Reevaluation for clinical manifestations of HTLV-I-seropositive patients with Sjögren’s syndrome
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Abstract

Background: The aim of the study was to reassess the prevalence and characteristics of human T lymphotropic virus type I (HTLV-I)-associated Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) and SS in HTLV-I-associated myelopathy (HAM) based on the American European Consensus Group (AECG) criteria in HTLV-I endemic area, Nagasaki prefecture.

Methods: The 349 patients who underwent a minor salivary gland biopsy (MSGB) for suspected SS were retrospectively classified by AECG classification criteria and divided with or without anti-HTLV-I antibody.

Results: The HTLV-I data-available 294 patients were investigated. One hundred-seventy patients were classified as SS and 26.5% were HTLV-I-seropositive. We have included 26 patients with HTLV-I-associated myelopathy (HAM) and 38.5% were classified as having SS. The prevalences of ANA and anti-SS-A/Ro antibody of HAM + SS were significantly low compared to the HTLV-I asymptomatic carriers (AC) with SS and the HTLV-I-seronegative SS patients, although lacrimal dysfunction tended to be high in HAM + SS and significantly high in AC + SS patients compared with the patients with HTLV-I-seronegative SS. The focus scores of MSGB in the HAM + SS patients were similar to those of the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. Among the MSGB-positive patients, there was a low prevalence of ANA in the HAM + SS patients. Similar results were obtained in case of anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody.

Conclusion: In HTLV-I endemic area, high prevalence of anti-HTLV-I antibody among SS as well as the characteristics of HAM + SS and AC + SS was still determined by AECG classification criteria.

Keywords: Sjögren’s syndrome, HTLV-I, AECG criteria, Minor salivary gland biopsy

Background

Sjögren’s syndrome, HTLV-I, AECG criteria, Minor salivary gland biopsy

© 2015 Nakamura et al. Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

* Correspondence: nakamura_hideki911@yahoo.co.jp
1 Unit of Translational Medicine, Department of Immunology and Rheumatology, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, 1-7-1 Sakamoto, Nagasaki City, Nagasaki 852-8501, Japan
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article
classification of a patient as having primary SS. In addition, the newly proposed American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria [14] provide a simpler diagnostic method because a minimum of two out of three items is sufficient for the diagnosis of SS.

In our previous studies [10, 11], the exocrine dysfunction of the patients with SS was similar regardless of HTLV-I infection. However, the prevalence of anti-nuclear antibody (ANA) and anti-SS-A/Ro, SS-B/La antibodies of HAM patients with SS was lower than that of asymptomatic HTLV-I carriers (ACs) with SS and HTLV-I-seronegative SS when the preliminary criteria were used. We therefore reevaluated the suspected SS patients by using the AECG classification criteria. Although this historical cohort study was conducted retrospectively with cases from a single center in an HTLV-I endemic area, i.e., Nagasaki prefecture in Japan, our findings can provide a current perspective with regard to the clinical characteristics of HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS.

Methods

Patients

We enrolled 349 patients who underwent a minor salivary gland biopsy (MSGB) for suspected SS between 1995 and 2015 at Nagasaki University Hospital. The availability of data regarding ocular signs including Rose-Bengal staining and fluorescein testing results was too low to use the ACR classification criteria [13] released in 2012, and therefore we used the AECG classification criteria [12]. The classifications of pSS and sSS were accomplished in accord with the revised rule in the AECG criteria, respectively.

In accord with the AECG exclusion criteria, we excluded all cases that involved radiation treatment, evident hepatitis induced by hepatitis type C, acquired immunodeficiency disease, lymphoma, sarcoidosis, graft versus host disease, or the use of anticholinergic drugs. Among the 349 patients, 294 patients had available data for anti-HTLV-I antibody (Fig. 1). Among these 294 patients, the cases of 45 patients were complicated with other connective tissue diseases including 21 rheumatoid arthritis, 6 lupus erythematosus, 4 systemic sclerosis, 4 Graves’ disease, 2 multiple sclerosis, 2 mixed connective tissue disease, 1 polymyositis, 1 aortitis syndrome and 1 polyarteritis nodosa.

