CD40L, which is also located upstream of IRF3, readily succumbed to prion disease\textsuperscript{23}. As the signals following TLR4 stimulation will be transduced via both MyD88 and
TRIF, one can speculate that signal transduction mediated by TRIF-IRF3 might play a crucial role in the host defense system against prion infection.

Although the innate immune response to infectious agents in the central nervous system (CNS) has not been well studied, neurons were found to express most innate immunity-related genes and produce IFN-I in response to viral infection\(^\text{24}\). IRF3 is constitutively expressed in many CNS tissues and cells, including lymphocytes, glial cells, and neuroblastoma cells, as well as neurons\(^\text{25-27}\). Furthermore, it was recently reported that TLR3 and IRF3 have a role in herpes simplex encephalitis\(^\text{28}\) and rabies\(^\text{29}\). Accordingly, we focused on IRF3, which is a key transcription factor in the MyD88-independent (ie, TRIF-dependent) pathway, and induces IFN-I. In our study, IRF3 knockout (IRF3-/-) mice died significantly earlier than wild-type (WT) mice following intra-peritoneal inoculation with 22L, Fukuoka-1 (FK-1), or a mouse-adapted BSE (mBSE) strain. The accumulation of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc} in the spleens was detected earlier in the IRF3-/- mice compared to WT mice\(^\text{30}\). Although the pathological changes, such as the degree of degeneration and also the accumulation of PK resistant PrP in the brains of terminally ill mice were not obviously different between WT and IRF3-/-, innate immune responses mediated via IRF3 seemed to inhibit, in part at least, the disease progress. Using prion infected cell cultures, we were able to demonstrate that stimulation of IRF3 inhibits the production of PrP\textsuperscript{Sc}, and expression levels of IRF3 bore an inverse relation to resistance to prion
infection\(^30\). These results, therefore, indicate that IRF3 in the MyD88-independent pathway
signaling cascades is a key molecule in the host defense mechanism against prion pathogenesis.

**How does IRF3 suppress prion pathogenesis?**

The fact that activated IRF3 up-regulates mainly IFN-I in most cell types raises the possibility
that ISGs such as Mx and OAS, which are located downstream of IFN signaling, have some
kind of protective role against prion infection. Indeed, these ISGs have been reported to be
up-regulated in the brains of prion-infected animals\(^{11-13}\) and CJD\(^9\). Although evidence of the
increased secretion of IFN in prion-infected tissue or cells remains elusive, it is possible that the
IFN produced at low levels by infected cells sets up a positive feedback loop that results in
enhanced signals to infected and adjacent cells\(^ {31}\). Recently, it was reported that this constitutive
weak IFN signaling is crucial for the immune responsiveness that subsequently produces a
strong IFN signal at the time of invasion of foreign pathogens\(^ {32}\), and also has a cell-intrinsic role
that prevents cells from transformations leading to cancer\(^ {33}\). Consequently, even subtle IFN
secretion provoked by basal activity of IRF3 might have a role in the host defense machinery
against prion invasion or propagation in the brain. In addition, evidence that the disease onset is
accelerated in IL-10- or TNF-\(\alpha\) gene-deficient mice\(^ {34,35}\) support our hypothesis that signals via
PRRs may have a protective role against prion infection. Moreover, expression of TNF-\(\alpha\) and
IL-6 was induced in macrophages of WT mice following exposure to PrP\(^{Sc}\)-mimicking peptides,
but not in mice with TLR4 dysfunction. It is likely that host cells respond to prion invasion through TLR4 signal transduction which induces not only IFN-I but also NF-kB, resulting in the production of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 1). It also remains to be determined whether IRF3-mediated signaling directly suppresses the production of PrPSc or facilitates its degradation. Moreover, we are currently investigating what types of host molecules induced by IRF3 can help protect cells from prion. Given these results, we believe that it would be of great value to reassess the effect of exogenous IFN-I treatment using purified recombinant interferons (-α-2a, -α-2b and -β-1a) on prion infection.

**In conclusion**

We demonstrated that the transcription factor IRF3 has a protective role against prion infection. To further elucidate the host defense machinery against prion infection, the relationship between prion infection and IRF3 signaling should be studied, using, for example, conditional transgenic, neuron-specific IRF3-deficient, neuron-specific IRF3-expressing or IRF3-constitutively activated animals. It is our hope that IRF3 signaling-based prophylaxis and therapeutics against prion could one day dramatically help individuals suffering from this mysterious and deadly disease.
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Fig. 1. Schema of the host factors involved in innate immune responses against prion.

The figure shows prion infection-related innate immune signal transductions from ligands to Type I IFN and inflammatory cytokines. Molecules relating closely to prion infection, as cited in previously published papers, are indicated in bold type.

Well-defined pathways of signal transduction in innate immune responses are shown as solid lines, and probable pathways as dashed lines. We speculate that not only TLR4 but also TLR3 and RIG-I/MDA5 might be involved in prion infection. Additionally, it might be possible that type I IFN and inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 might suppress prion infection, by an undetermined mechanism.
Figure 1

Innate immune-related receptors or sensors

Stimulators and Ligands to immune responses

Adaptors to signal transductions

Transcription factors

Type I IFN and inflammatory cytokines

Suppression of prion infection