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It is generally recognized that radiation exposure takes part in cancer development in the human body. For example, increased risks in cancer mortality/incidence have been well described among atomic bomb survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki.\(^1\) After the accident in the Chernobyl nuclear power plant (CNPP) in 1986, large amounts of radioactive materials were released into the environment, which caused excessive numbers of thyroid cancers among children living in contaminated areas neighboring the CNPP.\(^2,3\) Clear dose-dependent induction of childhood thyroid cancers has proven that radiation exposure is the primary cause of thyroid cancer induction.\(^4–6\) Thus, the Chernobyl childhood thyroid cancers have provided unequalled examples to unveil the molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced carcinogenesis.

After the Tokyo Electric Power Company Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant accident in 2011, people in Fukushima prefecture and across Japan expressed widespread concerns about health effects due to the release of radioactive materials.\(^7,8\) Although the radiation doses to the public were not appreciably high, the worry is about the late effects of radiation, such as cancer induction.\(^9–11\) A part of this anxiety, so-called radiation phobia, is ascribable to numerous uncertainties in our knowledge of the health effects from low-dose radiation exposure. As we have insufficient scientific evidence to depict the effects of low-dose exposure to radiation, current radiation protection policy has adopted the hypothesis called the linear no-threshold (LNT) model. It assumes that even a very low dose of radiation brings about non-zero risk of cancer induction. Although the LNT model has been evaluated for many years, there is still uncertainty about the linear relationship of low-dose exposure, such as to doses below 100 mSv.\(^11\) One of the reasons for this uncertainty is insufficient mechanistic evidence available from epidemiological studies, so that the applicability of the LNT model to low-dose radiation exposure has not been fully evaluated. Moreover, the LNT model has been challenged by recent experimental observations, including non-targeted effects, which cast some doubts on the linearity of the dose–effect relationship, especially in the low-dose range.

An even more complicated issue is the applicability of the LNT model to life-long exposure to low-dose radiation at a low-dose rate. Although the dose and dose-rate effectiveness factor is used in current radiation protection guidelines, the linear concept is based on the assumption that stochastic radiation-induced oncogenic mutations persist in the target stem cells in tissues/organs. However, recent advances in stem cell biology have suggested that the integrity of stem cells is protected by multiple mechanisms, such as efficient DNA repair, stem cell competition, and tissue turnover. Thus, there is an urgent need to reconcile the recent observations that challenge
the persistence of stochastic oncogenic events in tissues and organs.\(^{(12)}\) Moreover, through these findings, we have recognized the immediate need of extensive reconsideration of the theoretical basis of radiation-induced carcinogenesis in order to ascertain whether recent scientific observations sufficiently support the current carcinogenesis model, in which radiation-induced oncogenic mutations are involved in cancer development.

### Childhood Thyroid Cancer after the Chernobyl Accident

After the accident at the CNPP on April 26, 1986, large amounts of radioactive materials were released, which lead to radiation exposure in the residents of affected areas.\(^{(2,3)}\) Particularly, the fallout of radioactive iodine caused notable internal exposures in children through ingestion of contaminated milk and foodstuffs, which resulted in significant numbers of childhood thyroid cancer – one of the main health effects of the accident.\(^{(13,14)}\) Four to 5 years after the accident, excessive cases of childhood thyroid cancers started to be reported. The increases in thyroid cancer were particularly profound among children aged between 0 and 4 years, whereas no such increase was observed in adults. Between 1991 and 2005, 5127 cases of thyroid cancer were reported among children under the age of 14 from 1986 until 1998, whereas less than 1000 cases were diagnosed in individuals exposed at when aged under 18 years.\(^{(5)}\) Amongst children born after 1986, the incidence rate of thyroid cancer significantly declined almost to the background level, indicating that the considerable increase in thyroid cancers in childhood was due to the internal exposure to radioactive iodine.\(^{(6,15–18)}\)

