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M1 and M2 Monocytes in Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Contribution of Imbalance of M1/M2 Monocytes to Osteoclastogenesis

Shoichi Fukui1,2, Naoki Iwamoto1*, Ayuko Takatani1, Takashi Igawa1, Toshimasa Shimizu1, Masataka Umeda1,3, Ayako Nishino1,4, Yoshiro Horai1,5, Yasuko Hirai1, Tomohiro Koga1,6, Shin-ya Kawashiri1,2, Mami Tamai1, Kunihiro Ichinose1, Hideki Nakamura1, Tomoki Origuchi1,7, Ritsuko Masuyama8, Kosuke Kosai9, Katsunori Yanagihara9 and Atsushi Kawakami1

Objectives: We investigated the relationships among M1 monocytes, M2 monocytes, osteoclast (OC) differentiation ability, and clinical characteristics in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods: Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from RA patients and healthy donors, and we then investigated the number of M1 monocytes or M2 monocytes by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. We also obtained and cultured CD14-positive cells from PBMCs from RA patients and healthy donors to investigate OC differentiation in vitro.

Results: Forty RA patients and 20 healthy donors were included. Twenty-two patients (55%) were anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA) positive. The median M1/M2 ratio was 0.59 (0.31–1.11, interquartile range). There were no significant differences between the RA patients and healthy donors. There was a positive correlation between the M1/M2 ratio and the differentiated OC number in vitro in RA patients (ρ = 0.81, p < 0.001). The ACPA-positive patients had significantly higher M1/M2 ratios in vivo (ρ = 0.028) and significantly greater numbers of OCs in vitro (ρ = 0.005) than the ACPA-negative patients. Multivariable regression analysis revealed that the M1/M2 ratio was the sole significant contribution factor to in vitro osteoclastogenesis. RA patients with M1/M2 ratios >1 (having relatively more M1 monocytes) had higher C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rates than RA patients with M1/M2 ratios ≤1. M1-dominant monocytes in vitro produced higher concentrations of interleukin-6 upon stimulation with lipopolysaccharide than M2 monocytes.
INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most common form of inflammatory arthritis and is characterized by inflammation and matrix destruction of bone and cartilage (1). RA affects approximately 1% of the world’s population (2). Bone resorption (i.e., bone erosion, a hallmark of RA) was demonstrated histopathologically to be caused mainly by osteoclasts (OCs) (3). OCs are differentiated from monocytes and macrophages through the stimulation of receptor activator for nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) in the presence of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) (4). Increased in vitro osteoclastogenesis was observed in RA patients (5).

Monocytes can differentiate into proinflammatory, microbicidal M1 macrophage, or anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage subtypes (6). The concept of M1 macrophages and M2 macrophages was formulated by mirroring the Th1/Th2 polarization concept (7). Macrophages are activated toward M1 macrophages by infectious microorganism-related molecules such as lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) and by inflammatory cytokines such as interferon-γ (7). M1 macrophages can produce toxic effector molecules such as reactive oxygen species and nitric monoxide, and inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1β, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and IL-6 (8). Conversely, M2 macrophage polarization is observed in response to Th2-related cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13 (9). Anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β are also associated with M2 macrophage polarization (10).

There is growing evidence that an imbalance of M1 macrophages and M2 macrophages is associated with many diseases such as asthma (11), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (12), atherosclerosis (13) and tumors (14). Interestingly, in addition to macrophages, regarding monocyte subsets, M1 monocytes and M2 monocytes mirroring the M1/ M2 macrophage polarization concept were suggested, and they are reported to be associated with diabetes mellitus (15) and hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis (16). These M1/M2 monocyte subsets are different from the traditional monocyte subsets defined by CD14 and CD16 expression (17). However, the roles of this novel concept of monocytes in the etiology of various diseases have not been elucidated. Moreover, little is known regarding the relationships between osteoclastogenesis and M1/M2 monocyte subsets.

