γδ T Cell Immunotherapy—A Review
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Abstract: Cancer immunotherapy utilizing Vγ9Vδ2 T cells has been developed over the past decade. A large number of clinical trials have been conducted on various types of solid tumors as well as hematological malignancies. Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapy can be classified into two categories based on the methods of activation and expansion of these cells. Although the in vivo expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by phosphoantigens or nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (N-bis) has been translated to early-phase clinical trials, in which the safety of the treatment was confirmed, problems such as activation-induced Vγ9Vδ2 T cell anergy and a decrease in the number of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells after infusion of these stimulants have not yet been solved. In addition, it is difficult to ex vivo expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells from advanced cancer patients with decreased initial numbers of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. In this article, we review the clinical studies and reports targeting Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and discuss the development and improvement of Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based cancer immunotherapy.
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1. Introduction

T cells can be divided into two subsets—\(\alpha\beta\) T cells and \(\gamma\delta\) T cells—based on their expression of T cell antigen receptors (TCRs). The majority of \(\alpha\beta\) T cells recognize antigenic peptides in the context of MHC class I or class II and produce effector molecules that mediate the regulation and differentiation of other cells in the immune system. Although \(\gamma\delta\) T cells were discovered between 1984 and 1987 [1–3], relatively little is known about the types of antigens or the antigen presentation elements and pathways. In healthy adults, \(\gamma\delta\) T cells occupy 3 to 5% of peripheral blood T cells and play a role in the defense of the body against infections [4]. Typically, 50 to 75% of the peripheral blood \(\gamma\delta\) T cells express V\(\gamma\)9 (also termed V\(\gamma\)2) and V\(\delta\)2 from among the variable elements of TCR (V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 T cells) [4]. This subset of T cells can be readily activated in a TCR-dependent manner when exposed to small phosphorylated molecules such as isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and \((E)-4\)-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl diphosphate (HMBPP) [5,6] or aminated alkyl molecules such as isobutylamine [7]. Although the precise mechanism has not yet been fully clarified, a consensus has been reached on the underlying roles of butyrophiline 3A1 (BTN3A1) in the V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 TCR recognition of phosphoantigens [8–10].

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Peripheral blood V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 T cells can be stimulated by the systemic administration of phosphoantigen or N-bis and expanded by IL-2 for immunotherapy. The *in vivo* expansion of V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 T cells is divided into two strategies based on the cell origin, namely, autologous V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 T cells and haploidentical V\(\gamma\)9V\(\delta\)2 T cells (the latter cells of which are derived from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of half-matched family donors). The stimulators were N-bis or phosphoantigen and all regimens involved the systemic administration of exogenous IL-2. Target tumor types and references [11–18] are indicated.

Conventional lymphokine-activated killer cells (LAKs) and CD3-activated T lymphocytes (CATs) utilized in adoptive immunotherapy for cancer contain not only NK cells and \(\alpha\beta\) T cells, but also \(\gamma\delta\) T cells. It is, however, difficult to demonstrate the roles of these cells clearly as it is very challenging to
ex vivo expand these innate immune cells such as NK cells, dendritic cells, and the adaptive immune cells (e.g., antigenic peptide-specific αβ T cells) to a level where cancer immunotherapy is possible and efficacious. In stark contrast, Vγ9Vδ2 T cells proliferate vigorously in vitro in response to microbial and synthetic phosphoantigens [6]. In addition, it was demonstrated that synthetic nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (N-bis), such as pamidronate (Pam) (used to treat hypercalcemia of malignancy), also stimulated human Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in vitro as well as in vivo [19]. As a result of these findings, cancer immunotherapy harnessing Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and synthetic phosphoantigens or N-bis has become possible and has been extensively developed.

Cancer immunotherapy utilizing Vγ9Vδ2 T cells can be classified into two categories based on the methods of activation and expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. The first is to stimulate Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in vivo by means of the systemic administration of phosphoantigens or N-bis (Figure 1). The second is to expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells ex vivo using synthetic phosphoantigens or N-bis followed by the administration of cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to the patient (Figure 2). These therapeutic interventions can be undertaken in combination with cytokines such as interleukin-2 (IL-2) and/or chemotherapeutic agents.

**Figure 2.** Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from patients and treated with phosphoantigen or N-bis (specific stimulants for Vγ9Vδ2 T cells) in the presence of various concentrations of IL-2 in vitro. After incubation for appropriate periods, Vγ9Vδ2 T cells were intravenously or intraperitoneally administered following the systemic administration or local injection of IL-2, Zol, or IL-2 plus Zol. Target tumor types and references [20–27] are indicated.

**2. In Vivo Stimulation of Vγ9Vδ2 T Cells Using Synthetic Antigens and IL-2**

Kunzmann et al. initially reported that Pam could stimulate Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in the peripheral blood [19]. In their trial, four of ten patients had acute-phase reactions (APRs; fever and influenza-like symptoms) after Pam treatment and all four of these patients had a substantial increase in the proportion
of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. Rossini et al. reported that 42% of patients (17 of 40) undergoing infusion of zoledronic acid (Zol), one of the strongest N-bis that is widely used in clinics for metastatic bone tumors, experienced APRs. Based on the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, they concluded that having more than 25 γδ T cells/μL ($p = 0.032$) or 3.0% γδ T cells ($p = 0.027$) were risk factors of APR [28]. Proliferative responses of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to N-bis are dependent on IL-2 [29]. Stimulated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells produce cytokines such as interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and exhibit specific cytotoxicity against various tumor cells, including lymphoma and myeloma cell lines [30]. Wilhelm and coworkers first demonstrated that in vivo Vγ9Vδ2 T cell stimulation by Pam and low-dose IL-2 was safe and could induce objective tumor responses in patients with low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL, $n = 11$) and multiple myeloma (MM, $n = 8$) [11]. It was noted that patient selection was a prerequisite for successful treatment (namely, positive in vitro responses of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to Pam and IL-2). In addition, the dose and timing of IL-2 administration is important. In this report, patients who showed positive in vitro responses to Pam plus IL-2 achieved objective clinical responses, and patients who received IL-2 at dose levels of $1 \times 10^6$ to $2 \times 10^6$ IU from day 1 to day 6 after Pam infusion (90 mg) responded to the treatment. Ten patients who received IL-2 from day 3 through day 8 after an initial Pam infusion (90 mg), however, did not achieve an objective tumor response. This may indicate that IL-2 administration three days after Pam infusion was too late to avoid activation-induced cell death of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells.

