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Positivity for cancer stem cell markers, CD44 and CD133, is a useful biomarker for predicting the outcomes of patients with advanced gastric cancer
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Background: The CD44 and CD133 expressions have been identified to be putative cancer stem cell (CSC) markers. Some reports have revealed a correlation between these CSC markers and a poor prognosis in the clinical setting. However, clinical impact of combined use of these markers has not been determined in advanced gastric cancer.

Methods: Specimens were obtained from 73 patients with gastric cancer with invasion beyond the muscularis (T3/4a) who underwent curative gastrectomy. Immunohistochemically, tumors with more than 5% CD44-positive cells or at least one CD133-positive cancer cell were regard as being CSC marker-positive.

Results: Forty patients were CSC marker-positive. There were significant correlations between the CSC marker expression and the extent of lymphatic (p=0.04) and vessel invasion (p<0.001). The CSC marker-positive patients exhibited poor prognoses in both the overall (p=0.006) and disease-free survival analyses (p=0.019). Based on the results of the univariate analysis, the peritoneal CEA value, the extent of lymph node metastasis and CSC marker positivity were analyzed in the multivariate analysis. The results revealed that the extent of lymph node metastasis (p<0.001) and CSC marker positivity (p=0.04) were significant risk factors.

Conclusion: CSC marker positivity is an independent prognostic factor in patients with T3/4a gastric cancer.
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Introduction

Early diagnosis and appropriate treatment strategies, such as endoscopic resection and surgical management, have made gastric cancer a curable disease. However, approximately half of newly diagnosed patients bear more advanced-stage disease [1] and often experience recurrence or metastasis even after undergoing curative surgery. A sustained pursuit for the pathogenesis of gastric cancer has revealed that various factors, including diets high in salt, a low intake of fruits and vegetables and chronic inflammation induced by Helicobacter pylori infection, are associated with carcinogenesis [2] [3] [4]. In addition to these etiologic factors, multiple genetic and epigenetic alterations are implicated in the multistep process of the development and spread of gastric cancer, including lymph node metastasis and peritoneal dissemination [5, 6]. However, even with the advent of molecular biology, the precise mechanisms involved in these processes remain to be elucidated.

Recently, cancer stem cells, defined as cancer cells having the capacity for self-renewal, thereby giving rise to a heterogeneous lineage of cancer cells that eventually constitute a tumor, have been reported to be involved in the carcinogenesis of a variety of malignancies [7]. In this concept, called the cancer stem cell (CSC) theory, CSCs play a crucial role in metastasis. Failure to eliminate CSCs may be attributed...
to recurrence following curative treatment [8]. This theory was first described in relation to hematopoietic malignancy; however, the concept has achieved popularity with respect to the exploration of carcinogenesis in patients with solid tumors, such as those of the breast [9], brain [10], colon [11, 12], prostate [13], head and neck [14] and liver [15]. In this context, CSCs may also be involved in the establishment of secondary metastatic tumors in distant organs due to their tumor-initiating capacity [16]. Many putative cell surface markers (CSC markers) are reported to identify CSC population so far. In gastric cancer, some authors also have revealed a correlation between these CSC markers such as CD44 and CD133 and poor prognoses using immunohistochemistry[17-20].

To improve prognosis of gastric cancer, it is important to select the patients with worse prognosis for candidate of adjuvant treatment. However, it is unknown which CSCs marker could be more effective to select the patients with worse prognosis. In addition, immunohistochemical stain sometimes show false positive. To pick these patients up thoroughly, we performed combined detection of two representative CSC markers, CD44 and CD133, which may be expressed in cancer stem cells in various types of tumors. We considered patients with gastric cancer deeply penetrating the gastric wall (T3/4a) to be good candidates for an analysis to elucidate the significance of the expressions of CSC markers in tumor spread. Hence, we selected patients with T3a/4 gastric cancer for this study. Our results indicate that positivity for CSC markers is a useful biomarker for predicting the outcome of advanced gastric cancer.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Among the 256 patients who underwent gastrectomy at Nagasaki University Hospital between 2002 and 2006, 73 patients who were treated with curative surgery and had pathologically proven T3/4a gastric adenocarcinoma were enrolled in this study.

The demographic data of all patients were recorded, and TNM staging was performed according to the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma issued by the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer [21]. The histological type was classified as differentiated or undifferentiated. Well- and moderately-differentiated tubular or papillary adenocarcinomas were classified as differentiated. The undifferentiated group consisted of poorly-differentiated adenocarcinoma, signet-ring cell carcinoma and mucinous adenocarcinoma.

Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed and paraffin wax-embedded gastric cancer specimens obtained from 73 patients were investigated. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was used for the histological study, and one block with maximal section of tumoral tissue was chosen for immunostaining. The specimens were cut into 4-microm-thick sections, which were dewaxed and stained using the streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method. For antigen retrieval, the slides were heated at 95°C for 20 minutes in a microwave oven for CD44 staining or 125°C for 10 minutes for CD133 staining. The sections were incubated with antibodies at the following dilutions: primary anti-CD44 antibodies (Novacastra; Newcastle, UK) at 1:100 and anti-CD133 antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) at 1:100. The sections were incubated with secondary antibodies for 60 minutes at room temperature. The slides were washed three times with Tris-Buffered saline (TBS), followed by incubation with Envision kit/HRP (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 minutes (CD44) or CSAII (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 15 minutes (CD133). After being washed three times with TBS, each slide was incubated for 5 minutes in 2% 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride 50 mM tris-buffer (pH 7.6) containing 0.3% hydrogen peroxidase as a chromogen, then counterstained with hematoxylin. Tumors with more than 5% membranous stained cancer cells were regarded as being positive for CD44 [17]. Tumors with at least one cell with membranous or cytoplasmic staining per 10 high power fields were designated as being CD133-positive. All sections were evaluated by a pathologist who was unaware of the clinical outcomes of the patients.

Statistical analysis

The correlations between the expressions of CD44 and CD133 and the clinicopathologic factors were analyzed using Student’s t-test and the chi-square test. A survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, and survival differences were analyzed using the log-rank test. A Cox regression model was used to evaluate the associations between the clinical covariates and cancer-specific survival. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated from the Cox proportional hazard models. For the multivariable analysis, all variables that were moderately associated with cancer-specific survival were included (p<0.10). Differences at p=0.05 were considered to be significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statview software program.
Results

The CD44 and CD133 expressions in gastric cancer

The patients in this study included 52 males and 21 females 35 to 90 years of age (median: 70.0 years of age). All patients were followed up for survival, and 63 patients whose data were available were also followed up for recurrence-free survival. Recurrence was defined as initial tumor recurrence, and only deaths from cancer were considered in the analysis of survival in this study.

Forty-six percent of the patients were CD44-positive and 54% were CD44-negative. The CD44 expression was intense primarily on the cell membranes of the cancer tissues, and none of the patients exhibited CD44 staining in the cytoplasm (Fig.1A). In contrast, CD133 staining was observed in either the cytoplasm or apical membrane of the tumor cells. Of the 73 gastric cancer specimens, 23 tumor tissues (31.5%) exhibited positive immunoreactivity for CD133 (Fig.1B). A majority of the CD44-positive cases demonstrated large distribution of positive cells. On the other hand, CD133-positive cells were rare. In both CD44 and CD133 staining, there were no differences in the distribution of positive cells between the tumor surface and more deeply invasive areas.

CD44 and CD133 expressions associated with clinicopathological features

The relationships between CSC marker staining and clinicopathological characteristics were examined (Table 1). There were no significant correlations between the CD44 expression and clinicopathological factors such as tumor histology and lymph node metastasis. The CD133-positive gastric cancer patients exhibited larger tumor sizes and more lymphatic invasion than the CD133-negative patients with marginal statistical significance.

The CSC marker expression and survival

To investigate the clinical impact of the expressions of CSC markers in patients with gastric cancer, we performed combined detection of CD44 and CD133. We classified the 73 patients into CSC marker-positive and -negative groups. The CSC marker-positive group included CD44- and/or CD133-positive patients, for a total of 40 patients. The CSC marker-negative group included patients with both CD44- and CD133-negative staining, consisting of 33 patients. There were 12 patients with both CD44- and CD133-positive staining; however, the distribution of each CD44 and CD133 positive cells was quite different.

There were no correlations between CSC marker positivity and the extent of lymph node metastasis, although we did find a significant correlation between the CSC marker expression and factors such as lymphatic and vessel invasion.

Next, we investigated the correlations between the expressions of CSC markers and the prognoses of the patients. The median follow-up time was 928 days (range, 14 to 3,582 days). Among these patients, the date of recurrence was uncertain in 10 patients who died from cancer. Therefore, recurrence-free survival was investigated in 63 patients.

Table 2 lists the site of first tumor recurrence in the two groups. The most frequent site of recurrence in both groups was the peritoneum, and the pattern of recurrence was similar between the two groups.

Fig.2 shows the Kaplan-Meier curves for survival in the two groups of gastric cancer patients subdivided according to the expressions of the CSC markers. The patients in the CSC marker-positive group exhibited poorer prognoses (log-rank test, p=0.006) than those in the CSC marker-negative group. The recurrence-free survival of the CSC marker-positive group was also significantly worse than that of the CSC marker-negative group (log-rank test, p=0.019) (Fig.3).

In order to identify significant risk factors for cancer-specific survival in the 73 patients with T3/4a gastric cancer...
who underwent curative surgery, a multivariate analysis was performed (Table 3). First, a univariate analysis of the six clinicopathological factors was carried out. Based on the results of the univariate analysis, lymph node metastasis (p<0.001), lymphatic invasion (p=0.09) and CSC marker positivity (p<0.01) were included as covariates in the multivariate regression analysis. The results revealed that second to lymph node metastasis, CSC marker positivity was a statistically significant risk factor for overall survival. The CSC marker expression was found to be a statistically significant prognostic factor in patients with T3/4a gastric cancer.