In this study, we investigated the relationships among M1/M2 monocyte subsets and the differentiation ability of OCs, which are derived from monocytes. We also sought to elucidate the relationships among M1 and M2 monocyte subsets, osteoclastogenesis, and the clinical backgrounds of RA patients.

Conclusion: M1/M2 monocytes imbalance strongly contributes to osteoclastogenesis of RA patients. Our findings cast M1 and M2 monocyte subsets in a new light as a new target of treatments for RA to prevent progression of osteoclastic bone destruction.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We enrolled 40 patients with RA who were followed at Nagasaki University Hospital between January and July 2016. Their RA was diagnosed based on the ACR/EULAR 2010 RA classification criteria (18). We collected demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the RA patients and treatments for RA at the time of the monocyte subset analyses. We also examined the patients’ clinical disease activities of RA as disease activity score (DAS) 28-erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and DAS 28-C reactive protein (CRP) at the time of the monocyte subset analyses. We defined the rheumatoid factor (RF)-positive status as >15.0 U/mL (upper normal value, measured by a latex-enhanced immunonephelometric assay; Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany).

We also defined the anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA)-positive status as >4.5 U/mL [upper normal value, measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA); DIASTAT Anti-CCP, Axis-Shield, Dundee, UK].

We evaluated the radiographic severity of peripheral joint damage at entry according to Steinbrocker stage (19). In addition, we defined Steinbrocker stage I or II as non-erosive disease and Steinbrocker stage III or IV as erosive disease.

The patients’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1. We also enrolled 20 healthy donors to compare to the RA patients. This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Investigation and Ethics Committee at Nagasaki University Hospital (approval number: 12072361). Patients and healthy donors gave their informed consent to be subjected to the protocol.

Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting

For the identification and quantification of circulating monocyte subsets in whole peripheral blood samples, we performed fluorescence-activated cell sorting (i.e., a FACS analysis). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from whole blood using Lymphoprep (Cosmo Bio, Tokyo). PBMCs were stained for the examination of the expression of CD14, CD68, CCR2, CX3CR1, CD163, and CD206 using PE-conjugated anti-CD14 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), FITC-conjugated anti-CD68 (DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA, USA), Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-CCR2 (BD Biosciences), FITC-conjugated anti-CX3CR1 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA), and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-CD163 and APC-conjugated anti-CD206 (all from BD Biosciences) monoclonal antibodies. FITC-conjugated mouse IgG2b, FITC-conjugated rat IgG2b, AlexaFluor647-conjugated mouse IgG2b,
AlexaFluor 647-conjugated mouse IgG1 and APC-conjugated mouse IgG1 (all from BD Biosciences) were used isotype controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>31 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at entry (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>63 (49–77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0–15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid factor positive, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACPA-positive, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender 28-joint count, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1 (0–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen 28-joint count, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/h), median (IQR)</td>
<td>18 (8–36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dL), median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02–0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGA, VAS 0–100 mm, median (IQR)</td>
<td>20 (11–55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28-ESR</td>
<td>3.0 (1.9–4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28-CRP</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5–3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant MTX use, n (%), dose (mg/week)</td>
<td>18 (45%), 5 (4–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant prednisolone use, n (%), dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>22 (65%), 8 (6–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biologics, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (43) (7 TNF inhibitors, 5 TCZ, 5 ABT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinbrocker stage</td>
<td>I: 23, II: 4, III: 3, IV:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosive disease (Steinbrocker class: III or IV), n (%)</td>
<td>13 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range; ACPA, anticitrullinated protein antibody; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; PIGA, patient global assessment; VAS, visual analog scale; DAS, disease activity score; MTX, methotrexate; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TCZ, tocilizumab; ABT, abatacept.

Osteoclastogenesis
At the same time as the FACS analysis, we purified CD14-positive cells from whole peripheral blood from the RA patients and healthy donors using CD14 M-pluriBead (pluriSelect, Spring Valley, CA, USA). We cultured CD14-positive cells (1 × 10^5 cells per well) in a 96-well dish with Octeclast Precursor BulletKit™ Medium containing l-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin, M-CSF, and RANKL (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) so that the cells would differentiate to OCs. We changed the medium three times a week. At day 12, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and AlexaFluor 647-conjugated mouse IgG1 and APC-conjugated mouse IgG1 (all from BD Biosciences) were used isotype controls.