The most prevalent types of thyroid carcinomas are papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTC) and follicular thyroid carcinomas; the former is quite common in children and adults.\(^{(19)}\) Almost all of the childhood thyroid carcinomas, including the earliest cases, were PTCs, in which the risk of cancer-related death is small.\(^{(20,21)}\) While some increase in follicular thyroid carcinomas was observed over time, approximately 95% or more of the cases were PTC in most years. In earlier cases, nearly all PTCs were of the solid subtype, which was the unique characteristic observed after the Chernobyl accident.\(^{(21)}\) Subsequently, the proportion shifted to the classic subtype, which is less aggressive and metastatic, and is common subtype in sporadic childhood PTC.\(^{(19–21)}\)

Iodine deficiency is a critical factor affecting the incidence of childhood thyroid cancer, as it promotes the intake of radioactive iodine and increases the size of the thyroid gland, and internal exposure to radiation from \(^{131}\)I causes DNA double-strand breaks, resulting in stochastic radiation signatures associated with malignant conversion of thyroid follicular cells.

### Oncogenic Rearrangements in Childhood Thyroid Cancer after the Chernobyl Accident

After the Chernobyl accident, the highest risk for radiation-induced thyroid cancer was observed among children exposed at the age of 0–4 years. Early childhood thyroid cancer cases showed significantly higher prevalence of rearrangements between the rearranged during transfection (RET) gene and the \(PTC3\) gene (\(RET/PTC3\) rearrangement).\(^{(25–27)}\) The \(RET/PTC1\) as well as \(RET/PTC2\) rearrangements were also reported.\(^{(27)}\) It has been established that \(RET/PTC1\) rearrangement is the most prevailing genetic alteration in childhood PTCs after the Chernobyl accident.\(^{(19,20,28,29)}\)

Fusions of the \(RET\) proto-oncogene with several partner genes, which have been collectively designated the PTC genes, have been described (Table 1).\(^{(30)}\) The \(RET\) gene encodes a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase. The binding of the ligands stimulates receptor dimerization, the critical step for activation of tyrosine kinase activity.\(^{(31,32)}\) The fusion partner genes are commonly expressed in thyroid follicular cells and possess coiled-coil domains that enable homodimerization of the fusion \(RET/PTC\) proteins (Fig. 1). As a result, \(RET/PTC\) proteins constitutively activate the MAPK pathway without any ligand binding (Fig. 2).\(^{(28,33–36)}\)

Other types of rearrangements identified in childhood thyroid cancer related to the Chernobyl accident include juxtaposition of the A kinase anchor protein 9 (\(AKAP9\)) gene and \(v\)-\(raf\) viral oncogene homolog B1 (\(BRAF\)), designated \(AKAP9-BRAF\).\(^{(37,38)}\) Rearrangement between translocated protein region \((TPR)\) and the neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 1 \((NTRK1)\) gene \((TPR-NTRK1)\),\(^{(38)}\) rearrangement between the \(ETS\) variant 6 \((ETV6)\) gene and the \(NTRK3\) gene \((ETV6-NTRK3)\),\(^{(38,39)}\) rearrangement between the acylglycerol kinase \((AGK)\) gene and the \(BRAF\) gene \((AGK-BRAF)\),\(^{(38)}\) rearrangement between the cAMP-responsive element binding protein \(3\)-like 2 \((CREB3L2)\) gene and the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\) \((PPAR\gamma)\) gene \((CREB3L2-PPAR\gamma)\),\(^{(38)}\) and rearrangement between the paired box \(8\) \((PAX8)\) gene and the \(PPAR\gamma\) gene \((PAX8-PPAR\gamma)\) (Table 1).\(^{(38,40)}\)

