Control Characteristics Improvement of Full-Bridge DC-DC Converter with Snubber Capacitor
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Abstract—A high-voltage full bridge dc-dc converter has a big problem of high-voltage surge occurrence across secondary-side rectifying diodes. In order to solve this problem, a simple solution with a snubber capacitor has been proposed. This technique provides a prominent effect of surge-voltage suppression. On the other hand, it deteriorates the control characteristics of this converter. In this paper, the control characteristics are analyzed by the state-space averaging method. Based on this analytical result, the improvement of the control characteristics is proposed. Some experiments confirm the effectiveness of the proposed technique.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the rapid growth of internet traffic has increased the number of ICT equipments in data center. Therefore, the electric power consumption in data center has been increased. The energy saving techniques are required in data center.

The conventional data center utilizes the power distribution system based on the combined power lines of AC and 48VDC. In case of AC system, the number of conversion stages is larger, and then its efficiency is lower. In case of 48V DC system, the efficiency is higher due to smaller number of conversion stage. However, heavy current requires thicker power cables, and then flexibility of installation is limited.

In order to solve these difficulties, the power distribution system using High-Voltage Direct-Current (HVDC) e.g., 400V has been recently researched[1–2]. This HVDC system has several advantages such as higher efficiency due to smaller number of conversion stages, and easier installation due to finer power cables.

In this system, a semiconductor circuit breaker and a high power-density isolated DC-DC converter are strongly demanded.

A full-bridge converter is considered as the isolated DC-DC converter. This full-bridge converter has some problems such that a large surge voltage occurs across the secondary side rectifying diodes[3].
The surge suppression effect of this topology has been confirmed in reference. However, this snubber capacitor severely deteriorates the control characteristics of the converter. In ideal case, the output voltage can be derived as following equation;

\[ V_{CS} = \frac{V_m}{n} = V_O \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

From Eq. (1), the output voltage is decided by snubber capacitor voltage \( V_{CS} \) and the output voltage cannot be controlled by the phase-shift driving.

In order to control the output voltage, the output voltage variation has been experimentally confirmed by the influence of leakage inductance so far[10]. Here, the effect of the leakage inductance on the control characteristics improvement is investigated. The relationship between the leakage inductance and the control characteristics are analyzed in next chapter.

### III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Figure 3 shows the key waveforms of the FB-SC. From these waveforms, the operating states can be divided into 7 states in a half switching cycle. Figure 4 shows the each operating state of this circuit.
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The property of the circuit operations are shown as follows:

**State 1**: This state begins when Switch Q₃ turned on. During this period, the electric charge of secondary side diode’s junction capacitance is discharged to output side. This state will be continued until the electric charge reaches zero.

**State 2**: Diode D₃ is turned on, and the power is transferred from primary side to the load. During this period, the applied voltage across the transformer is equal to input voltage \( V_{in} \), and the energy of leakage inductance is stored by the secondary side current. This state will be end when the switch Q₁ turns off.

**State 3**: The current flowing through the switch Q₃ discharges parasitic capacitor. At that same time, the current flowing through the switch Q₄ charges parasitic capacitor. The energy stored in the leakage inductance is transferred to the load. This state will be end when the secondary side rectifying diode D₁ is turned off. The output power is provided from snubber capacitor. This state will be end when the switch Q₁ turns off.

**State 4**: During this period, the primary side current is circulating through switch Q₁ and Q₃. The secondary side rectifying diode D₃ is turned off. The output power is provided from snubber capacitor. This state will be end when the switch Q₁ turns off.

**State 5**: This state begins when the switch Q₃ turns on under the ZVS condition. During this period, the current flowing through the switch Q₃ is negative because of the stored energy of the leakage inductance. This state will be end when the secondary side diode current reaches zero (stored energy of leakage inductance reaches zero).

**State 6**: During this period, the primary side current is circulating through switch Q₁ and Q₃. The secondary side rectifying diode D₃ is turned off. The output power is provided from snubber capacitor. This state will be end when the switch Q₁ turns off.

**State 7**: This state begins when Switch Q₃ turns on. The current flowing through the switch Q₃ discharges parasitic capacitor. At that same time, the current flowing through the switch Q₄ charges parasitic capacitor. This state will be end when the voltage \( V_{ds2} \) reaches zero and the voltage \( V_{ds1} \) reaches input voltage.

