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INTRODUCTION

The scientific understanding about the relationship between radiation exposure dose and health risk is needed to apply any countermeasure against radiological and nuclear accidents. According to the accumulated data from the survivors of the Atomic bomb analyzed by the Radiation Effects Research Foundation, risks of leukemia and solid cancers have been proved in a dose-dependent manner with a certain latency period1,2. Radiation-associated human cancer may arise not only after external exposure but also due to internal exposure to radioactive iodine which can increase risk of thyroid cancer as epidemiologically clarified just after the Chernobyl accident, and both factors become especially important to understand the health effects3,4. Low dose radiation exposure and the risk of thyroid cancer should be carefully evaluated learning from the recent progress in radiation biology and oncology4.

After the 2011 Fukushima accident, there was widespread environmental contamination with radioactive nuclides. This accident again highlighted issues regarding countermeasures, including iodine thyroid blocking, since suitable medication with stable iodine is effective for reduction and prevention of the internal exposure to radioactive iodine immediately before or after an accident5, and the safety control of food restricting consumption of contaminated milk and other products need to be put into practice after that. Although the side effects and effectiveness of iodine tablet dosage needs to be verified5, appropriateness of actual implementation of iodine thyroid blocking in Fukushima should be further evaluated. The outline on the nuclear accident in Fukushima and thyroid cancer risk will be summarized, assuming the possibility of the initial exposure to radioactive iodine, and drawing lessons from the Chernobyl nuclear power plant accident, referring our previous review article7.

LESSONS LEARNED FROM CHERNOBYL

Chernobyl was of outstanding importance for the issues arising after the Fukushima nuclear power plant accident. We, therefore, at first summarize these lessons before focusing on exposures after Fukushima.
and the situation regarding thyroid ultrasound examination. Large-scale release of radioactive materials continued until May 6, 1986 after the Chernobyl nuclear power plant accident in the early morning of April 26, 1986. The main radioactive materials emitted into the environment were iodine-131, cesium-134, 137, niobium-95, cerium-144, ruthenium-103, 106, strontium-90, and plutonium-239 and 240, which reached a total amount of 14 exabecquerel ($10^{18}$) and other radioactive materials were widely diffused in the Northern Hemisphere around Europe. In particular, the critical problems for the local residents has been that iodine-131 was found in milk from cows that had been feeding on contaminated grass from areas close to Chernobyl. Due to an initial lack of knowledge of the necessity of restriction of contaminated milk and then inappropriate distribution and insufficient restriction of the contaminated milk consumption by the government officials, people continued to ingest iodine-131, particularly children of Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine of the former USSR at the time of the accident. Iodine has the property to be selectively taken in by the thyroid gland, which also applies to iodine-131. In addition, Chernobyl is an inland area and an area that lacks iodine, which became the contributive factor exacerbating internal exposure of the thyroids of children who ingested iodine-131 contaminated milk. These children were exposed to an estimated dose of tens to thousand millisievert of thyroidal radiation. As a result, infant thyroid cancer increased rapidly in people who were children (especially 0–5 years old) at the time of the accident. A case-control study has demonstrated a positive relationship between childhood thyroid cancer occurrence and thyroidal iodine-131 internal exposure dose. Although there was a clear increase in childhood thyroid cancer, it was not easy to scientifically demonstrate dose response relationship in a case-control study. However, there are model-based assumptions using linear non-threshold (LNT) model about significant risk elevation at doses of 50–200 mSv, or above. Aside of the difficult challenge of thyroid dose re-evaluation, the comparative study in children who were born before and after the Chernobyl accident also supports the etiological role of short half-lived radioactive iodine on childhood thyroid cancer despite no direct measurement of thyroid exposure dose. The number of thyroid cancers continues to increase even 25 years after the accident, and has amounted to about 6,000 people. The peak of the infant thyroid cancer observed from 1991 to 1996; at present all exposed patients are adults. Although detailed molecular mechanism of thyroid carcinogenesis is being examined, the clear radiation-associated signature genes have not been proven. Inherited susceptibility has been also examined in a genome-wide association study of Chernobyl thyroid cancer, which did not reveal genetic variants specifically associating with thyroid cancer arising after radiation exposure as compared to those underlying predisposition to sporadic thyroid cancer in the European population.