Dieli and coworkers conducted a phase I clinical trial to determine the anti-tumor effect of the single or combined administration of Zol and IL-2 in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) [14]. In this study, 18 patients were enrolled and divided into two groups. One group ($n = 9$, Cohort A) received 4 mg of Zol alone, and the other ($n = 9$, Cohort B) received 4 mg of Zol and $6 \times 10^5$ IU of IL-2 via subcutaneous injection at the same time. The treatments were performed every 21 days and immune-monitoring was performed at 3, 6, and 12 months. Six of nine patients in Cohort B, but only two of nine patients in Cohort A, showed increased numbers of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells with an effector-memory phenotype. The number of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells was evaluated 3 months after the initial treatment in five patients in Cohort A; four patients died due to CRPC within 3 months. Four of five evaluable patients in Cohort A showed markedly decreased numbers of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells after the therapy and the remaining patient showed slightly decreased numbers. It is of note that almost all peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells disappeared in four patients in Cohort A after the fourth administration of Zol (3 months after the first administration). This phenomenon indicates the importance of the administration of exogenous IL-2 to maintain peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. Serum TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) levels were maintained in patients with Zol + IL-2, and higher TRAIL levels were associated with favorable clinical outcomes. Serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels were inversely correlated with the total Vγ9Vδ2 T cell numbers and effector memory-type Vγ9Vδ2 T cell numbers.

IL-2 therapy is a standard treatment for metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC) in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines (ver. 2, 2014). Because the side effects of high-dose IL-2 therapy ($6 \times 10^5$ IU/kg/8 h, for example) are very serious and intensive care unit–based management is required, its availability is limited. For example, only low-dose IL-2 therapy (0.35–2.1 $\times 10^6$ IU/body) is approved in Japan because of toxicity. Administration of Zol plus low-dose IL-2 seems to be a reasonable and attractive therapy for metastatic RCC. Lang et al. reported a pilot trial of Zol and two
different doses of IL-2 (higher: $7 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day and lower: $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day) administration for patients with metastatic RCC and attempted to determine the optimal dose of Zol and IL-2 for the activation and expansion of peripheral blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells, which might correlate with favorable clinical outcomes [13]. Twelve patients were enrolled in this study and six received 3 consecutive weekly cycles of 4 mg of Zol followed by $7 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 for 5 consecutive days. Three patients received 4 mg of Zol and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 for 5 consecutive days. None of the patients who received 4 mg of Zol plus $7 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 showed an increased number of peripheral blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells, and one of three patients who received 4 mg of Zol and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 had an increased number of peripheral blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells. The authors considered 4 mg of Zol to be too strong as a stimulant for $\gamma\delta$ T cells to be combined with a higher dose of IL-2. To determine the optimal dose of Zol, three other patients received decreased doses (0.4–3.0 mg) of Zol and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 for 5 consecutive days. All three patients who received decreased doses (0.4–3.0 mg) of Zol and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m$^2$/day of IL-2 exhibited an increased number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the peripheral blood and displayed stable disease. The most common adverse events were the same as those reported in IL-2 monotherapy; thus, the regimens were well tolerated. Intravenous IL-2 administration (every 8 h at $7.2 \times 10^4$ IU/kg up to 15 doses) for patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma induced increased levels of serum TNF-$\alpha$, IL-6, soluble IL-2 receptor, IL-10, and soluble CD8. These soluble factors might influence the maintenance of $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses.

The same research group also conducted a phase I trial in which Zol and low-dose IL-2 were administered to 10 advanced metastatic breast cancer patients [16]. The patients received 4 mg of Zol, followed by $1 \times 10^6$ IU/body of IL-2 every 21 days. The regimen was well tolerated and $\gamma\delta$ T cells exhibited an effector phenotype in all patients. The robust and sustained expansion of peripheral blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells was observed in three out of ten patients (one of which had PR and two of which had SD).