The voltage conversion ratio can be derived by means of the extended state-space averaging method[11]. In order to simplify the state analysis, the primary side is modeled to the just voltage source, and then the parameters are converted to the secondary side. Based on this, the analytical models can be divided into 4 states by considering of secondary side states as shown in Fig. 5. Where, the state 1 can be neglected because of very short interval. However, the state 1 is needed to calculate initial value. From these equivalent circuits, the state equations are derived as follows;

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\hat{i}_s}{dt} &= -\frac{DTV_{in}}{4C_sL_{s1}} + \frac{DTV_{in}^2}{4C_sL_{s1}v_s} \\
&+ \frac{L_{s1}}{C_sT_s}I_o^2 + \frac{D\hat{V}_{in}}{C_s}I_0 - \frac{\hat{i}_s}{C_s} \\
\frac{d\hat{i}_d}{dt} &= -\frac{r_i\hat{i}_d + \phi - I_0}{L_i} \\
\frac{d\hat{V}_{ds}}{dt} &= \frac{\hat{i}_d - I_0}{C_o}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

Where, the duty ratio D has been defined by means of \( \phi \) which is the phase difference between switch Q₁ and Q₄ as following equation;

\[
D = 1 - \frac{\phi}{180}
\]

Moreover, \( I_{d0} \) is the initial value of the diode current.

In the steady state, the left side of Eq. (2) is equal to zero. Thus, the output voltage is derived as follows;

\[
V_o = \frac{V_{in} + \frac{I_{d0}L_{c1}}{T_{s}I_o} - \frac{V_{in}}{n}(1 - D)I_{d0}}{1 + \frac{D^2T_sV_{in}}{4nL_{s1}I_o} - r_iI_o}
\]

(4)

In order to evaluate Eq. (4), the estimation of initial value is required.

**A Initial value \( I_{d0} \)**

The initial value is equal to the diode current at the end of state 1. Estimating the initial value \( I_{d0} \), the transient analysis of state 1 is necessary. The equivalent circuit of state 1 is shown in Fig. 5(a). From this equivalent circuit, the diode current \( i_d(t) \) can be derived from following equations.

\[
i_d(t) = \frac{V_{in}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{C_s}{L_i}} \sin \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{C_sL_i}} \right)
\]

(5)
Further, the diode voltage $v_D$ is derived as follows:

$$v_D(t) = V_{in} \left(1 - \cos \frac{t}{\sqrt{C_d L_1}}\right) - V_O$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

When the diode voltage reaches zero, the diode current is equal to initial value $I_{D0}$. From Eq. (5) and (6), the initial value $I_{D0}$ is derived as follows:

$$I_{D0} = \frac{V_{in}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{C_d}{L_1}} \left\{1 - \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{V_O}{V_{in}}\right)^2\right]\right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The initial value depends on the output voltage as shown in Eq. (7). Therefore, the initial value is increasing with increasing the output voltage. When the output voltage is equal to the input voltage, the initial value becomes maximum value as shown in Eq. (8).

$$I_{D0} = \frac{V_{in}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{C_d}{L_1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

From Eq. (4) and (7), the output voltage is obtained. Further, the output voltage approximately expressed by neglecting the infinitesimal terms as follows:

$$V_O = \frac{V_{in}}{n} \left\{1 - \frac{DV_{in}}{n I_O} C_d \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{V_O}{V_{in}}\right)^2\right]\right\} \frac{1 + \frac{D^2 V_{in}}{4 L_1 I_O}}{1 + \frac{D^2 V_{in}}{4 L_1 I_O}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

From Eq. (9), the output voltage depends on the leakage inductance. The control characteristics may be improved by means of the leakage inductance.

