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ABSTRACT

Background: Fungal exposure is associated with particularly severe asthma. Nevertheless, the effects of anti-fungal treatments on fungus-exacerbated asthma need to be determined.

Objectives: The present study aimed to compare the effects of itraconazole (ITCZ) and dexamethasone (Dex) on *Aspergillus fumigatus* (*Af*)-exacerbated preexisting *Dermatophagoides farinae* (*Df*) allergen-sensitized allergic airway inflammation.

Methods: Four groups of BALB/c mice were prepared: Control, *Df*-sensitized plus *Af*-infected mice (*Df-Af*), and *Df-Af* mice treated with Dex (*Df-Af-Dex*) or with ITCZ (*Df-Af-ITCZ*). Pulmonary pathology and cytokine profiles in the airway were evaluated. In a different set of experiments, the effects of Dex on alveolar macrophages’ (AM) phagocytosis of *Af* conidia were determined in *Df*-sensitized mice.

Results: *Af* infection significantly increased the level of eosinophils and neutrophils in the airway of *Df*-sensitized mice. While Dex significantly decreased eosinophils, ITCZ significantly decreased both eosinophils and neutrophils in *Df-Af* mice. Dex significantly decreased IL-5, whereas ITCZ significantly reduced MIP-2 in the airway. Compared to Control, AM isolated from *Df*-sensitized mice had significantly reduced phagocytic activity of *Af* conidia. However, Dex significantly improved phagocytic activity of AM in
Df-sensitized mice.

**Conclusions:** The present study showed that Dex and ITCZ differently regulated *Af*-exacerbated allergic airway inflammation; the former inhibits eosinophilic inflammation and the latter inhibits neutrophilic, as well as eosinophilic inflammation by regulating different cytokines. Additionally, Dex enhanced the phagocytotic activity of AM in allergic asthma. Thus, a combination of Dex and ITCZ might be effective for the management of fungus-exacerbated asthma.
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Introduction

Bronchial asthma is a representative airway inflammatory disorder that develops from the central to small airways, and involves various inflammatory cells and mediators in its pathogenesis [1, 2]. Since human airways are directly connected to the atmosphere, numerous airborne factors are continuously reaching the airway during breathing. As a result, some of these airborne factors can potentially cause allergic airway inflammation, leading to an acute exacerbation of asthma.

Respiratory infections are the most frequent cause of asthma exacerbation in both children and adults [3, 4]. Indeed, respiratory viruses had been studied exclusively for their role in the worsening of asthma symptoms [5]. Aside from viruses, the link between fungi and asthma has been long established. There is sufficient evidence to indicate that exposure to outdoor and indoor fungi are associated with the severity of asthma [6-8]. *Aspergillus* spp. are ubiquitous within the indoor and outdoor environment, and airways colonization by *Aspergillus fumigatus* (*Af*) has been demonstrated in asthmatic subjects. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) is a specific disease entity characterized by a highly exaggerated allergic response due to long-term colonization of the respiratory tract by *Af*. [9] More recently, the phrase *severe asthma with fungal sensitization* (SAFS) has been coined to
define a particular phenotype of severe asthma, which is distinguished from ABPA [10]. In marked contrast to anti-virals, anti-fungal treatments are available and have the potential to be clinically useful in the treatment of fungus-exacerbated asthma. For instance, the benefit of oral itraconazole (ITCZ) has been shown in double-blind, placebo-controlled trials in patients with ABPA [11, 12]. Additionally, oral ITCZ has been reported to be effective for treating SAFS [13, 14].