Bromohydrin diphosphate (BrHPP, IPH101, Phostim) is another potent stimulator of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells in vitro and in vivo [31]. Bennouna and coworkers conducted a phase I trial to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and safety of BrHPP plus low-dose IL-2 in patients with solid tumors [17]. In this study, 200 to 1,800 mg of BrHPP with or without $1 \times 10^6$ IU of IL-2 was subcutaneously administrated to 28 patients on day 1 through day 7 every 3 weeks. The regimen of 1,500 mg of BrHPP with or without IL-2 was well tolerated and the expansion of peripheral blood $\gamma\delta$ T cells was dependent on IL-2. Phosphoantigen-specific proliferation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells diminished after repetitive administrations of BrHPP plus IL-2 and no objective response was observed in 28 patients. These clinical trial results are summarized in Table 1.
### Table 1. *In vivo* stimulation of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells using synthetic antigens and IL-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Stimulant</th>
<th>Exogenous IL-2</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Numbers of Cycles</th>
<th>Clinical Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilhelm et al., 2003 <em>Blood</em> [11]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>MM: 4, CLL: 4, IC: 1</td>
<td>Pam (90 mg)</td>
<td>dl</td>
<td>0.25–3 × 10⁶, iv</td>
<td>d3–d8</td>
<td>at least 3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MZL: 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieli et al., 2007 <em>Cancer Res.</em> [14]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>HRPC</td>
<td>Zol (4 mg)</td>
<td>dl</td>
<td>0.6 × 10⁶, sc</td>
<td>d1</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lang et al., 2011 <em>Cancer Immunol. Immunother.</em> [13]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>RCC</td>
<td>Zol (4 mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 × 10⁴ U/m²/day, sc</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1: n = 2, 2–10: n = 4, NA: n = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meraviglia et al., 2010 <em>Clin. Exp. Immunol.</em> [16]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Zol (4 mg)</td>
<td>dl</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ U/m²/day, sc</td>
<td>d1</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Stimulant</th>
<th>Exogenous IL-2</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Numbers of Cycles</th>
<th>Clinical Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bennouna et al., 2010 Cancer Immunol. Immunother. [17]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RCC: 18</td>
<td>BrHPP (200 mg/m²)</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ U/m²/day, sc, from 2 cycles</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon Ca: 3</td>
<td>(600 mg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Esophagus Ca: 3</td>
<td>(1200 mg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennouna et al., 2010 Cancer Immunol. Immunother. [17]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Gastric Ca: 1</td>
<td>(1500 mg/m²)</td>
<td>d1–7 21 days</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>SD: 12, PD: 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast Ca: 2</td>
<td>(1800 mg/m²)</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ U/m²/day, sc, from 2 cycles</td>
<td>16 cycles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarian Ca: 1</td>
<td>(1500 mg/m²)</td>
<td>1 × 10⁶ U/m²/day, sc, from 1 cycle</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. In Vivo Activation of $\gamma\delta$ T Cells After Adoptive Cell Therapy

The in vivo activation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in patients with advanced hematological malignancies was reported by Wilhelm and coworkers [18]. Four patients who had undergone prior immunosuppressive chemotherapy with Hi-Cy/Flu (fludarabine 20–25 mg/m² day -6 through day -2 and cyclophosphamide 30–60 mg/kg day -6 and day -5) received CD4/8 T cell-depleted leukapheresis products from one haploidentical donor. All patients then received 4 mg of intravenous Zol on day 0 and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m² of IL-2 on day +1 through day +6. This resulted in a marked in vivo expansion of donor $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Although all patients were refractory to prior therapies, three out of four achieved complete remission without graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).

2.2. Theoretical Concern and Appropriate Dosages of N-bis/IL-2

In vivo $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cell expansion regimens using N-bis (Zol or Pam) or BrHPP followed by low-dose IL-2 were well tolerated. Although the administration of IL-2 was essential for the activation, a high dose of IL-2 was not necessary to sustain a high $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cell level. Based on these reports, it is likely that the safety range of IL-2 administration is between $1 \times 10^6$ IU/body/day and $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m²/day for up to 7 consecutive days. Although 1 $\mu$M (= 290.1 ng/mL) to 5 $\mu$M of Zol concentration is enough to activate and expand $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells in vitro, the optimal dose of Zol for in vivo activation has not yet been determined [24–26,32]. When Zol was administered at a single dosage of 4 mg to ten Japanese patients with metastatic bone tumors, the peak serum concentration (Cmax) was $426 \pm 101$ ng/mL and the area under the curve (AUC) 0 to 24 h was $576 \pm 130$ ng/h/mL (public data from Novartis Pharma). Based on these data, a lower dose of Zol seems to be enough to activate peripheral blood $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells, because of the efficient uptake of Zol by monocyte-lineage cells via fluid phase endocytosis [33]. In fact, Lang and coworkers reported that 0.4 mg of Zol plus $1 \times 10^6$ IU/m² of IL-2 induced the expansion of peripheral blood $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells [13]. Although the administration of Zol or BrHPP plus low-dose IL-2 increases the proportion of $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells in an effector/memory (E/M) state, the treatments often reduce the total number of $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells and induce anergy in the T cell subset.

Miyagawa et al. demonstrated that the activation of primary $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells by Pam was dependent on the presence of monocyte-lineage cells [33]. When Zol is internalized into the cytoplasm of the monocyte-lineage cells, it inhibits the rate-limiting enzyme farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS) in the mevalonate pathway, thereby increasing the level of the upstream metabolite IPP. The higher level of IPP is sensed by the intracellular domain of BTN3A1, which may induce an extracellular conformational change or oligomerization. Finally, the structural change in BTN3A1 by IPP may be recognized by $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ TCR [9,10].

The mechanisms underlying the activation-induced $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cell anergy and the decrease in the number of peripheral blood $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells after infusion of N-bis have not yet been fully delineated. In patients naïve to N-bis, a significant reduction in both total lymphocytes and $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cell subset was observed 2 days after Zol infusion. Although all lymphocyte counts other than $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells returned to baseline one year after infusion, $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cells remained significantly lower in both proportion and absolute numbers [34]. Activation-induced $V_{\gamma9}V_{\delta2}$ T cell anergy might be triggered by
the involvement of nonprofessional antigen-presenting cells that fail to express costimulatory molecules. The tachyphylaxis is observed in both Zol plus IL-2 and BrHPP + IL-2 regimens.