B. The control characteristics of FB-SC

The circuit parameters and specifications are shown in Table I. The voltage difference between input and output voltage becomes small in this case as shown in Table I. When voltage difference is smaller, the initial value reaches maximum value of the $I_{D0}$ as shown Eq. (8). Therefore, the maximum value of $I_{D0}$ utilizes as the initial value. In this case, the output voltage becomes as follows in approximately:

$$V_O = \frac{V_{in}}{n} \left\{1 - \frac{DV_{in}}{n I_O} C_d \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{V_O}{V_{in}}\right)^2\right]\right\} \frac{1 + \frac{D^2 V_{in}}{4 L_1 I_O}}{1 + \frac{D^2 V_{in}}{4 L_1 I_O}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>The circuit parameters and specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Voltage</td>
<td>340V to 420V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Voltage</td>
<td>380V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Current</td>
<td>0.2A to 2.5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOSFET</td>
<td>SPP20N60S 650V/20A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode</td>
<td>C2D10120 1280V/10A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer</td>
<td>$L_m$ 450μH, $L_e$ 2μH, Turn ratio 28:30:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snubber Cap.</td>
<td>330nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Inductor</td>
<td>300μH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothing Cap.</td>
<td>47μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching freq.</td>
<td>200kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 shows the output voltage characteristics against the duty ratio. The output voltage variation becomes larger with large leakage inductance and its variation becomes smaller with large duty ratio. Moreover, the voltage variation becomes small when the leakage inductance is smaller. When the leakage inductance is 1μH, the output voltage is not able to be controlled by the duty ratio independently on load condition as shown in Fig. 6.

Furthermore, the output voltage variation depends on the load current. When the load current is lower, the output voltage variation becomes small. Therefore, the large leakage inductance is needed to obtain the wide control range in light load case.
Figure 7 shows the relationship between the input and output voltage. The output voltage is almost linearly dependent on the input voltage. In the case of the small leakage inductance, the output voltage variation width by the duty ratio becomes narrow as shown in Fig. 7(a). On the other hand, when the leakage inductance is larger, the output voltage variation width by the duty ratio becomes wider as shown in Fig. 7(b).

Figure 8 shows the load characteristics with various duty ratio. In the case of the small leakage inductance, the output voltage independent on the duty ratio as shown in Fig. 8(a). When the leakage inductance is larger, the control range of the output voltage becomes wider.

From the these analytical results, it is clarified that the control characteristics is improved by larger leakage inductance.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to examine the validity of the analytical results, the experimental measurements are necessary. The prototype evaluation board has been implemented, and the circuit parameters and specifications are utilized the same as the analytical works as shown in Table I. Here, the external inductances are installed in secondary side instead of leakage inductance.

Figure 9 shows experimental key waveforms. A little ringing is confirmed. However, the experimental key waveforms agree with theoretical key waveforms.

Figure 10 shows the output voltage characteristics against the duty ratio. When the leakage inductance is 2μH, the output voltage cannot be controlled by the duty ratio as shown Fig. 10(a). On the other hand, the output voltage can be controlled by the duty ratio when the leakage inductance is 12μH as shown Fig. 10(b). The output voltage can be controlled by the duty ratio between 0.2 and 0.4 when the load current is 1A as shown in Fig. 10(a). On the other hand, when the load current is 2A, the output voltage can be controlled by the duty ratio between 0.2 and 0.6 as shown in Fig. 10(b). From these results, it is clarified that the control range depends on not only leakage inductance but also load current. Therefore, the design of this converter is necessary to consider the above dependence.

Figure 12 shows the relationship between the input and output voltage. The output voltage is almost linearly dependent on the input voltage. When the leakage inductance is 2μH, the output voltage is not able to control as shown in Fig. 11(a). On the other hand, when the leakage inductance is 10μH, the output voltage can be controlled as shown in Fig. 11(b).
Figure 12 shows the load characteristics with various duty ratio. The output voltage decreases with decreasing the load current. The output voltage variation is changed depending on the leakage inductance. When the leakage inductance is 2μH, the output voltage independent on the duty ratio as shown in Fig. 12 (a). When the leakage inductance is 12μH, the control range of the output voltage becomes wider as shown in Fig. 12 (b).

These experimental results are agreed well analytical results. Moreover, the control characteristics improvement by mean of leakage inductance is confirmed by analytical and experimental.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposed a new technique of utilizing the transformer leakage inductance in order to improve the control characteristics of the Full-Bridge DC-DC converter with snubber capacitor. The effect of the leakage inductance on the control characteristics was clarified by analytical and experimental. As a result, it was confirmed that control range depends not only leakage inductance but also load current. The design of leakage inductance for wide control range is necessary to consider the load condition.

The design technique of the leakage inductance will be discussed in the future work.