The mouse is an excellent animal model for studying interactions between fungal infection and mite allergen sensitization. Previous reports used a model that was first sensitized to and then challenged with \( Af \) protein [15, 16]. However, since people are continuously inhaling aeroallergens from the atmosphere, they are generally sensitized with multiple allergens. Thus, atopic subjects are likely to be initially sensitized to aeroallergens, such as mites, in utero or in early life, establishing allergic airway inflammation, and are then subsequently exposed to another allergens, such as \( Af \). Based on this understanding, we previously developed a murine model of \( Af \)-exacerbated mite allergen-sensitized asthma [17]. Utilizing this model, the present study aimed to compare the effects of ITCZ versus corticosteroids on \( Af \)-enhanced preexisting allergic airway inflammation.
Material and Methods

Preparation of Af conidia

Af MF-13 [17] was isolated from the sputum of a patient with pulmonary aspergilloma and was subcultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Becton Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD) at 30°C for 7 days. The conidia were harvested with sterile saline containing 0.02% Tween 80 (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Tokyo, Japan), then counted in a hemocytometer, and diluted with sterile saline for intranasal infection [17].

Mice

As illustrated in Figure 1, four groups of mice were prepared. Four-week-old female BALB/c mice (Charles River Japan, Inc., Yokohama, Japan) were immunized intraperitoneally (ip) on both days 1 and 14 with 0.5 mg of Df (crude extract of the mite: LG-5339; Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan) precipitated in aluminum hydroxide. These mice were then challenged intranasally (i.n.) with 50 µg/50 µL Df allergen (crude extract of the mite) on days 14, 16, and 18. Following Df sensitization, Control groups were challenged with PBS, and subsequently sham-infected i.n. with culture media. Mice in the Df-Af group were administered 5 × 10⁶ Af-conidia i.n. on days 19, 21, and 23 following Df sensitization and the Df challenge. Mice in
the Df-Af group were either injected subcutaneously (sc) with 0.02 mg of Dex (Sigma, St Louis, Mo) or orally administered 0.1 mg of ITCZ (Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., Tokyo, Japan) on days 19, 21, and 23. On day 24, all mice were sacrificed. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples and lung tissues were obtained from each group. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Nagasaki University School of Medicine Committee on Animal Research. In every experiment, each group consisted of 2-3 mice and all experiments were repeated three times. Finally, the findings from the three independent experiments were combined and each group consisted of 8 mice.

*Bronchoalveolar lavage and lung pathology*

Twenty-four hours after final *Af* conidia or sham infection, mice were sacrificed. BAL was conducted in the immediate postmortem period with 1 mL of PBS, and the obtained BAL sample was centrifuged. Differential cell counts were performed using the cytocentrifuged BAL sample stained with May-Grünwald-Giemsa. Concentrations of Th2 cytokine (IL-5 and IL-13), MIP-2 (murine cytokine corresponding to human IL-8), and IFN-γ (Th1 cytokine), in the supernatants of the BAL sample were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, according to the manufacturer’s directions (Endogen, Wobum, MA). The detection limits for
IL-5, IL-13, MIP-2, and IFN-γ were 5, 1.5, 1.5, and 10 pg/mL, respectively. Formaldehyde fixative was gently infused through the lavage catheter set in the trachea. Resected lungs were fixed for an additional 24 h and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4 µm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), and with periodic acid-Schiff (PAS). Gomori methanamine silver staining was also performed in order to identify A. fumigatus in the lung tissues, and to confirm the presence of fungal spores in Df-Af mice (data not shown).

**Phagocytic function of alveolar macrophages**

In a different set of experiments, another four groups of BALB/c mice were prepared (Figure 2). Control and Df mice were prepared as described above. Dex and Df-Dex mice, which were also prepared as Control and Df mice, respectively, were injected sc with a daily dose of 0.02 mg of Dex on days 15 to 19. On day 20, lung tissues were harvested from all groups of mice, then chopped with sterile scissors, digested for 2 h in a 37°C water bath containing 1.5 mg/mL collagenase A (type 1A; Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheime, Germany), and finally, filtered with a metal mesh. After three washes with a RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco-BRL Life Technology, Inc., Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (hereafter referred to as
cRPMI), cells were resuspended in cRPMI. Mononuclear cells were isolated using a density
gradient method with Ficoll (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). FBS was put into a dish, and
incubated at 37°C for 15 min. After the FBS was discarded, suspended cells were placed in
the dish and incubated overnight at 37°C. Thereafter, cells in the dish were collected using
PBS containing EDTA. Aliquots (1 ml) of cell suspension (10^6 cells/ml) were mixed with 1
ml of *Af* suspension (10^6 cells/ml) opsonized with 100 µl of normal serum, and subsequently
incubated for 60 min at 33°C. Ten minutes before the completion of incubation, methylene
blue (0.01%) was added. Three areas were randomly selected per well in a blinded fashion. In
each area, the number of phagocytosed conidia in two-hundred conidia was counted. Results
were expressed as an index representing the percentage of phagocytosed *Af* conidia
(phagocytosed conidia / 600 conidia).

