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Picking up of spermatangium by female squid
Abstract

In the Japanese pygmy squid *Idiosepius paradoxus*, females often pick up the spermatangium using their mouth (buccal mass) after copulation. To examine whether the female *I. paradoxus* directly transfers sperm into the seminal receptacle via this picking behaviour, or remove the spermatangium, we conducted detailed observations of picking behaviour in both virgin and copulated females and compared the sperm storage conditions in the seminal receptacle between females with and without spermatangia picking after copulation in virgin females. In all observations, elongation of the buccal mass occurred within 5 min after copulation. However, sperm volume in the seminal receptacle was not related to spermatangia picking. Observations using slow-motion video revealed that females removed the spermatangia by blowing or eating after picking. These results suggest that picking behaviour is used for sperm removal but not for sperm transfer. Moreover, the frequency of buccal mass elongation was higher in copulated females than in virgin females, consistent with the sequential mate choice theory whereby virgin females secure sperm for fertilisation, while previously copulated females are more selective about their mate. Female *I. paradoxus* may choose its mate cryptically through postcopulatory picking behaviour.
Introduction

In the reproduction of coastal decapod cephalopods such as loliginid squids and cuttlefish, the basic consequence of events during the copulation is as follows: a male provides a female with its spermatophore using the hectocotylus, which is a specialised arm for holding the spermatophore (Hanlon and Messenger 1996). The spermatangium is ejaculated from the spermatophore by a spermatophoric reaction and is attached to the female body by the cement body of the spermatangium (Drew 1919; Marian 2012; Takahama et al. 1991). In the cuttlefish, males pass the spermatangium to females in a head-to-head position, and the spermatangium is deposited on the female buccal membrane near the seminal receptacle (Hanlon et al. 1999; Naud et al. 2004; Wada et al. 2010, 2006). In loliginid squids, spermatangia may be deposited at two positions by alternative mating behaviours (Hanlon et al. 2002; Iwata et al. 2005; Iwata and Sakurai 2007; Buresch et al. 2009). A male deposits a spermatangium inside her mantle cavity by male-parallel position (Iwata et al. 2011). Alternatively, a female can have a seminal receptacle in the buccal membrane (Hanlon and Messenger 1996). When the male copulates in a head-to-head position, a spermatangium is deposited on the buccal membrane near the seminal receptacle, as in cuttlefish. Many squids, including the loliginids, have seminal receptacles on the buccal membrane (e.g. Todarodes pacificus and T. sagittatus) (Ikeda et al. 1993; Nigmatullin et al. 2002).

Spermatozoa from a spermatangium deposited on the buccal membrane are transferred to the female’s seminal receptacle and then stored until spawning. However, the method by which the sperm are transferred from the spermatangium into the seminal receptacle has not been determined. The opening duct of the spermatangium faces the outside and does not connect to the opening of the
Two possible methods of sperm transfer exist in decapod cephalopods. One is that sperm released from the spermatangia can swim in seawater and reach the seminal receptacle. The tip of the spermatangium has an opening duct, and sperm are released into seawater from the opening duct in *L. pealei* (Drew 1919) and *T. pacificus* (Takahama et al. 1991). The other method is that sperm is transferred passively by a female. The female may use her arm or mouth to transfer sperm directly at the seminal receptacle or may move the seminal receptacle itself. Previous studies on Caribbean reef squids (*Sepioteuthis sepioidea*) suggested that the female may transfer sperm to the seminal receptacle directly using her arms (Moynihan and Arcadio 1982; Hanlon and Forsythe in Hanlon and Messenger 1996). However, to date, neither method has actually been demonstrated, and few studies have investigated the possibility of the latter idea in particular.

The female Japanese pygmy squid *Idiosepius paradoxus* has a single seminal receptacle which is located in the ventral portion of the buccal membrane surrounding the mouth (buccal mass) (Sato et al. 2010). The male attaches spermatangia at the base of the female's arm. Recent studies have reported that the female frequently picks up the spermatangium using her extendable buccal mass after copulation (Kasugai 2000; Sato et al. 2010). This behaviour may be used to transfer sperm from the spermatangium into the seminal receptacle. In this study, to examine whether the female *I. paradoxus* directly transfers sperm into the seminal receptacle, the sperm storage conditions in the seminal receptacle were compared.
between females with and without spermatangia picking after copulation in virgin females.