The \(RET/PTC1\) and \(RET/PTC3\) rearrangements are created through the paracentric (intrachromosomal) inversion within chromosome 10, where the \(RET\), \(CCDC6\), and \(NCOA4\) genes are assigned (Fig. 1).\(^{(34–36)}\) Other \(RET/PTC\) rearrangements arise from interchromosomal translocations. Theoretically, at least two independent DNA double-strand breaks are necessary to produce a rearrangement. Therefore, these observations have logically brought about the hypothesis that radiation exposure from internal \(^{131}\)I causes DNA double-strand breaks, resulting in oncogenic genome rearrangements after illegitimate recombination.\(^{(28)}\) Notable association between radiation...
exposure and the induction of oncogenic rearrangement was demonstrated in experimental studies, in which radiation-induced RET/PTC rearrangements were confirmed in X-irradiated primary thyroid tissues transplanted into SCID mice.(41) However, one should be cautious about the conclusion, because the experiments used high-dose radiation exposure over 50 Gy. More recently, the generation of RET/PTC rearrangements have been identified in thyroid epithelial cells receiving much lower doses, although the frequency was quite low and dose-dependent induction was not clear.(42)

Although in vitro experiments seem to substantiate the hypothesis, in vivo studies have drawn a different picture. After the earlier studies, several independent groups have evaluated the prevalence of RET/PTC rearrangements in childhood thyroid cancer after the Chernobyl accident and compared the results with the frequency of RET/PTC rearrangements in sporadic childhood PTCs. The compiled data indicated that RET/PTC rearrangements were detectable to a comparable extent in both childhood thyroid cancers after the Chernobyl accident and sporadic childhood thyroid cancers.(43–45)

Extensive studies showed that the frequency of thyroid cancer with RET/PTC rearrangements decreases with age in sporadic cases, whereas those with the BRAF mutation becomes greater.(19) It is well established that these two genetic changes are mutually exclusive. Individuals born before the accident are now aged 28 years or older, and a recent report has suggested that the frequency of thyroid cancer harboring the BRAF mutation has tended to grow in the affected group, while RET/PTC rearrangements are still detectable.(46) This is another epidemiological observation indicating that molecular changes in thyroid cancer after the Chernobyl accident mirror those occurring spontaneously.

### Table 1. Oncogenic rearrangements in childhood thyroid cancers related to the Chernobyl accident

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oncogenes Rearrangement partners</th>
<th>Chromosome locations</th>
<th>Type of rearrangements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RET rearrangements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC1</td>
<td>CCDC6 (also H4)</td>
<td>10q11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC2</td>
<td>PRKAR1A</td>
<td>17q24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC3</td>
<td>NCOA4 (also E1e1)</td>
<td>10q11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC4</td>
<td>NCOA4 (also E1e1)</td>
<td>10q11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC5</td>
<td>GOLGA5 (also RFG5)</td>
<td>14q32.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC6</td>
<td>TRIM24</td>
<td>7q32-q34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC7</td>
<td>TRIM33 (also RFG7)</td>
<td>1p13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC8</td>
<td>KTN1</td>
<td>14q22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET/PTC9</td>
<td>RFG9 (also MBD1)</td>
<td>18q21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF rearrangements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td></td>
<td>7q34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKAP9/BRAF</td>
<td>AKAP9</td>
<td>7q21-q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGK/BRAF</td>
<td>AGK</td>
<td>7q34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK rearrangements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1q21-q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK3</td>
<td></td>
<td>15q25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR/INTRK1</td>
<td>TPR</td>
<td>1q25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETVI/INTRK3</td>
<td>ETVI</td>
<td>12p13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ rearrangements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ</td>
<td></td>
<td>3q25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAX8/PPARγ</td>
<td>PAX8</td>
<td>2q13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB3L2/PPARγ</td>
<td>CREB3L2</td>
<td>7q34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of RET/PTC1 rearrangements. A paracentric inversion of chromosome 10 gives rise to a fusion gene between the tyrosine kinase domain of the RET gene and the amino terminal region of the CCDC6 gene. The fusion protein is constitutively activated through the dimer formation mediated by the coiled-coil domain of the CCDC6 protein.