It is noteworthy that the Zol plus IL-2 regimen induces the upregulation of serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels in patients with both solid and hematopoietic tumors, resulting in the promotion of tumor angiogenesis. Kunzmann and coworkers conducted a phase I/II trial of the Zol plus IL-2 regimen for patients with RCC, melanoma, and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [12]. Twenty-one patients were enrolled in this study and a total of 58 treatment cycles were performed. The regimen was safe and well tolerated. Although no objective response was observed in RCC patients, two AML patients had PR. It is likely that an increased level of serum VEGF is correlated with a lack of clinical responses, especially in angiogenesis-dependent RCC.

It is suggested that inhibition of the mevalonate pathway results in a depletion of geranylgeranyl diphosphate, leading to the activation of caspase I, which in turn maturates IL-18. IL-18 mediates the differentiation and activation of helper NK cells, which promote the expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells [35–37]. IL-21 inhibits the division of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells stimulated by phosphoantigens, but enhances cytolytic activities [38]. IL-18 and IL-21 were examined in a clinical trial for patients with NHL and metastatic melanoma [39,40]. These in vitro studies and clinical trials will give us clues on how to overcome treatment-induced unfavorable reactions such as the induction of VEGF in immunotherapy using Vγ9Vδ2 T cells.

3. Adoptive Immunotherapy Using Ex Vivo Activated Vγ9Vδ2 T Cells

Several clinical trials using ex vivo expanded γδ T cells have been conducted in which various culture conditions were tested, such as various concentrations of stimulants and IL-2. Various tumors have been targeted, including hematological malignancies, RCC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), malignant melanoma (ML), ovarian carcinoma (OC), and breast cancer (BC). In addition to Vγ9Vδ2 T cell infusion, additional treatments, such as the systemic administration of IL-2 or Zol, have been examined in clinical trials. These clinical trial results are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

3.1. Role of Vδ1 T Cells

Anti-TCR γδ monoclonal antibody (mAb) stimulated both Vδ1 and Vδ2 T cells. The Vδ1 T cells also exhibited potent cytotoxic activity against tumor cells in vitro, equivalent to that of Vδ2 T cells [20]. The anti-tumor activity of Vδ1 T cells is, however, controversial. Peng et al. reported that a dominant Vδ1 T cell population among lymphocytes infiltrating breast tumors exhibited a potent ability to suppress naïve and effector T cell responses and to block the maturation and function of dendritic cells (DCs) [41]. In contrast, ex vivo expanded Vδ1 T cells using Vδ1 mAb and IL-2 killed glioblastoma multiform (GBM) cell lines and primary tumor-derived GBM cells [42]. It is likely that Vδ1 T cells can be further divided into two subsets, cytotoxic Vδ1 cells and regulatory Vδ1 cells. The former subset may exist in lymphocyte cultures stimulated with anti-Vδ1 mAb and IL-2, and the latter in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, the peripheral blood of children who underwent liver transplantation and exhibited allograft tolerance, and lymphocytes found in the uteri of pregnant women.
Table 2. Ex vivo expansion conditions for adoptive immunotherapy using ex vivo activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Cell Source</th>
<th>Culture condition</th>
<th>IL-2 (U/mL)</th>
<th>Stimulant (Concentration)</th>
<th>Serum</th>
<th>Culture days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kobayashi et al., 2007 Cancer Immunol. Immunother. [22]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>RCC</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 U/mL</td>
<td>2M3B1-PP</td>
<td>100 μM</td>
<td>2% Auto serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennouna et al., 2008 Cancer Immunol. Immunother. [21]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RCC</td>
<td>Leukapheresis</td>
<td></td>
<td>328 U/mL: d1, 984 U/mL: d4–14</td>
<td>BrHPP</td>
<td>3 μM</td>
<td>9% FCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobayashi et al., 2011 Cancer Immunol. Immunother. [23]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>RCC</td>
<td>Leukapheresis (1 leukapheresis for 2 treatments)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 U/mL</td>
<td>2M3B1-PP</td>
<td>100 μM</td>
<td>2% Auto serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicol et al., 2011 Br. J. Cancer [24]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Melanoma: 4, Ovarian cancer: 1, Colon cancer: 1, Melanoma: 3, Adenocarcinoma: 1, Cholangiocarcinoma: 1, Ovarian carcinoma: 1, Colon cancer: 2, Duodenal cancer: 1, Breast cancer: 2, Cervical cancer: 1</td>
<td>Leukapheresis 1 leukapheresis for 8 treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td>700 IU/mL, d0; 350 IU/mL, every 2–3 days</td>
<td>Zol</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
<td>10% AB serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakajima et al., 2010 Eur. J. Cardiothorac. Surg. [26]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Non-small-cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Peripheral blood 70 mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 U/mL</td>
<td>Zol</td>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td>10% Auto serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abe et al., 2009 Exp. Hematol. [25]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 U/mL</td>
<td>Zol</td>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td>Auto serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wada et al., 2014 Cancer Med. [27]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gastric cancer</td>
<td>Leukapheresis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 U/mL</td>
<td>Zol</td>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td>Auto serum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Adoptive immunotherapy using \textit{ex vivo} activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>No. of Transferred γδ T Cells</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>IL-2: Dose/Schedule</th>
<th>Clinical Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 × 10⁹, n = 6</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cycle 1: no, cycle 2: 2 × 10⁶ IU/m², sc d1–d7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 × 10⁹, n = 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3–2.2 × 10⁹</td>
<td>Up to 8</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>1 mg, iv, 24 h before cell transfer; 1 mg, iv, just before cell transfer</td>
<td>SD: 2, PD: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3–1.9 × 10⁹</td>
<td>0.9–4.0 × 10⁹</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole \textit{et al.}, 2011 \textit{Br. J. Cancer} [24]</td>
<td>0.04–2.8 × 10⁹</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1–5.5 × 10⁹</td>
<td>3–12 (mean 6)</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>1 mg, iv, 24 h before cell transfer</td>
<td>SD: 1, PD: 7, NE: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR: 2, CR: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6–31.4 × 10⁹</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abe \textit{et al.}, 2009 \textit{Exp. Hematol.} [25]</td>
<td>0.07–5.2 × 10⁹</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>1 mg, iv at 1st therapy, 1 mg intraperitoneal injection, after 2nd therapy</td>
<td>Decreased M-protein levels: 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wada \textit{et al.}, 2014 \textit{Cancer Med} [27]</td>
<td>0.06–6.49 × 10⁹</td>
<td>1–4 (mean 3)</td>
<td>ip</td>
<td>1 mg, iv at 1st therapy, 1 mg intraperitoneal injection, after 2nd therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.06–25.0 × 10⁹</td>
<td>weekly</td>
<td>ip</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ascites, disappeared: 1, Reduced: 1, No change: 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Clinical Trials Using Ex Vivo Activated Vγ9Vδ2 T Cells