Although the picking behaviour may work as a sperm transfer method, this behaviour might alternatively be used to remove sperm. Cryptic female choice (CFC) is the process by which a female chooses the sperm used for fertilisation, thus biasing offspring parentage toward a preferred phenotype (Thornhill 1983; Eberhard 1996). Female *I. paradoxus* may selectively store sperm in the seminal receptacle by this picking behaviour. To investigate the possibility of CFC by *I. paradoxus*, we conducted detailed observations of the picking behaviour. In particular, we focused on differences between the behaviour of virgin females and copulated females. Halliday (1983) hypothesised that, in polyandrous species, the first male that a female encounters ensures the fertilisation of its eggs, and the female then maximises the quality of her progeny by subsequently mating with higher quality males. Many studies have shown that the criteria for choosing a mate are stricter in copulated females than in virgin females (e.g. Bakker and Milinski 1991; Gabor and Halliday 1997; Pitcher et al. 2003; Fedina and Lewis 2007; Izzo and Gray 2011). If the picking behaviour of *I. paradoxus* is related to CFC, this behaviour would be more frequently observed in copulated than in virgin females. We compared the reproductive behaviour of virgin females with that of copulated females.

To examine whether the female *I. paradoxus* directly transfers sperm into the seminal receptacle via this picking behaviour, or remove the spermatangium, in this study, we conducted detailed observations of picking behaviour in both virgin and copulated females and compared the sperm storage conditions in the seminal receptacle between females with and without spermatangia picking after
copulation in virgin females.

**Materials and methods**

**Study species**

The Japanese pygmy squid (*I. paradoxus*) occurs around Japan, South Korea, southern Russia and central China (Lu and Dunning 1998; Nesis et al. 2002). This species has two life-history cycles per year in central Honshu (Kasugai and Segawa 2005; Sato et al. 2008). In one, the squids hatch in the spring (March – May) and spawn in the summer (June – September), and in the other, hatching occurs in the summer, with spawning in the spring. Most *I. paradoxus* individuals live for 5 months (Sato et al. 2008) and die after the spawning season (Natsukari 1970). Females spawn several times, with an interval of 2 days. All *Idiosepius* species have a unique ability to adhere to substrata, such as seagrass, using an adhesive organ on the dorsal mantle (Sasaki 1923; Moynihan 1983; Nabhitabhata 1998). *I. paradoxus* can elongate its buccal mass (Kasugai 2001). When it forages crustaceans, *I. paradoxus* inserts the buccal mass into the exoskeleton of the captured crustacean and eats the flesh. Males and females mate in a head-to-head position (Kasugai 2000; Nabhitabhata and Suwanamala 2008).

In *I. paradoxus*, a male darts toward a female, grasps the female, and attaches his spermatangium to the base of the female’s arms, not directly to the seminal receptacle (Kasugai 2000; Sato et al. 2010). Squids do not form consort pairs, and females copulate with multiple males in aquariums, suggesting that *I. paradoxus* has a promiscuous mating system (Kasugai 2000; Sato et al. 2010).

**Collection and rearing conditions**
The collection site of squids was near small stocks of the seagrass *Zostera marina* in the nearshore waters of the Chita Peninsula, central Honshu, Japan (34°43'N, 136°58'E). The squids were collected with a small drag net (1 × 2 m, mesh size: 1.5 mm) on 12 December 2008 and on 15 January 2009 (Season 1), when many females were expected to be immature and virgin, and on 12 and 29 April 2009 (Season 2), when females should have had mating experience and kept sperm in their seminal receptacle. Live specimens in well-aerated seawater were transported via a parcel delivery service to the Usujiri Fisheries Station, Field Science Centre for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Japan (41°56'N, 140°56'E). Mortality was less than 1 % when the specimens arrived at the station. At the fisheries station, the specimens were maintained in four aquariums (60 × 45 × 45 cm) with a closed circulation system. Before being introduced into the aquarium, all squids were separated by sex. Their sex can be readily confirmed by morphological observations of hectocotylus. The squid density was 40 per aquarium. Twelve half-cut (longitudinally) plastic pipes (3 × 20 cm) were placed on the sand bottom of each aquarium to provide substrates onto which the squids could adhere. Lighting provided a 12/12 h light/dark photoperiod, and the water temperature was maintained at 22°C. Squids were fed with live amphipods (*Ampithoe* sp.) twice daily and were kept in good body condition. All immature squids matured approximately 2 weeks after transportation. Maturation was determined by confirming the presence of white testes in males and ripe eggs and large white nidamental glands in females, as observed through their transparent bodies. Only mature squids were used for the experiments.