Fig. 2. Activation of the MAPK pathway in thyroid cancer. Most of the rearrangements identified in post-Chernobyl childhood thyroid cancers impair the physiological function of receptor tyrosine kinase activity, which results in constitutive activation of the MAPK pathway.
Thus, accumulating in vivo observations suggest that RET/PTC rearrangements observed in childhood thyroid cancer after the Chernobyl accident might not be the result of internal exposure to radiation from $^{131}$I, but rather radiation exposure might play a non-targeted role in providing a tissue microenvironment, which eventually selects thyroid follicular cells with spontaneous RET/PTC rearrangement.

Copy Number Alteration as a Radiation Signature in Childhood Thyroid Cancer after the Chernobyl Accident

Radiation exposure is an efficient inducer of DNA double-strand breaks, therefore it is highly expected to cause gains or losses of DNA; however, this notion was challenged by array comparative genomic hybridization analysis. A variety of copy number alterations (CNAs) have been identified in childhood thyroid cancers after the Chernobyl accident, mostly gains of DNA, and these were compared with CNAs in sporadic cases, in which losses were more frequent than gains. Consequently, it turned out to be clear that most studies have failed to demonstrate specific CNAs associated with radiation exposure, while one study, using an age- and ethnicity-matched cohort, described a unique gain of chromosome 7q11, which was absent in all unexposed cases. A few gains are assigned to this chromosome band, although the overexpression of such gene products seems not to be the driver in childhood thyroid cancers. Thus, some copy number signatures might be associated with radiation-induced childhood thyroid cancers, however, their involvement in childhood thyroid carcinogenesis remains to be determined.

Gene Expression Signature

Previous studies have shown the differences in gene expression profiles between PTCs and normal thyroid tissues. The strategy has been used to identify gene expression signatures that distinguish radiation-induced childhood thyroid cancers from sporadic cases. Several studies have been carried out and some of them reported gene expression changes unique to radiation-induced childhood PTCs, whereas others have failed to identify the signatures. Importantly, the identified genes were very different between the studies, with few recurrent genes. More recently, gene expression profiles were compared in normal contralateral thyroid tissues obtained from exposed and unexposed children after the Chernobyl accident. The study identified a gene expression signature, whose gene products are related to overall cell proliferation.

It should be taken into account that gene expression profiles could be affected by possible confounding factors such as age, ethnicity, and pathological features of the tumors, and these might have caused large discrepancies between the studies. At present, it seems unlikely that common gene expression signatures could be associated with radiation-induced childhood thyroid cancers. As suggested by previous reports, the signatures might be dispensable for childhood thyroid carcinogenesis but rather they might reflect the results of radiation exposure.

Radiation Signatures and Possible Mechanisms of Radiation Carcinogenesis

It is generally accepted that cancer has arisen as a result of accumulation of oncogenic mutations. Mathematical considerations show that cancers, especially the solid cancers, show age-depended increases in incidence roughly by the fifth power of age. This could be the most appropriate explanation why adulthood cancers make an appearance late in life. In clear contrast to adulthood cancers, childhood cancers are unique in their relatively short latency, suggesting that much fewer mutations are required. Some studies have indicated that mutations are acquired during fetal development, but the principle of the difference in the number of mutations required for adulthood and childhood cancers remains to be determined.

Considering that childhood thyroid cancers started to manifest 4–5 years after the Chernobyl accident, it would be plausible to hypothesize that RET/PTC rearrangements were not directly caused by radiation exposure but might have already existed in the thyroid tissue. As discussed above, there has been supporting evidence that the frequency of RET/PTC rearrangements was not different between childhood thyroid cancers after the Chernobyl accident and sporadic cases. If radiation exposure is the direct inducer of RET/PTC rearrangements, the frequency should be significantly higher in radiation-related cases.