The study was conducted in accordance with the human experimental guidelines of our institution and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Nagasaki University. Regarding the handling of patient data that were obtained before the acquisition of written informed consent, we present a brief overview of our study on a Nagasaki University Hospital website in accord with clinical study guidelines issued by our hospital. These records of patients before acquisition of written informed consent were anonymized prior to analysis. The division of enrolled patients according to the AECG classification criteria is summarized in Fig. 1. The diagnosis of HAM was determined by the criteria published by Osame et al. [15].

Serological tests and exocrine dysfunction

Detailed explanations of the serological tests and exocrine dysfunction evaluation are described in our previous papers [10, 14]. Briefly, antibodies to SS-A/Ro and SS-B/La antigens were each measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Mesacup SS-A/Ro test, normal range: 10–30 and Mesacup SS-B/La test, normal range: 15–25; MBL, Nagoya, Japan). With respect to screening for anti-HTLV-I antibodies, we performed an ELISA or particle agglutination assay (Serodia-ATL kit, Eisai, Tokyo). As of 1996, a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (normal range: 1.0 cutoff index; Fujirebio, Tokyo) was used.

For the confirmation of HTLV-I infection among the HTLV-I-seropositive patients, an immunoblotting kit (Problot-HTLV-I, Fujirebio) that included HTLV-I antigens such as three gag proteins and 1 env protein was used. To measure the patients’ tears and saliva secretion, Schirmer’s test and the Saxon test were performed. In the AECG classification criteria, unstimulated saliva is used. Instead of the unstimulated saliva volume, we used the Saxon test. Results from the sialography were assessed by using the classification determined by Rubin and Holt [16].

Minor labial salivary gland biopsy and sialography

Each MSGB biopsy was performed to obtain labial salivary glands under a local anesthetic. The grading
of MNC infiltration in the salivary glands was defined by Chisholm and Mason [17]. The appearance of at least one focus of MNCs in 4-mm² sections was defined as grade 3. The focus score (FS) was determined as described by Greenspan et al. [18]. Sialography was performed by the insertion of a catheter into Stensen’s duct [19]. After that, a contrast fluid was slowly injected into the Stensen’s duct, followed by image detection.

**Statistical analysis**

For the comparison of background information and clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients, Welch’s t-test or Fisher’s exact probability test was used. Fisher’s exact probability test was also used for the comparison of objective items in the AECG classification criteria according to MSGB grading. For the statistical analysis for the dot plot of FS values, Mann-Whitney’s U-test was used. P-values <0.05 were accepted as significant. Interquartile ranges (IQRs) were also calculated for the FS dot plot. These analyses were exploratory in nature, and no adjustment for multiple testing was applied.

**Results**

**Prevalence of SS in HTLV-I-seropositive subjects according to the AECG classification criteria**

We divided the 294 enrolled patients whose anti-HTLV-I antibody data were available into the 78 HTLV-I-seropositive and 216 HTLV-I-seronegative patients. The patients were also separated into SS and non-SS patients according to the AECG classification criteria. Forty-five HTLV-I-seropositive and 125 HTLV-I-seronegative patients were confirmed to have SS, and thus 26.5 % of the 170 patients with SS were HTLV-I-seropositive. In addition, among the 26 patients with HAM, 10 patients (38.5 %) were classified as having SS.

**Background elements according to the AECG classification criteria for HTLV-I-seropositive and -seronegative patients with SS**