Experiment 1: Observation of copulatory and postcopulatory behaviours
Prior to the experiments, we checked the virginity of females. Copulated females were confirmed by observing sperm in the seminal receptacle through the buccal membrane under anaesthesia with 1% ethanol (Sato et al. 2010). However, we could not confirm the numbers of males with which the copulated female had mated using this method. All checked females were placed in a plastic bottle (1 L) to allow for recovery from the anaesthesia. All checked squids recovered successfully. Then, one female and one male were introduced into an experimental aquarium (30 × 40 × 20 cm). A plastic plate (1 × 15 cm) was placed on the sand bottom in each area as an adhering substrate for squids. Water temperature in the aquarium was the same as in the stock aquariums. Since the squids were nervous and needed several hours to become accustomed to the aquarium conditions, we split the aquarium into two areas with a partition and assigned each sex to an area (30 × 20 × 20 cm) for over 3 h before the experiment began. All trials were conducted during 10:00 – 19:00 h.

At the start of the experiment, the partition was removed and then copulations were observed. Males copulated with females immediately after the partition was removed. After observing one copulation, we removed the male from the aquarium and postcopulatory behaviours of the female were observed by eye for 30 min in Season 1 and by video recording for 1 h in Season 2. During behavioural observations, we recorded mating duration, defined as the time from when the male began to grasp the female to the time when he left the female. The presence of spermatangia on the female body was checked for after copulation and the places where males attached spermatangia were recorded. If spermatangia were not found, we judged that the male had failed to pass the female the spermatangia and the trial data were not used. We also recorded the elapsed time
between the end of copulation and the beginning of buccal mass elongation, and
whether the spermatangia picking was successful. Moreover, the duration of
buccal mass elongation was recorded in Season 2.

All squids used for the observation were fixed in Bouin’s solution after
the experiment and the dorsal mantle length (DML) was measured to the nearest
0.01 mm. To assess whether females consumed spermatangia during the picking
behaviour, we made sections of the stomach in four cases in which we observed
swallowing of spermatangia (see Exp. 2 for detailed methodology). Twenty and
eight trials were conducted with virgin and copulated females, respectively,
between 26 December 2008 and 18 February 2009 during Season 1. In total, 28
females (mean DML ± SD = 11.33 ± 0.98 mm) and 28 males (9.51 ± 0.91 mm)
were used. In Season 2, 32 trials were conducted with copulated females between
16 April and 12 March 2009. In total, 32 females (11.89 ± 1.61 mm) and 32 males
(8.65 ± 0.95 mm) were used. As the males in Season 1 were significantly larger
than those in Season 2 (Student’s t-test: $t_{57} = 3.59; p < 0.001$), we did not pool the
data for copulated females from Seasons 1 and 2.

Experiment 2: Examination of sperm transfer to the seminal receptacle

To test whether females stored sperm from spermatangia to the seminal
receptacle using picking behaviour, 34 virgin females in Season 1 (not used for
behavioural observations) were experimentally copulated once and fixed in
Bouin’s solution under five different conditions: (1) nine females were fixed
within 30 s after copulation, (2) 14 females that picked up spermatangia were
fixed 10 min after copulation, and of the 11 females that did not show buccal mass
elongation, (3) nine, (4) one and (5) one females were fixed at 10 min, 30 min and
2 h, respectively, after copulation (Fig. 1). We defined fixing conditions (1) and (2) as “Soon after copulation” and “Picking”, respectively; (3), (4) and (5) were defined as “No picking”. In this experiment, we confirmed that all females that elongated their buccal mass did so soon after copulation and picked up spermatangia around 5 min after copulation, and thus a 10 min observation time was sufficient to assess whether females attempted to pick up the spermatangia. Each male was used repeatedly in two or three trials.