What, then, would be the role of radiation in thyroid carcinogenesis? One clue must be the inter-individual variations in response to radiation exposure after the Chernobyl accident, which could disclose the factors associated with the process of radiation-induced carcinogenesis. However, studies available so far have not identified genetic determinants that modify individual predisposition to radiation-induced childhood thyroid cancer. In particular, genome-wide association studies using adult sporadic thyroid cancers and Belarusian cases aged 0–18 years at the time of the accident pointed out that a common single nucleotide polymorphism, marker rs965513, located in the FOXE1 vicinity at chromosome 9q22.33 showed a strong correlation with both sporadic and radiation-related thyroid cancer. As FOXE1, also known as TTF-2, is a protein involved in the differentiation of thyroid gland, genetic predisposition to radiation-related thyroid cancer does not offer any signs for specific oncogenic alterations but suggests that...
anomalous tissue development could be targeted by radiation exposure. Possible involvement of tissue disturbance in thyroid carcinogenesis has been discussed in the observations, in which chronic autoimmune thyroiditis, such as Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, is sometimes accompanied by cancer.\(^{(72)}\) Although the link is still debated, it seems likely that PTCs may develop if the cells with oncogenic mutations preexisted in the region with Hashimoto’s thyroiditis. It should be noted that proliferative response was observed in Hashimoto’s thyroiditis,\(^{(73)}\) therefore, the disturbance of tissue homeostasis by chronic inflammation could create a condition for the cells harboring spontaneous RET/PTC rearrangement to undergo cell proliferation.\(^{(74)}\)

In fact, some adverse effects of the Chernobyl accident on thyroid function have been reported in several studies, although the results are not always consistent due to the limited sample sizes and a lack of individual dose estimations. Earlier studies have shown the increased prevalence of thyroid autoimmune disorders among children exposed to the Chernobyl radioactive fallout 6–8 years after the accident, which was no more evident 12–14 years after the accident.\(^{(75,76)}\) More recent studies have indicated that subclinical hypothyroidism still persisted among the individuals who were younger than 18 years of age on the day of the accident.\(^{(77)}\) These observations imply that internal exposure to radioactive iodine may result in not a detrimental but notable disturbance in the thyroid gland of the affected children.

Recently, it has been recognized that ionizing radiation induces senescence-like cell death in thyroid follicular cells.\(^{(78)}\) Moreover, senescence-like cell death promotes secretion of inflammatory cytokines,\(^{(79)}\) so that it is tempting to speculate that radiation-induced tissue disruption could result in inflammatory circumstances that promote the initial stage of thyroid carcinogenesis (Fig. 3). Thus, taking all of this information into consideration, it is plausible to propose that a role of radiation in childhood thyroid cancers after the Chernobyl accident could be an introduction of tissue disturbance by inducing thyroid follicular cell death as well as introducing the secretory phenotype of dead cells (Fig. 3).

One should be cautious about this scenario, because many of the above speculations have to be experimentally proven. Also, the idea suggests that the stochastic induction of oncogenic mutations by radiation might not be the primary role of radiation exposure in childhood cancer development, rather, determinist cell death could be involved. The risk of thyroid cancer incidence was estimated to increase linearly with radiation dose; however, these findings may cast doubt on the use of the LNT model, on which current risk estimation relies, especially at low doses. Thus, with further scientific investigations, we should reconsider the scientific significance of the LNT model especially for low-dose and low-dose-rate exposure. As such a condition currently exists in Fukushima prefecture, thorough studies will undoubtedly provide invaluable insights into this complication.

Conclusions

Internal exposure to radioactive iodine caused childhood papillary thyroid cancer after the Chernobyl accident. Molecular analyses have shown that RET/PTC rearrangements are the most prevailing oncogenic alteration in both radiation-induced and sporadic childhood thyroid cancer. Thyroid follicular cells might display selective growth, if the cells harbor spontaneous oncogenic rearrangements and if the tissue and tissue microenvironment are perturbed by cell death caused by ionizing radiation. The hypothetical model may cast some doubt on the current model of stochastic radiation carcinogenesis. Future studies will define the non-targeted role of radiation exposure, which should improve our understanding of multistep carcinogenesis induced by radiation exposure.
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