Most groups used pyrophosphomonoester antigens or Zol to specifically stimulate Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. For example, in 1996 we designed and synthesized 2-methyl-3-butenyl-1-diphosphate (2M3B1PP), a pyrophosphomonoester antigen, which was approximately tenfold more potent than IPP in stimulating Vγ9Vδ2 T cells [43].

We first conducted a pilot study of adoptive immunotherapy using 2M3B1PP-stimulated autologous Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and low-dose IL-2 against advanced RCC patients [22]. Seven patients were enrolled and received 5 × 10^6 to 3.57 × 10^8 of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells every week for 6 to 12 weeks. They also received an intravenous infusion of 7 × 10^5 IU of teceleukin (recombinant human IL-2, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) after the adoptive transfer of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. Adverse events were graded using the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria Version 3.0 (CTCAE, Ver.3.0). All patients had IL-2-related adverse events such as fever, fatigue, elevation of liver transaminase, and eosinophilia, all of which were graded as 1 or 2. In immunological monitoring, five of seven patients showed an increased number of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. We also evaluated the anti-tumor effects by assessing tumor-volume-doubling time (DT) on serial computed tomography (CT). DT was calculated in five patients, three of whom showed prolongation of DT. Although the clinical benefits were considered moderate, the regimen was well tolerated. Whereas six of seven patients died, one patient (Number 5 in Table 1 of reference 39) survived for over ten years after the treatment. He received 12 infusions, in which 1.11 × 10^9 of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells were administered on average during the clinical trial. He received an additional 34 Vγ9Vδ2 T cell adoptive transfers every month from the start of the clinical trial until the anti-angiogenesis drug (Sorafenib) became available in Japan in May 2008, when he started receiving sequential therapy of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor (Everolimus).

Bennouna and coworkers conducted a phase I clinical trial of adoptive immunotherapy using Vγ9Vδ2 T cells stimulated by BrHPP and expanded with IL-2 in patients with metastatic RCC (21). The aim of this clinical study was to determine the MTD and safety of Innacell γδ™, which contains autologous Vγ9Vδ2 T cells expanded by BrHPP plus IL-2. Ten patients were enrolled in this trial and received Innacell γδ™ in the first cycle and then Innacell γδ™ + low-dose IL-2 (2 × 10^6 IU/m^2 from day 1 through day 7) from the second cycle at 3 week intervals. A dose-escalation study for Innacell γδ™ was conducted to evaluate the safety and tolerability of the treatment. Patients received 1 × 10^9 to 8 × 10^9 of Innacell γδ™. One patient who received 8 × 10^9 of Innacell γδ™ was diagnosed with disseminated intravascular coagulation by laboratory data at the second cycle, though no clinical symptoms were reported. Other adverse events were considered to be IL-2-related. The results demonstrated that adoptive immunotherapy using Vγ9Vδ2 T cells plus low-dose IL-2 was well tolerated.