The seminal receptacles of all 34 fixed samples (and 4 stomach samples from Exp. 1) were embedded in paraffin wax and serial sections were cut at 8 µm. All sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin by standard methods, and they were observed under a microscope and photographed with a digital camera (VB-7010; Keyence, Chiba, Japan). The area of sperm stored in the seminal receptacle was imaged and measured using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA), and the sperm volume was calculated by multiplying the total sperm area summed over the serial section thickness.

**Results**

**Experiment 1: Copulatory and postcopulatory behaviour**

Males copulated with females immediately after the partition was removed. The mating duration in virgin females (mean ± SD = 4.45 ± 1.91 s) did not differ significantly from that in copulated females (4.65 ± 2.70 s; Student’s t-test: \( t_{81} = 0.39; p = 0.69 \)). No significant difference was observed between copulated females in Seasons 1 (5.31 ± 3.11 s) and 2 (4.49 ± 2.62 s; Student’s t-test: \( t_{11} = 0.73; p = 0.48 \)). Spermatangia were attached to the arm base on the ventral side in 15 copulations with virgin females and in 28 copulations with
copulated females, but others were attached on the dorsal side of the arm base or at the tip of the arms. All females blew water into the spermatangia from their funnel immediately after copulation, and we confirmed that one to three spermatangia and the cases of empty spermatophores were dropped from the body in 11 copulations with virgin females and 20 copulations with copulated females.

Eleven virgin females and seven copulated females elongated their buccal mass within 5 min after the copulation, but nine virgin females and one copulated female did not elongate before the trials ended (at 30 min) in Season 1. In contrast, 28 copulated females elongated their buccal mass within 5 min after copulation, but four copulated females did not elongate before the end of the trial in Season 2. This shows that females elongated their buccal mass within 5 min, if they did so at all. The elongating behaviour was observed more frequently in copulated females than in virgin females (Fisher’s exact test: $n = 60$, $p < 0.01$; Table 1), and season did not affect the frequency of such behaviours by copulated females (Fisher’s exact test: $n = 40$, $p = 0.73$; Table 1).

When elongation occurred, females elongated their buccal mass toward and appeared to search all arm bases (Fig. 2a and supplementary material video N1), irrespective of whether spermatangia were attached there (Fig. 2b). Females frequently failed to pick up spermatangia and the spermatangium removal probability was about 50% (Table 2). This probability did not differ significantly between virgin and copulated females (Fisher’s exact test: $n = 46$, $p = 0.79$; Table 2) or between copulated females in Seasons 1 and 2 (Fisher’s exact test: $n = 35$, $p = 0.82$; Table 2). Although 21 females stopped elongating their buccal mass within 10 min, the duration of the elongation differed among females, and some females elongated their buccal mass for around 30 min (Fig. 3). Females stopped
After females picked up a spermatangium with their buccal mass, they showed two patterns of behaviour. One was spermatangium-blowing behaviour. Three virgin and two copulated females picked up the spermatangium from their body, then retracted their buccal mass, and blew it away by jetting water using their funnel (Fig. 4 and supplementary material video N2). The other was spermatangium-eating behaviour, whereby the spermatangium was sucked and removed from her body. Fifteen copulated females ate the spermatangium via the elongating behaviour in Season 2 experiments. We could observe the spermatangium passing through the oesophagus because of the squids’ transparent bodies. The spermatangium and spermatozoa were confirmed in the stomach in all fixed females (Fig. 5). When females picked up spermatangia, whole spermatangia were completely removed from the body by blowing or eating, and no pieces remained in any observations.