*Statistical analysis*

Results are expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). Differences between groups
were examined for statistical significance using repeated-measures analysis of variance
(ANOVA) followed by a Bonferroni correction. A *p* value of <0.05 was considered
statistically significant.
Results

Pulmonary inflammation

Figure 3 shows some examples of pulmonary pathology observed in the study. The results of quantitative analysis of airway inflammation by BAL are shown in Table 1. By comparison to Control mice, Df-Af mice exhibited significantly increased levels of all cellular components, especially eosinophils and neutrophils. Among Df-Af mice, Dex significantly decreased the level of macrophages and eosinophils, but not neutrophils. However, ITCZ was found to significantly decrease the levels of macrophages, eosinophils, as well as neutrophils in Df-Af mice. As illustrated in Figure 3, neither Dex nor ITCZ significantly reduced goblet cell hyperplasia in Df-Af mice, as determined by PAS staining.

Cytokine profile in BAL

Analysis of cytokine concentrations in BAL revealed that pulmonary concentrations of Th2 cytokines (IL-5 and IL-13), MIP-2 (murine cytokine corresponding to human IL-8) and IFN-γ were significantly elevated in Df-Af mice by comparison to Control mice (Table 2). In Df-Af mice, Dex significantly decreased IL-5, while ITCZ significantly decreased MIP-2. However, neither Dex nor ITCZ significantly affected pulmonary IL-13 and IFN-γ concentration.
Phagocytosis

To determine the effects of \textit{in vivo} Dex treatment on anti-fungal innate immunity in allergic asthma, phagocytosis of live \textit{Af} conidia by alveolar macrophages (AM) was compared between Control, Df, Dex and Df-Dex mice. By comparison to AM isolated from Control mice, AM isolated from Df mice revealed a significant decrease in phagocytosis (Figure 4). This observation suggested that preexisting allergic airway inflammation attenuates the phagocytic activity of AM. Although Dex also significantly reduced phagocytic activity in naïve mice, it was found to reverse phagocytic activity against \textit{Af} conidia in the AM of Df mice. In our preliminary experiments, phagocytosis of live Af conidia by AM was comparable between Control and ITCZ mice, indicating that ITCZ does not have a significant effect on AM (data not shown).
Discussion

In agreement with our previous report [17], we found that *Af* infection enhanced both eosinophilic and neutrophilic airway inflammation, along with mucous hyper-production in mite allergen-sensitized mice. Additionally, the current study reported that Dex and ITCZ differently regulated inflammation and the cytokine profile in the airway. Finally, while phagocytotic activity against *Af* was reduced in the asthmatic airway, *in vivo* Dex treatment prior to *Af* infection was able to reverse this effect.