We also conducted a phase I/II trial of the adoptive transfer of 2M3B1PP-stimulated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in combination with Zol and IL-2 in patients with advanced RCC [23]. It is noteworthy that Vγ9Vδ2 T cells exert specific cytolysis in a TCR-dependent manner when they encounter human tumor cells pulsed with N-bis, such as Pam and Zol [33,44]. It was demonstrated that the inhibition of FPPS by N-bis results in the accumulation of IPP in tumor cells, leading to the activation of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells [45]. We employed a strategy consisting of N-bis infusion to sensitize tumor cells, followed by the adoptive transfer of 2M3B1PP-stimulated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and low-dose IL-2 [23]. Although 1 μM (= 290.1 ng/mL) to 5 μM
of Zol concentration was sufficient to activate and expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells *in vitro*, 10 μM of Zol concentration was needed to inhibit the prenylation of proteins in osteoclast cells and resulted in the accumulation of internal IPPs (public data from Novartis Pharma). We administered 4 mg of Zol to achieve sufficient concentrations in tumor cells. It is difficult to prove the hypothesis in humans, but a report of an animal model by Benzaïd *et al.* strongly supported it [46]. Vγ9Vδ2 T cells infiltrated the tumor and inhibited the growth of tumor cells producing a high level of IPP, but not those with a low level of IPP in Zol-treated mice with subcutaneous breast cancer xenografts. It was also suggested that IPP secreted into the extracellular matrix promoted Vγ9Vδ2 T cell chemotaxis to the tumor [46]. Eleven RCC patients with lung metastasis refractory to IFN-α therapy were enrolled and received 4 mg of Zol intravenously, followed by $1.4 \times 10^6$ IU of teceleukin from day 0 through day 4. They received up to six adoptive transfers of 2M3B1PP-stimulated autologous Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and IL-2 infusions following Zol administration. The treatment was given to patients every 3 weeks. We cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in the presence of 2M3B1PP and IL-2 for 11 days before infusion, because the level of IL-2 receptor α (CD25) expression on 2M3B1PP-stimulated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells was highest around day 11 and the CD25 expression decreased thereafter to a baseline level by day 14. Although the time course of CD25 expression varied from individual to individual, we did not extend the culture duration more than 11 days, because the CD25 expression was essential for the *in vivo* expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by infusion of low-dose IL-2. We were also concerned that high-dose IL-2 might induce too much proliferation, resulting in a reduction of telomere length and limited proliferation *in vivo*. In order to maintain the preferable cellular functions of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells, we therefore administered IL-2 to patients for only five days after the transfer. Low-dose IL-2 may increase the frequency of regulatory T cells (Tregs), which may inhibit the cytotoxic activity of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells according to *in vitro* studies [47]. Koreth and coworkers reported that the daily administration of low-dose IL-2 induced the selective expansion of functional Tregs, resulting in clinical improvement of chronic GVHD [48]. In this study, in order to control GVHD, low-dose IL-2 was administered daily for 8 weeks. On the other hand, phosphoantigen-activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells could down-modulate IL-2-induced expansion of Tregs [49]. In our study [23], eleven patients with lung metastasis of RCC refractory to IFN-α were treated with low-dose IL-2 for 5 consecutive days every 3 weeks, and clinical responses were evaluated by CT examination using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST). Five patients showed PD, five patients SD, and one patient CR [50]. Two patients died during the trial and four others died of RCC after the study (11.5, 27, 30, and 58 months after enrollment). One patient received best supportive care and another three patients received anti-angiogenetic drugs (sorafenib, sunitinib, and sequential administration of sorafenib/sunitinib). The remaining five patients survived an average of 93 months following treatment. Four of five patients received anti-angiogenetic drugs (sequential combinations of sorafenib, sunitinib, and axitinib). One patient who had shown complete remission after six cycles of phosphoantigen-activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells, IL-2, and Zol administration maintained remission for 90 months after the sixth treatment without further treatment. The regimen was well tolerated and most adverse events were IL-2-related. A characteristic adverse event was lymphopenia, which was prominent within a day after treatment and the symptoms of which disappeared the next day without any treatment. In our protocol, a decreased number and frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells were observed as the cycle of treatments progressed. In addition, a lower response rate compared with that in the first treatment of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to phosphoantigens was seen—This was also observed in other clinical trials of Zol and IL-2
administration. The precise mechanism of this functional anergy remains unknown. We have not examined the frequency of Tregs before and after treatment, and further investigations are thus necessary.

Nicol and coworkers performed a clinical study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of the adoptive transfer of large numbers of ex vivo expanded autologous \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells using Zol and IL-2 in combination with Zol infusion to patients with advanced solid tumors [24]. Eighteen patients were enrolled, six of whom were treated on a dose-escalation protocol. The maximum dose achieved in six patients was \( 2.8 \times 10^9 \) cells, and no transferred cell-related adverse events were observed. Zol was first administered to patients (1 mg per treatment); 24 h later, the patients were again infused with 1 mg of Zol immediately before cell transfer. Of the fifteen evaluable patients, three patients had SD and twelve had PD during the study period. Three patients (two breast cancer and one cervical cancer; two of whom showed PR and one CR) were treated concurrently with other therapies (two with chemotherapy and one with hormone therapy). The researchers also demonstrated the migration of infused ex vivo expanded \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells labeled with radioactive \(^{111}\)indium oxine (\(^{111}\)In) in three patients. The cells infiltrated the lungs and remained there for 4 to 7 h. Then, cell numbers (estimated based on \( \gamma \)-ray radioactivity) slowly decreased with gradual migration into the liver and spleen. In one patient with a large metastatic mass of 84 mm in diameter in the left adrenal gland, the cells accumulated in the metastatic site 1 h after infusion and maximal activity was seen in the tumor area at 4 to 48 h. Because the patients were not infused with IL-2 after the transfer, the toxicity profile of \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells plus Zol was not evaluated. The cessation of exposure to a high concentration of IL-2 (700 or 350 IU/mL) in culture may limit the survival and function of \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells after cell transfer.

Adoptive immunotherapy using autologous \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells to patients with advanced and/or recurrent NSCLC was reported from a Japanese group [26,51]. Nakajima and coworkers conducted a phase I clinical trial using Zol-stimulated (5 \( \mu \)M), IL-2-expanded (1,000 IU/mL) \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells against recurrent NSCLC [26]. Ten patients were enrolled and received 3 to 12 autologous \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cell administration every other week. The number of \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells administered ranged from 2.6 to \( 14.5 \times 10^9 \) cells. The treatment was safe and well tolerated. Three patients showed SD and 5 showed PD four weeks after the last treatment. The SD patients received more than \( 10 \times 10^9 \) cells, and the elevation of plasma IFN-\( \gamma \) concentration was observed.

Abe et al. conducted a pilot study using Zol-stimulated (5 \( \mu \)M) and IL-2-expanded (1,000 IU/mL) \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells in patients with MM [25]. Six patients were enrolled and received 4 to 8 autologous \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cell administrations every four weeks. The number of \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells transferred ranged from 3.0 to \( 20.0 \times 10^9 \) cells. The treatment was safe and well tolerated and clinical efficacy was assessed by M-protein levels in the serum. In four patients, the M-protein level remained at baseline, and elevation was observed in two patients.