Experiment 2: Sperm storage in the seminal receptacle

No female fixed immediately after copulation had sperm in her seminal receptacles (Table 3). While some squids picked the spermatangium and others did not, this behaviour was apparently not related to the transference of the spermatangium to the seminal receptacle (Fisher’s exact test: $n = 23$, $p = 0.98$). Furthermore, no significant difference in sperm volume was observed in the seminal receptacle between those showing spermatangium picking ($n = 14$) and those not showing buccal mass elongation ($n = 9$; Mann-Whitney $U$-test, $U = 67$, $p = 0.81$; Fig. 6). Finally, even in females that did not pick up spermatangia, the
sperm volume stored in the seminal receptacle increased with time after copulation (Spearman’s rank correlation: $r_s = 0.76$, $n = 9$, $p < 0.05$; Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Sperm volume in the seminal receptacle did not increase with the picking of the spermatangium. In this study, we used seminal receptacles preserved 5 min after the picking behaviour to examine whether sperm was transported by this behaviour. As the seminal receptacles of *I. paradoxus* were located in a superficial site on the ventral regions of the buccal membranes surrounding the buccal mass (Sato et al. 2010), it would take little time to transfer sperm. Therefore, after 5 min, the sperm would have already reached the seminal receptacle. Spermatangia have not been confirmed in either the buccal mass or the seminal receptacle through historical observations (Sato, personal observation), and female squids are not considered to maintain spermatangia in the buccal mass and slowly transfer sperm to the seminal receptacle. Moreover we observed that the picking behaviour was used for the removal and eating the spermatangium. These results suggest that female *I. paradoxus* did not transfer sperm from the spermatangium into the seminal receptacles via this behaviour.

Sperm may be transferred to the seminal receptacle through sperm actively swimming in seawater from the spermatangium in *I. paradoxus*. We confirmed that sperm were released from the spermatangium immediately after completing the spermatophoric reaction and that they were active in seawater in *I. paradoxus* (Sato, unpublished data). Moreover, the morphological study of the seminal receptacle would support this hypothesis. Many mucus cells are distributed at the seminal receptacle in some decapod cephalopod species (Drew
This cell type may secrete a chemical substance to attract sperm. Drew (1919) noted that spermatozoa are directed, evidently by ciliary action, into the seminal receptacle in *L. pealei*. In *I. paradoxus*, multiple vacuoles, instead of mucus cells, are distributed in the bottom region of each sac in the seminal receptacle, and sperm in the receptacle were facing the sac bottom (Sato et al. 2010). “Picking” and “No Picking” females fixed at 10 min after copulation had no or few sperm stored in the seminal receptacle in this study, but females fixed at 0.5 and 2 h after copulation had many sperm in their seminal receptacles. Increasing sperm volume in the seminal receptacle with time may also support the hypothesis that sperm swim in seawater to reach the seminal receptacle.

The observed spermatangium-removing behaviour by eating and blowing may be used for postcopulatory sexual selection in *I. paradoxus*. In the field cricket, a male attaches his spermatophore to the opening of the female spawning duct and the sperm in the spermatophore are then transferred to the sperm storage organ (spermathecae) (Alexander and Otte 1967; Sakaluk 1984). Sperm number in the spermathecae increases with spermatophore attachment time (Sakaluk 1984) and male paternity also increases with time (Sakaluk and Eggert 1996). Female crickets remove the spermatophore soon after copulating with unpreferred males. When the females copulate with preferred males, however, they do not remove the spermatophore from the body and store many sperm into their spermathecae (Bussiere et al. 2006). Similar to these cricket studies, female Japanese pygmy squids may remove the spermatangium before completing sperm transfer into their seminal receptacle if they copulate with unpreferred males. In the Caribbean reef squid (*S. sepioidea*), a female grab spermatangia deposited by a male, and
then tuck the sperm into the seminal receptacle or remove after copulation
(Moynihan and Arcadio 1982). Male cuttlefish remove spermatangia of rival
males during copulation (e.g. Hanlon et al. 1999; Naud et al. 2004; Wada et al.
2005, 2006, 2010). Additionally, females may ingest the spermatangium to gain
nutrients. Female crickets feed on the spermatophores attached to their body
(Sakaluk and Eggert 1996). In simultaneously hermaphroditic opisthobranchs and
pulmonates, females digest stored sperm and absorb them as nutritional fluids in
the female duct (Bauer 1998). This behaviour may be also used for cleaning the
body. In marine animals, crustaceans have been reported to conduct body
grooming (e.g. Bauer 1978; Fleischer et al. 1992; Becker and Wahl 1996). Although decapod cephalopods are not known to conduct body grooming,
cephalopods may perform this behaviour to keep clean.