A key characteristic of fungal-associated asthma is the increased severity of the asthma. A number of studies reported that fungal-sensitized asthma has been associated with a risk of death, and admission to the hospital and intensive care unit [6-8]. Why fungal allergens, in particular, are implicated in such severe cases of asthma remains clear. One key difference between fungal allergens versus other aeroallergens is that house dust mite or grass pollen is only a source of an allergenic protein, while fungi also have the capability to actively germinate and colonize the airway. Thus, fungi may have a much greater impact on patients in terms of triggering a host’s defense against pathogens and producing toxins and enzymes that may play an accessory role in triggering allergy [10].
Recently, the critical role of neutrophils in the allergic airway inflammation has been reconsidered [18] and a distinct pathological feature of fungal-associated asthma is neutrophilic airway inflammation. For instance, in the present study, pulmonary pathology demonstrated significant increases in neutrophils as well as eosinophils in the airway of Af-Df mice. While ABPA is characterized by eosinophilia, it has also been correlated with increased sputum neutrophils and increased levels of IL-8 [19]. The detection of Af in sputum is associated with sputum neutrophils, as well as Af IgE sensitization and reduced lung function [20]. The role of neutrophilic airway infiltration and MMP-9 activity in the development of airway allergy was confirmed in a murine model of fungal-sensitized asthma [21]. Neutrophilic airway inflammation caused by Af may at least partially explain the increased severity of fungus associated asthma. Indeed, current anti-inflammatory therapies for asthma, including inhaled corticosteroids, are effective in managing eosinophilic airway inflammation, but have little or no impact on neutrophilic airway inflammation [22, 23]. Accordingly, additive treatment, which has an impact on neutrophilic inflammation, is required for fungus-associated asthma. Due to this fact, antifungal drugs have been attracting increasing attention, and the benefits of ITCZ in ABPA have been reported in a number of studies [11, 12]. Additionally, ITCZ was reported to improve asthma-related quality of life in patients with
fungal sensitization in severe asthma, but free of ABPA [13]. Another retrospective cohort study also demonstrated that antifungal therapy with oral ITCZ or voriconazole significantly reduced serum total and \(Af\) specific IgE as well as blood eosinophils in patients with severe asthma with fungal sensitization and ABPA [14]. In the present study, Dex was only effective at reducing eosinophilic but not neutrophilic inflammation, while ITCZ significantly reduced neutrophilic infiltration into the airway as well as eosinophilic infiltration.

To determine the molecular actions of each drug, we compared the cytokine profile in BALF between Dex and ITCZ treated Df-Af-mice. While Dex significantly decreased IL-5, ITCZ significantly decreased MIP-2. Thus, each drug was found to differentially regulate the \(Af\)-exacerbated allergic airway inflammation. The fact that ITCZ significantly reduced eosinophils without decreasing IL-5 suggests that it might inhibit other cytokines, such as IL-17, which is critical in both allergy and infection [24]. Both drugs did not significantly influence IL-13 or IFN-\(\gamma\). Since IL-13 is associated with mucous hypersecreession, it appears that both Dex and ITCZ failed to inhibit goblet cell metaplasia.

A clinical concern with utilizing corticosteroids for fungus-exacerbated asthma is the inhibition of innate immunity. Indeed, in the present study, phagocytosis against \(Af\) by AM was significantly reduced in Df mice and Dex-treated naïve mice. This finding agrees with a
previous report which showed that AM function is compromised in poorly controlled asthmatics and is characterized by decreased phagocytosis [25]. AM are the main phagocytotic cells in the lungs with innate immunity against Af and their phagocytotic activity can be attenuated by corticosteroids [26]. Unexpectedly, AM isolated from Dex-treated Df mice showed phagocytotic activity similar to those found in Control mice. Thus, at least in terms of maintaining phagocytotic activity of AM, the inhibitory effects of corticosteroids on allergic inflammation may dominate over their suppressive effects on innate immunity.

In conclusion, the present study observed that anti-fungal therapy could have a benefit in fungus-exacerbated allergic asthma, which is characterized by neutrophilic inflammation that is resistant to corticosteroids. Since both Dex and ITCZ failed to inhibit mucous hypersecretion, a macrolide, which can modulate neutrophil accumulation and activation in the airways of patients with refractory asthma, [27] could be another therapeutic candidate. The combination of Dex and ITCZ for the treatment of fungus-exacerbated asthma should be also evaluated in a future study.