**RADIATION DOSE ESTIMATION AFTER THE FUKUSHIMA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT ACCIDENT**

All the nuclear reactors of the 1st and 2nd TEPCO-Nuclear Power Plants in Fukushima stopped automatically after the Great East Japan Earthquake on March 11, 2011. However, all the power supplies of reactor No.1–4 for cooling were lost due to the earthquake and tsunami, hydrogen explosion happened in succession and as a result, a lot of radioactive materials were emitted to the outside environment, and spread through the wind. According to the environmental measurement data in Fukushima, radioactive material dispersed through the wind after the hydrogen explosion of the nuclear power plant, and contaminated the surface. The main radioactive nuclide emitted from the nuclear power plant was iodine-131 with short physical half-life of about 8 days, and the area with high spatial dose rate at that time showed immediate declining trend. Among the radioactive nuclides emitted from the same nuclear power plant, cesium-134 and 137 have long physical half-life, and deposit in soil, on roofs, on the outer walls of the buildings, etc. for a long time, and so the risk of childhood thyroid cancer was confused at first to be caused by external exposure. Shipment and consumption restrictions on food, concerning radioactive iodine and the amount of cesium, began with the milk of Fukushima Prefecture and the spinach of Fukushima, Ibaraki, and Tochigi Prefectures on March 21. The safe interim standard value on food, which causes a maximum annual internal exposure dose of 5 mSv, was set in the end of March after the accident, and the shipment restriction and ingestion restrictions on food exceeding the target value were conducted. As of April 2012, a lower annual internal exposure dose of 1mSv was set in order to respond the public request of safer restriction.

Unfortunately, based on the weather survey data, radiation data, and the information on radioactive material discharge immediately after the accident, wind velocity and SPEEDI (System for Prediction of Environmental Emergency Dose Information), which was scheduled to predict and calculate the air concentration of a radioactive material, radiation dose, etc., could not operate due to insufficient information on the source of emission. In many cases, the actual external exposure dose was quite low, however, due to the shielding effect of the buildings.
The estimation of the external radiation exposure dose in people residing in Fukushima at the time of the earthquake is currently being conducted as the basic investigation for prefectural health management survey by Fukushima Prefecture\(^{(21)}\). The study protocol for this survey was published elsewhere\(^{(22)}\). According to the data from the original basic survey targeting the residents of the evacuation prepared zone, maximal estimated external exposure dose among 9,747 people (excluding the radiation operation workers) of Kawamata-machi (Yamakiya area), Namie-machi, and Iitate-mura, which was carried out as a pilot investigation for 4 months until July 11, 2011, was 23 mSv. Thus, 94.6% of residents were estimated to be exposed to less than 5 mSv per year, and 99.3% were under 10 mSv. The most recent data released from Fukushima Prefecture on August 2014 indicated that the average dose of more than 450,000 residents during 4 months is below 1 mSv. Fukushima residents’ health management survey committee evaluated these results as “it is difficult to consider the health impairment caused by radiation”. Although the situation regarding the possibility of radiation-related health risk remains unclear, health management and efforts for continuous reduction of future radiation exposure, such as decontamination and avoidance of contaminated foods, are required.

On the other hand, children in Iitate-mura and Iwaki prefecture have been predicted with possible thyroid exposure which may reach 100 mSv by SPEEDI, even though they were outside the 20-km range. According to the report on the thyroid internal exposure estimation, which was conducted from March 26 to 30 just after the nuclear power plant disaster, the equivalent dose of 100 mSv was not confirmed. From this result, any increase in thyroid cancer outside the evacuation area would hardly be expected. Yet, according to the report by Hiroasaki University\(^{(23)}\), equivalent dose for the thyroid might have reached 10 mSv in the infants who stayed within the range of 20 km at the time of the accident; undoubtedly it is necessary to observe these individuals for a long period of time. Direct measurement of internal exposure in the very early period after the accident suggests quite a low possibility of any stochastic health effect\(^{(24)}\).

WHO released the estimates of exposure doses around Fukushima in May 2012. By applying SPEEDI, based on conservative assumption (i.e. without taking refuge for four months after the accident in the evacuation prepared area and limiting the consumption of the food that were restricted for shipment and ingestion), the prediction data are calculated from a viewpoint of protection on the assumption of overestimation\(^{(25)}\). According to the report, a 1 year-old child’s thyroid equivalent dose is 10–100 mSv in Minami-soma, Iwaki, Iitate-mura, prepared evacuation area, and 1–10 mSv in prefectures adjacent to Fukushima. However, it should be noted that the actual values based on direct measurements as outlined above are markedly lower.