Copulated females more frequently exhibited buccal mass elongation
than virgin females, suggesting that this behaviour may function as a method of
CFC. If picking behaviour is used for gaining nutrients or cleaning the body, no
difference would be expected between the frequency of buccal mass elongation in
virgin and copulated females. The mating duration, which would relate to the
sperm volume transferred by males to females, did not differ significantly
between virgin and copulated females or between copulated females in Seasons 1
and 2. Moreover, the buccal mass elongation and sperm removal rates did not
differ significantly between copulated females in Seasons 1 and 2. Nevertheless,
most copulated females tried to pick up spermatangia. This result is consistent
with our prediction that copulated females choose their mates more strictly based
on the sequential mate choice hypothesis (Halliday 1983). Sequential mate choice
may occur in female I. paradoxus. Although we do not know which trait(s) affect
female squid preference, because male squids show neither direct competition
with other males nor courtship behaviour (Kasugai 2000; Sato et al. 2010), body
size may be related to the preference because the DML differed between squids in
Seasons 1 and 2. However, it is possible that copulated females attempt to remove
frequently spermatangia because they may more sensitive than virgin females.
Copulated females may also need more nutrients than virgin females. To exam
whether the sperm removal behaviour work for CFC, it needs further investigation
of the relationship.

Female squids frequently failed to pick up spermatangia and continued
buccal mass elongation even if all spermatangia were removed from the body.
They also elongated their buccal mass to locations where no spermatangium was
attached. These results suggest that females do not know where and how many
spermatangia have been attached correctly.

Typically, females did not use their arms, but used their buccal mass to
remove spermatangia. In most copulations, spermatangia were attached at the arm
base. It may be difficult for squids to remove spermatangia attached at the arm
base using their arms. This removal behaviour of the spermatangium may also be
a characteristic of the pygmy squid, which can elongate its buccal mass (Kasugai
2001).

In conclusion, the reason for spermatangia picking behaviour after
copulation by female squids was apparently not to store sperm in the seminal
receptacle. The present results did not support the idea that the female can transfer
sperm directly to the seminal receptacle. Sperm transference in *I. paradoxus* may
be conducted by the sperm actively swimming. Furthermore, this behaviour is
considered to have been used as a means of postcopulatory female choice.
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Electronic supplements

N1: Picking behaviour of spermatangia by a female. The female elongated its buccal mass (mouth) to the spermatangia.

N2: A female picked up some spermatangia using the buccal mass and blew them away by jetting water from the funnel. The latter part of the video shows a slow-motion replay of the blowing behaviour.
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**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1** Flowchart showing the five fixing conditions employed in experiment 2.

**Fig. 2** Picking behaviour of spermatangia by a female. 

a The female elongated her buccal mass to the spermatangia.  
b The female elongated her buccal mass at a  
place where no spermatangium was attached.

**Fig. 3** Distribution histogram of the duration of buccal mass elongation. The duration of buccal mass elongation was recorded in the experiment conducted in Season 2.

**Fig. 4** The female blew the spermatangia away by jetting water using her funnel 

(a → b → c).

**Fig. 5** Vertical section of an opening area of the stomach in a female that picked up spermatangia (400 ×). The squid illustration in the square shows the location of the stomach. The stomach wall is shown by black broken lines. Three spermatangia (black arrows) and spermatozoa are present in the stomach.

**Fig. 6** Box plots of sperm volume in the seminal receptacle. Sample numbers were 14 at Picking (10 min), 9 at No Picking (10 min), 1 at No Picking (30 min) and 1 at No Picking (2 h). Preservation times after copulation are shown by the numbers in round brackets. The boxes represent the 25th to 75th percentiles, with the average shown as a solid line within the boxes.
**Table 1** Elongation of the buccal mass in females after copulation: virgin vs. copulated females and copulated females in Season 1 vs. those in Season 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elongating</th>
<th>Not elongating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virgin female</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copulated female</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher's Exact test: between virgin and copulated, $p < 0.01$; between Seasons 1 and 2, $p = 0.73$.

**Table 2** Female success in spermatangia removal: virgin vs. copulated females and copulated females in Season 1 vs. those in Season 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virgin female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copulated female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher's Exact test: between virgin and copulated, $p = 0.75$; between Seasons 1 and 2, $p = 0.82$.

**Table 3** Presence of sperm in the seminal receptacle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sperm in the seminal receptacle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soon after copulation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min after copulation</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picking</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Picking</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Soon after copulation" indicates a female preserved soon after copulation. "Picking" and "No Picking" females were preserved at 10 min after copulation. Fisher's exact test: between Picking and No
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