We divided the enrolled SS patients into three groups: HAM patients with SS, asymptomatic HTLV-I carriers (AC) with SS, and HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS (Table 1). The prevalence of ANA as well as anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody among the HAM + SS patients was lower than those among the AC + SS patients or the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. However, the prevalence of these antibodies was not significantly different between the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. Contrarily, the prevalence of ocular signs among the HAM + SS patients was significantly higher than that among the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. A similar tendency was also found in the comparison with the AC + SS patients. The prevalence of the ANA centromere pattern was significantly lower among the AC + SS patients.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HAM + SS (n = 10)</th>
<th>AC + SS (n = 35)</th>
<th>SS negative for anti-HTLV-I Ab (n = 125)</th>
<th>p-value (A) vs. (B)</th>
<th>p-value (A) vs. (C)</th>
<th>p-value (B) vs. (C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs mean ± SD)</td>
<td>64.0 ± 9.8</td>
<td>61.8 ± 12.4</td>
<td>58.1 ± 13.0</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>4/31</td>
<td>4/12</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry eye (%)</td>
<td>8/10 (80.0)</td>
<td>23/33 (69.7)</td>
<td>79/120 (65.8)</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth (%)</td>
<td>7/10 (70.0)</td>
<td>29/33 (87.9)</td>
<td>101/123 (82.1)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGB grade 3 (%)</td>
<td>6/10 (60.0)</td>
<td>14/35 (40.0)</td>
<td>44/125 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGB grade 4 (%)</td>
<td>4/10 (40.0)</td>
<td>19/35 (54.3)</td>
<td>69/125 (55.2)</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-nuclear antibody (%)</td>
<td>4/10 (40.0)</td>
<td>29/35 (82.9)</td>
<td>110/125 (88.0)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centromere pattern in positive ANA (%)</td>
<td>0/4 (0.0)</td>
<td>2/29 (6.9)</td>
<td>28/110 (25.4)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody (%)</td>
<td>3/10 (30.0)</td>
<td>23/35 (65.7)</td>
<td>88/125 (70.4)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid factor (%)</td>
<td>3/5 (60.0)</td>
<td>12/24 (50.0)</td>
<td>48/90 (53.3)</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgG (mg/dL; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>2349.2 ± 1015.5</td>
<td>2164.5 ± 1056.2</td>
<td>1922.3 ± 751.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer’s test or Rose-Bengal test/Fluorescein (%)</td>
<td>9/10 (90.0)</td>
<td>28/31 (90.3)</td>
<td>69/115 (60.0)</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxon test or sialography (%)</td>
<td>8/10 (80.0)</td>
<td>26/30 (86.7)</td>
<td>101/116 (87.1)</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from the minor labial salivary gland biopsy were determined as described by Chisholm & Mason [17]. The presence of at least one focus consisting of mononuclear cell aggregation was determined as grade 3. The p-values were calculated by Welch’s t-test or Fisher’s exact probability test. MSGB: minor salivary gland biopsy
different between the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS.

Differences in the focus scores of MSGB specimens among the HTLV-I-seropositive and -seronegative patients with SS

The degree of MNC infiltration determined by MSGB is illustrated in Fig. 2 by the plot of FS values of the three patient groups. The FS values of the HAM + SS patients (median [IQR] = 1.0 [1.0–3.5]) were not significantly different from those of the AC + SS patients (median [IQR] = 1.0 [1.0–3.0]) or the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS (median [IQR] = 2.0 [1.0–3.0]).

The prevalence of the objective items in the MSGB-positive patients with SS

Lastly, we compared the prevalence of four objective items in the cases that were MSGB-positive in the AECG classification criteria among the three groups with patients with SS (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference among the Saxon test and Schirmer’s test results among the groups.

The prevalence of ANA among the HAM + SS patients was significantly lower than those of the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-seronegative patients with SS ($p < 0.001$). In addition, the prevalence of anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody among the HAM + SS patients was significantly lower than that of the HTLV-seronegative patients with SS ($p = 0.013$), and there was a tendency for this tendency to be lower compared with the AC + SS patients ($p = 0.061$). The prevalences were determined by Fisher’s exact probability test.

Classification of SS in the patients with HAM according to the AECG criteria and the preliminary classification criteria

With regard to the 10 HAM patients who were classified as having SS, their profiles based on the AECG classification criteria are shown in Table 2. All 10 patients also fulfilled the preliminary classification criteria. With respect to the AECG classification criteria, seven patients fulfilled four items, two patients fulfilled five items, and one patient fulfilled six items. As shown in Table 1, the positive rate of anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody was 3 of these 10 patients (30.0%).

Discussion

After Green et al. [20] demonstrated in 1989 that HTLV-I tax transgenic mice showed SS-like sialadenitis, the association between HTLV-I and SS was studied. Epidemiological studies by Nagasaki [6, 21] showed distinct evidence with regard to the involvement of HTLV-I in SS as one of the environmental factors. In our studies of complications of SS in HAM patients [10, 14], we used the preliminary criteria [11] released in 1993. Although in the preliminary criteria four of the six items are required to classify SS, there was no limitation regarding which four items.