Table 1. Association of CSCs marker staining with clinicopathological features of gastric cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CD44 expression</th>
<th>CD133 expression</th>
<th>CSC marker expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (n=29)</td>
<td>Negative (n=44)</td>
<td>Positive (n=23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>69.3 +/- 1.88</td>
<td>67.4 +/- 1.65</td>
<td>70.1 +/- 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (mm)</td>
<td>61.7 +/- 4.70</td>
<td>62.9 +/- 5.71</td>
<td>72.8 +/- 7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic invasion</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ly0-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ly2-3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel invasion</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v0-1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v2-3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0-1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Recently, cancer stem cell (CSC) theory was introduced into the field of cancer research. Due to their tumor-initiating capacity, CSCs are involved in the establishment of secondary metastatic tumors in distant organs. With regard to peritoneal dissemination, Nishii et al. reported that CSC markers, such as CD44 and Sox2, are significantly overexpressed in tumor cells constituting the bulk of peritoneal dissemination in animal models [22]. Their results imply the existence of a correlation between stemness and the development of peritoneal dissemination; however, few clinical studies using immunohistochemistry to evaluate the significance of the CSC marker expression have been reported thus far.

In order to investigate the role of CSC markers in gastric cancer metastasis and the possibility to pick patients with worse prognosis up in clinical practice, we performed combined detection of two representative CSC markers in patients with advanced gastric cancer, i.e., tumors invading the subserosa or penetrating the serosa (T3/4a). To minimize bias from the operative procedures, we excluded patients with tumor invasion to adjacent organs because such tumors require combined resection of the involved organs.

Various CSC markers have been reported thus far, includ-
CD44, a transmembrane glycoprotein, is involved in cellular adhesion and motility in response to the microenvironment [23]. Furthermore, CD44 is known to be a determinant of metastatic and invasive behavior in different malignancies, including breast [24] [25] and colorectal [26, 27] cancers. Among patients with gastric cancer, Ghaffazadehgan et al. reported that the CD44 expression is correlated with a poor prognosis, especially in those with intestinal type of gastric cancer [17]. In an animal model, Takaishi et al. reported that only CD44-positive subpopulations of malignant gastric cell lines give rise to xenograft tumors in SCID mice and demonstrated that CD44 positivity suggests the stem cell properties of self-renewal and differentiation [28].

CD133 is another putative CSCs marker. This molecule is a pentaspan transmembrane glycoprotein that has been identified to be a CSC marker in pancreatic [29], ovarian [30], and liver cancers [31]. In addition, many reports have shown...
that the presence of CD133-positive cells is a significant prognostic factor in patients with glioma [32] and colon cancer [33, 34]. In gastric cancer patients, previous reports have demonstrated that CD133 is positively correlated with tumor size, the depth of tumor invasion and the presence of lymph node metastasis [19, 20]. Furthermore, multivariate analyses have revealed that CD133 is an independent prognostic factor [18, 19]. In an animal study, O’Brien et al. reported that CD133-positive cells share the characteristics of CSCs, in which CD133-positive cells are able to initiate tumor growth in a minor quantity of cells when transplanted into mice [11].

Our results revealed a correlation between combined detection of CSC positivity and clinicopathological features, such as lymphatic and vessel invasion. Moreover, the CSC marker expression was, second to lymph node metastasis, found to be a statistically significant prognostic factor in patients with T3/4a gastric cancer. Immunohistochemical studies using resected specimens provide morphological information, such as the amount and distribution of CSC marker-positive cells. Theoretically, CSCs should comprise a small population of cancer cells in specimens; however, our results demonstrated that relatively large areas of the specimens exhibited CSC marker positivity and an equal distribution of positive cells between the tumor surface and more deeply invasive areas.

Moreover, contrary to our expectations, we found a quite different distribution of CD44 and CD133 positive cells even in the same section of the tumor. This implied the possibility that several CSC clones coexist and compete within single primary tumors, as recently indicated in hematopoietic malignancies [35, 36]. An alternative possibility is that CD44 and/or CD133 may not represent the CSC population itself, but rather a downstream population such as progenitor cells. Wang T et al. also investigated these CSCs marker expression in gastric carcinogenesis, as characterized by the Correa pathway [37]. The expression of CD44 was found to encompass intestinal metaplasia to cancer tissue, whereas CD133 was expressed considerably in the latter. Similar results were obtained by Wakamatsu et al., who investigated the expressions of ALDH, CD44 and CD133 in non-neoplastic gastric mucosa and cancer tissue [38].

Our present results demonstrate several important points for clinical practice. First, this method is easy to adapt in hospitals that are not specialized because only one or two specimens of the primary part of the tumor are used for the immunohistochemical analysis. Second, the combined detection of CSC markers is useful for selecting T3/4a gastric cancer patients with worse prognoses, and our results showed that evaluating only two CSC markers is sufficient for such selection.

In conclusion, the expressions of CSC markers can be used to detect gastric cancer patients with poorer prognoses, even those in the advanced stage.
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