None of the authors have conflicts of interest to declare in relation to this study.
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**Table 1. Differential cell counts in the BAL of different groups of mice.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macrophages (×10^5 cells)</th>
<th>Neutrophils (×10^5 cells)</th>
<th>Lymphocytes (×10^5 cells)</th>
<th>Eosinophils (×10^5 cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.4±2.3</td>
<td>0.4±1.9</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af</td>
<td>12.6±4.1*</td>
<td>9.8±3.2*</td>
<td>2.4±1.0*</td>
<td>6.2±1.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af-Dex</td>
<td>2.8±1.6*†</td>
<td>10.4±6.8*</td>
<td>1.7±0.9*</td>
<td>1.1±0.2*†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af-ITCZ</td>
<td>2.2±0.9*†</td>
<td>1.8±0.5†</td>
<td>1.4±0.6*</td>
<td>1.5±0.4*†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAL was performed in four groups of mice (Control, Df-Af, Df-Af-Dex, Df-Af-ITCZ). In each experiment, each group of mice consisted of 2-3 mice, and the experiment was repeated three times. Results from three independent experiments (total n=8 for each group) were combined and expressed as means ± SEM.

*P < 0.01 vs. Control, †P < 0.01 vs. Df-Af.
Table 2. Cytokine concentrations in the BAL of different groups of mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IL-5</th>
<th>IL-13</th>
<th>MIP-2</th>
<th>IFN-γ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.3±0.0</td>
<td>0.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
<td>67.2±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af</td>
<td>155.9±43.5*</td>
<td>506.8±151.0*</td>
<td>526.5±135.2*</td>
<td>2404.2±868.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af-Dex</td>
<td>75.9±23.7*†</td>
<td>468.4±98.6*</td>
<td>600.4±178.6*</td>
<td>1468.4±102.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df-Af-ITCZ</td>
<td>178.9±51.8*</td>
<td>466.1±79.4*</td>
<td>112.4±16.8*†</td>
<td>2023.1±345.8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means (total n=8 for each group from three independent experiments) ± SEM. Values are given in terms of pg/ml.

*P < 0.01 vs. Control, †P < 0.01 vs. Df-Af
Figure legends

Figure 1. Animal preparation and drug treatment protocol scheme. BALB/c mice were each immunized intraperitoneally on days 1 and 14 with 0.5 mg of *Df* precipitated in aluminum hydroxide. Control group: Mice were sham-sensitized intranasally (i.n.) with PBS on days 14, 16, and 18 and sham-infected i.n. with culture media on days 19, 21, and 23. Df-Af group: After immunization with *Df*, mice were challenged i.n. with *Df* allergen on days 14, 16, and 18. Subsequently, mice were infected i.n. with *Af* on days 19, 21 and 23. Df-Af-Dex group: Df-Af mice were injected subcutaneously (s.c.) with Dexamethasone on days 19, 21, and 23. Df-Af-ITCZ group: Df-Af mice were orally (p.o.) administered itraconazole on days 19, 21, and 23. On day 25, all mice were sacrificed.

Figure 2. Phagocytosis protocol scheme. BALB/c mice were each immunized intraperitoneally on days 1 and 14 with 0.5 mg of *Df* precipitated in aluminum hydroxide. Control group: Mice were sham-sensitized intranasally (i.n.) with PBS on days 14, 16, and 18.
Df group: After immunization with *Df*, mice were challenged i.n. with *Df* allergen on days 14, 16, and 18. Dex group: Control mice were injected subcutaneously (s.c.) with daily doses of dexamethasone on days 15 to 19. Df-Dex group: Df mice were injected s.c. with daily doses of dexamethasone on days 15 to 19. On day 20, all mice were sacrificed.

**Figure 3. Pulmonary pathologies.** Lung tissues were obtained from each group and stained with HE and PAS. Representative HE (A, C, E, G) and PAS (B, D, F, H) stained photomicrographs from each group (total n=8 for each group from three independent experiments) are shown. Inset (A, C, E, G): Higher magnification of inflammatory cells. A and B: Control, C and D: Df-Af, E and F: Df-Af-Dex, G and H: Df-Af-ITCZ. Scale bars = 200µm.

**Figure 4. AM phagocytic activity against *A. fumigatus* conidia.** AM isolated from four groups of mice were cultured with *A. fumigatus* conidia. The number of phagocytosed conidia in each mouse was counted. Results are expressed as an index representing the percentage of phagocytosed *Af* conidia. Bars represent mean values (n=8 for each group from three independent experiments) ± SEM. *p < 0.01 vs. Control.
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### Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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