Wada et al. conducted a pilot study to evaluate the safety of weekly intraperitoneal injections of in vitro expanded \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells together with Zol for the treatment of malignant ascites caused by peritoneal dissemination of gastric cancer [27]. PBMC were collected by leukapheresis, cryopreserved, and stored until use. PBMCs were stimulated by 5 \( \mu \)M of Zol and expanded in the presence of 1,000 IU/mL of IL-2 for 14 days. A total of four injections of \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells were performed weekly. Seven patients received an intravenous injection of 1 mg of Zol and then three intraperitoneal injections to sensitize tumor cells one day before the transfer of 0.6 to \( 69.8 \times 10^9 \) \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells. None of the patients experienced abdominal pain or any other toxicity when \( \gamma^9 \delta^2 \) T cells and Zol were
intraperitoneally administered. Even though the patients were in an advanced stage (two were withdrawn from the study due to disease progression) and only three patients completed the fourth treatment, two patients experienced a decreased volume of ascites on CT and the number of tumor cells in ascites decreased gradually during the repeated injections of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and Zol.

4. Discussion

We have reviewed the clinical trials of Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapies conducted over the last 16 years. The trials proved that Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapies were safe and well tolerated, and that the clinical benefits appeared to be mild to moderate. Although potent cytotoxic activity against various tumor cells has been confirmed in vitro, currently employed regimens have plenty of room for improvement.

Even in early-stage malignancy it was demonstrated that both the frequency and absolute number of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells were decreased in the peripheral blood in some patients [37]. It is generally difficult to expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells efficiently with Zol plus IL-2 in vitro when the initial frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells is relatively low. It is thus recommended that patients be examined for the frequency and absolute number of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells before enrollment in these studies [11]. Favorable clinical outcomes were related to higher frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and higher total number of in vitro cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells used for adoptive immunotherapy. In fact, six of thirteen patients with locally advanced RCC who showed increased frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells over 9.6% of CD3+ cells before surgery survived more than ten years after the surgery, but among seven of thirteen patients whose peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells were less than 6.8% of CD3+ cells before surgery, four died due to RCC [52]. Recent studies demonstrated that IL-18 enhanced the in vitro expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells from patients, irrespective of the frequency of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells [35,37]. Although the precise mechanism has not yet been fully clarified, it is evident that IL-2 and IL-18 promote the maturation of helper NK cells expressing antigen-presenting cell (APC)-associated molecules such as CD86, HLA-DR, and HLA-DQ, which, in turn, enhance the expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. It is thus necessary to use IL-18 to expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells for the enrollment of patients exhibiting low frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells and/or low expansion rate in in vitro culture.

The adoptive transfer of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells efficiently supplies effector cells to patients. Vγ9Vδ2 T cells exhibit potent cytotoxic activity against tumor cells such as Daudi Burkitt’s lymphoma and RPMI8226 MM. When tumor cells are sensitized with N-bis, Vγ9Vδ2 T cells recognize the tumor cells in a Vγ9Vδ2 TCR-dependent manner and efficiently kill them. Based on this finding, infusion of Zol for the in vivo sensitization of tumor cells has been employed in Vγ9Vδ2 T cell-based immunotherapy. It should be, however, carefully examined whether or not the Zol infusion is beneficial for patients. It is easy to expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells using phosphoantigens or Zol and IL-2 on a large scale [24,27,32]. When the patients were infused with Zol, however, the frequency and number of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells are markedly reduced and Vγ9Vδ2 T cells become anergic to both phosphoantigens and N-bis [14,23,24]. N-bis inhibits osteoclastic bone resorption by blocking FPPS, an enzyme in the mevalonate pathway. The use of intravenous N-bis is also associated with the appearance of APR, because the inhibition of FPPS by N-bis results in the intracellular accumulation of IPP, allowing Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to produce inflammatory cytokines such as IFN-γ and TNF-α. APR is in fact associated with the frequency and number of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in peripheral blood. Over 25 Vγ9Vδ2 T cells/μL (p = 0.032) and >3.0%
Pharmaceuticals 2015, 8 (p = 0.027) have been shown to be risk factors of APR [28]. APR occurs after the first injection of N-bis and no APR is generally observed after the second and further injections, possibly because of a reduction in the number of circulating \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells [34]. Although the mechanism for the peripheral reduction of N-bis-reactive cells has not been fully investigated, activation-induced cell death (AICD) and/or incomplete activation of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells by unprofessional APCs appear to be involved in the exhaustion and anergy of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells. If AICD is the predominant mechanism, the administration of exogenous IL-2 might be helpful to expand and/or maintain the level of peripheral \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells in vivo. Although several clinical trials involving Zol and IL-2 infusion have been designed and performed [11,13,14,16], the frequency and number of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells in the peripheral blood were reduced and the responses of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells to phosphoantigens and/or Zol were greatly impaired [14]. It is of note that the lower frequency of peripheral blood \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells after Zol administration can be observed even one year after Zol administration [34]. In addition, patients who received N-bis via oral administration also showed significantly lower frequency and reduced number of peripheral blood \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells [34]. It is thus important to exclude patients who have undergone N-bis administration, even via oral administration, in the selection of candidates for \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cell-based immunotherapies. In addition to N-bis, BrHPP, a pyrophosphomonoester antigen, might impair the responsiveness of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells after successive administration (every 3 weeks) [17]. Based on these findings, it is essential that clinical studies be conducted to explore a novel approach to stably expand and maintain the responsiveness and functions of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells.