THYROID ULTRASOUND EXAMINATION IN FUKUSHIMA

At and during the time when the thyroid exposure dose was unknown, in order to overcome radiation fear and unexpected future risk of late-occurrence of thyroid cancer in children and also to respond the strong request to screen all the children’s thyroid gland, the thyroid ultrasound examination was carefully planned and implemented 7 months after the accident. In addition to the basic investigation of dose estimation in Fukushima, the following: 1) thyroid ultrasound examination, 2) health checkup, 3) examination regarding the mental health performance and lifestyle, and 4) the examination of expecting and nursing mothers, have been commenced and their progress has been uploaded at the homepage of Fukushima Medical University\(^{(26)}\). From the results of the environmental radiation dose estimates and thyroid radiation dose investigation, there are very few health effects, if any, that might be expected. However, thyroid ultrasound examination was started from October 2011 for approximately 370,000 people 18 years or younger, based on the knowledge of the rise in thyroid cancer risk in a group exposed to radiation through radioactive iodine ingestion during childhood in Chernobyl. As a result, the initial thyroid ultrasound examination of approximately 38,000 people among 48,000 candidates (approximately 80%) of the evacuation prepared zone was finished by March 2012, and the examination area was expanded sequentially from Fukushima city after May 2012. Diagnostic criteria and protocol are introduced and evaluated by the external committee by thyroid specialists through cooperation with associated academic societies to make a decision regarding the need of precision management and image evaluation of the thyroid ultrasound diagnosis and a secondary examination. Most were judged as normal but recognized examples of minute node, benign findings (cyst, etc.) exist, and the standardization of the diagnostic imaging and observation process was also attained\(^{(27)}\). The findings regarded as suspicious that require secondary examination (a detailed ultrasound examination, blood test and urinalysis, cytological diagnosis, etc. as needed) was about 0.5%. Although minute change such as the A2 finding is detected at a relatively high frequency due to the improvement in ultrasound diagnostic technology, it is necessary to pay attention to the change with qualitative diagnosis over time. Hereafter, medical examination based inside and outside the prefecture is organized by introduction and accuracy control of these criteria, and the thyroid examination for all the persons fulfilling the inclusion criteria will be performed over next two years until the
CONCLUSION

The declining credibility of the Japanese governmental and official bodies just after the accident may worsen the difficult situation of radiation fear and anxiety. The Fukushima nuclear power plant accident has also severely shuttered public faith in the academic societies in Japan. Therefore, it is important to regain public trust and narrow the gaps in knowledge between the experts and the public on the stochastic effects of low-dose radiation exposure, where a large uncertainty exists, and on public health emergency and radiocombination from the nuclear disaster, which poses a real problem. So far the only concern is about the increase of thyroid cancer risk among children based on the consequences of Chernobyl. In this respect, it is necessary to establish a system for a long-term follow-up for all the children because the unprecedented accident really took place in Fukushima. In parallel, more practical preparatory countermeasure planning and actual implementation of radiation emergency medical preparedness before the accident is needed.

From the Fukushima nuclear power plant accident, a variety of problems are exposed in the initial governmental correspondence. In particular, the re-examination of evacuation prepared area, the pre-distribution of stable iodine pills, interaction with the residents after an accident, the re-examination of public risk communication, and the development of an optimal guideline for the revival and restoration after the accident are necessary. The debating and even contradictory issues of handling subclinical and asymptomatic thyroid cancer should be answered not only in childhood but also in adult patients referring the accumulated data and international standardized guidelines. We need to carefully analyze the thyroid ultrasound examination data at the standpoint not only of a screening bias and exaggerated discovery rate of thyroid diseases but also of treatment strategy and outcome. The appropriate guidelines of clinical management of childhood thyroid cancer need to be established since high detection rate of childhood thyroid diseases has already been reported in other areas in Japan using the same ultrasound screening methodology.

Finally, whenever we introduce any countermeasure to the public, especially after the nuclear accident, more profound attention and deliberation should be paid involving any stakeholder to collaborate and cooperate in establishing comprehensive radiation health risk management.
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