The clinical and pathological manifestations of the HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS according to the AECG classification criteria are presented herein. In Fig. 1, the prevalence of HTLV-I among the confirmed SS patients was 45 of 170 (26.4%). Since it is known that approx. 1% of the entire population of Japan has anti-HTLV-I antibody [22, 23], the 26.5% seropositivity in the present study’s SS patients is thought to be higher than that of the Japanese general population. In this regard, we should emphasize that the enrolled patients in this study are considerably different from the general population. We should also note that the enrolled subjects were suspected of having SS and that the classification of the enrolled subjects was based on salivary gland biopsies, indicating that this biased classification influences the interpretation of the present data.

The prevalence of ANA among the HAM + SS patients was significantly lower than those of the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-seronegative patients with SS ($p < 0.001$). In addition, the prevalence of anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody among the HAM + SS patients was significantly lower than that of the HTLV-seronegative patients with SS ($p = 0.013$), and there was a tendency for this tendency to be lower compared with the AC + SS patients ($p = 0.061$). The prevalences were determined by Fisher’s exact probability test.
criteria was significantly low in the present population’s HAM + SS patients compared to the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS, which is in accord with the results [10, 14] determined by the preliminary classification criteria. Contrarily, the prevalence of ocular signs including Schirmer’s test or staining tests in the HAM + SS patients was higher than that in the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. These results suggest that HAM patients with SS have a high prevalence of ocular inflammation and a low prevalence of serological abnormalities.

With regard to the prevalence of SS among patients with HAM, our 2000 study revealed that the cases of 13 of 20 patients with HAM (65 %) were complicated with SS according to the preliminary classification criteria [14]. There is a tendency for a difference (p = 0.068 determined by Fisher’s exact probability test) between the 65 % we reported in 2000 and the 38.5 % we observed in the present 2015 study (i.e., among the 26 patients with HAM, 10 patients [38.5 %] were classified as having SS); however, this difference is not significant even though there is a 15-year difference in the dates of the two studies and differences in the classification approach.

The degree of cell infiltration into minor salivary glands (MSGs) determined by the FS established by Greenspan et al. [18] was equally high in the present HAM + SS patients compared to that in the AC + SS patients and the HTLV-I-seronegative patients with SS. As far as the positive MSGB cases were concerned, a low prevalence of ANA and a low prevalence of anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B/La antibody were observed in the HAM + SS patients. Although the pathogenesis of SS found in patients with HAM remains unclear, both the preliminary and AECG classification criteria demonstrated similar clinical and serological findings. In our previous study [24], the prevalence of ectopic germinal center (GC) formation along with CXC chemokine ligand-13 (CXCL13) was low in HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS, especially in HAM patients with SS, indicating that the low prevalence of serology in the present study might be...
explained by a low prevalence of GCs and CXCL13, because CXCL13 in GCs is an important factor to attract B cells [25, 26] that differentiate into plasma cells and produce autoantibodies such as anti-SS-A/Ro antibody.

Taken together, the past and present findings show that the AECG classification criteria resulted in clinical and pathological features that were similar to those obtained by using the preliminary classification criteria for HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS. Even though the criteria were revised, our results showed that the HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS (including HAM patients with SS) had an unwavering tendency with respect to clinicopathological findings.

Conclusions
Because we have only fragmentary findings [24, 27] with regard to the pathogenesis of HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS, a molecular biological approach is necessary to verify the findings obtained in this retrospective study. As a future evaluation, a prospective cohort study including an SS disease activity index such as the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) SS disease activity index (ESSDAI) or the EULAR SS patient-reported index (ESSPRI) [28, 29] is required to specifically determine whether HTLV-I is a risk factor of SS and to establish the accurate disease activity of HTLV-I-seropositive patients with SS. As an animal model shows SS-like sialadenitis [20], HTLV-I may be implicated in the pathogenesis of SS. The exclusion of HTLV-I infection from the classification criteria might be considered in the future because human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis type C infection are also excluded from the AECG and ACR classification criteria.
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Table 2 The 10 HAM patients with Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) according to the AECG classification criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of positive items in AECG criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xeropthalmia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xerostomia</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer’s test or staining test</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saxon test or sialography</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGB grade</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-SS-A/Ro or SS-B antibody</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The classification of SS was performed using both the AECG and preliminary classification criteria. With respect to the concordance number for the AECG classification criteria, positive numbers are shown. The shaded region represents the positive items according to the AECG classification criteria. D: Definitive diagnosis of SS that was determined in the preliminary criteria.
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