A recent study demonstrated that IL-21 dramatically increased the cytotoxic activity of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells [38] and that IL-18 promoted the expansion of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells [35,37]. A combination of IL-18 and IL-21 has been used in clinical trials on NHL and melanoma; the regimens were well tolerated and provided significant clinical benefits [39,40]. The administration of Zol, IL-2, IL-21, and/or IL-18 might be promising combinations for the in vivo stimulation and expansion of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells. The therapeutic use of IL-18 and IL-21 must, however, be carefully and extensively examined and developed as translational research, because it is well known that the cytokines transduce signals related to cellular survival, proliferation, and differentiation and, therefore, may help tumor growth. It is also noteworthy that biological therapeutics may be used to enhance anti-tumor activity, because the cytotoxic activity of \( \gamma_\delta \) T cells was significantly augmented by a conformational change in \( \gamma_\delta \) TCR complexes induced by a certain monoclonal antibody against CD3 and the subsequent signal transduction through PI3K/Akt and Ras/Ark pathways [53].

The advantages of the adoptive transfer of autologous \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells over the in vivo activation of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells are to be able (1) to avoid the exhaustion of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells and/or hypo-responsiveness to specific antigens; (2) to prevent the contact between \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells and unprofessional APCs that may lead \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells to anergy; 3) to easily obtain a large number of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells, 4) to manipulate \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells in vitro, such as the augmentation of cytotoxicity using IL-18, IL-21, and other cytokines, the introduction of genes encoding receptors for tumor antigens, and the quality control of the effector cells; 4) to use allogeneic \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells [54]; and 5) to administer \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells locally, for example, to the intraperitoneal cavity, the enucleated cavity of the brain tumor, and the bladder.

There are several methods to stimulate and expand \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells. Phosphoantigens or third-generation N-bis have been used as stimulants, and both classes of compounds yield a high level of stimulatory activity. Activation of \( V_\gamma 9V_\delta 2 \) T cells by N-bis requires antigen presentation by monocyte-lineage cells [33].
Monocytes increase in the peripheral blood of patients with advanced cancer and the circulating monocytes actively infiltrate the tumor tissues, which might alter the tumor microenvironment, resulting in the acceleration of tumor progression. When these cells uptake N-bis, the function of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in cancer patients might be influenced. In most of the studies of Vγ9Vδ2 T cell therapy, IL-2 was used for the expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells, in which the concentration of IL-2 ranged from 100 to 1,000 IU/mL. In our experience, 100 IU/mL of IL-2 is appropriate to expand Vγ9Vδ2 T cells for adoptive immunotherapy, because too much expansion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by a higher concentration of IL-2 might reduce telomere length, resulting in apoptosis of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in vivo. One of our prostate cancer patients who experienced biochemical failure after radical prostatectomy received four monthly Vγ9Vδ2 T cell transfers. In this patient, the frequency and absolute number of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in the peripheral blood increased from 6.1 to 46.8% and 51 to 629 cells/μL. The high frequency of peripheral blood Vγ9Vδ2 T cells was sustained over 3 years, with the average frequency and absolute number of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in the peripheral blood during this period being 25.9% and 192 cells/μL. It is of note that the PSA level of the patient was stable, even after the course of γδ T cell therapy, without any additional treatment such as hormone therapy, salvage radiation therapy, or chemotherapy.

It is also unclear whether or not the administration of exogenous IL-2 is required for the adoptive transfer of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. There are two trials with or without exogenous IL-2 after the administration of ex vivo activated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells to patients with solid tumors [23,24]. CD25 was swiftly expressed in Vγ9Vδ2 T cells after stimulation with antigens. The CD25 expression declined after 10 to 11 days of culture to a baseline level at day 14. To sustain the functions of cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells in vivo, the administration of exogenous IL-2 may be useful as long as Vγ9Vδ2 T cells express CD25.

The administration of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells into a local cavity, not systemically, is another strategy. Wada and coworkers injected ex vivo cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells into the intraperitoneal cavity of patients with malignant ascites following Zol administration [27]. In this system, the exhaustion of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells by Zol might be avoided. Yuasa and coworkers reported that the intravesical administration of in vitro cultured Vγ9Vδ2 T cells prevented the growth of bladder cancer in a murine model [55]. Lamb and coworkers demonstrated that the administration of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells into the enucleated cavity of the brain tumor exhibited potent cytotoxic activity against GBM [56,57].

A combination of adoptive immunotherapy with immune checkpoint blockade is another approach to enhance the anti-tumor activity of immune effector cells, including Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. The recent clinical success of nivolumab, an anti-programmed death-1 (PD-1) mAb, and ipilimumab, an anti-cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4) mAb, may bring about a paradigm shift in the treatment of patients with advanced cancer [58–60]. Phosphoantigen-stimulated Vγ9Vδ2 T cells express PD-1 [61] and blockade of the PD-1 and PD-L1 interaction enhances the cytotoxic activity of Vγ9Vδ2 T cells. Because there are many immune checkpoint molecules besides PD-1 and CTLA-4, such as LAG-3 and TIM-3, further studies are necessary to determine which combination is the most efficacious. A clinical trial of a combination therapy using anti-PD-1, anti-CD137, and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with advanced melanoma is ongoing and the clinical outcomes of combination therapies using peptide plus nivolumab or peptide plus nivolumab and ipilimumab for advanced melanoma have recently been disclosed [62]. Further basic studies and clinical trials will give us additional clues on how to develop and establish successful immunotherapy approaches using Vγ9Vδ2 T cells.
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