We defined positive CD14, CD68, and CCR2 monocytes (Figure 1A), and in separate tubes, we defined positive CD14, CX3CR1, and CD163 or CD206 monocytes as M2 monocytes (Figure 1B). First, we gated monocytes in a forward scatter/sideward scatter (FSC/SSC) dot-plot. Second, we gated CD14-positive monocytes within monocytes. Finally, we gated M1 or M2 monocytes using isotype controls within CD14-positive monocytes. At the same time, PBMCs were stained with a PE-conjugated anti-CD14 and a PerCP-Cy5.5-conjugated anti-CD16 (BD Biosciences) monoclonal antibodies. We also quantified three types of monocytes: classical CD14^+CD16^−, intermediate CD14^+CD16^+, and nonclassical CD14^−CD16^+ monocytes (Figure 1C) (17).

The relative frequency of these monocyte subsets is expressed as percent within the CD14-positive cell gate. Experiments were performed using a FACS Canto II Flow Cytometer and FACS Diva software (BD Biosciences).
stained with tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) using a TRAP staining kit (Cosmo Bio).

We defined OCs as TRAP staining-positive cells with >3 nuclei. We counted the OCs in the whole wells. Three wells were used to count OCs from one patient or one healthy donor, and we defined the average of the numbers of OCs of three wells as “the numbers of OCs.”

**Pit Formation Assay**

Pit formation assays were performed using Dentin Slice (from Ivory, Thin Type for pit formation assay, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan.). CD14-positive monocytes were cultured with Octeoclast Precursor BulletKit™ Medium on the dentin slices for 17 days. Resorbed lacunae were visualized by Mayer’s hemalum solution (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) staining, and the relative resorbed area was measured under a microscope (BZ-9000, Keyence, Tokyo). Resorbing lacunae were quantified using Image J software (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The relative area of resorbing lacunae is expressed as the percent of the area to the entire dentin slice.

**Stimulation Assays and ELISA**

In another set of experiments, CD14+ CX3CR1+ CD163+ (M2 monocyte) cells were isolated from PBMCs derived from healthy donors by FACS. We used the rest of the CD14-positive cells as M1–dominant monocytes because M1 monocytes could not be isolated by FACS due to the intracellular expression of CD68. They were incubated in MEM Alpha medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (all from Gibco, Basel, Switzerland) for 24 h in a 12-well dish at 5 × 10⁴ cells per well. Cells were stimulated for 24 h with LPS (100 ng/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or phosphate-buffered saline as a control, and supernatants were obtained. Proteins in the cultured supernatants were detected by ELISA using an IL-6-specific ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Absorption was measured at 450 nm.

**Statistical Analysis**

Variables are described using frequencies for categorical variables and with the median and interquartile range (IQR) for quantitative variables. We assessed the association between variables using Wilcoxon’s rank sum test for quantitative variables. Correlations were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Univariate and multivariable regression models were performed to determine the factors that contribute to the number of OCs. All tests were two-sided, and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Statistical Software, ver. 11 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and GraphPad Prism ver. 7.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

**RESULTS**

**The Monocyte Subsets (Including the M1/M2 Ratio) Showed No Difference between the RA Patients and Healthy Donors**

As shown in Table 2, we compared RA patients with healthy donors for each monocyte subset. The population of CD206-positive M2 monocytes was too small to examine (0–0.5% within CD14-positive cells). Therefore, we redefined positive CD14, CX3CR1 and CD163 monocytes as M2 monocytes (Figure 1B). The median M1 and M2 monocyte percentages in CD14-positive cells were 16.7 and 40.0% in the RA group and 25.8 and 29.7% in the healthy donor group, respectively, with no significant differences between the RA and healthy groups. The RA and healthy groups had nearly equal M1/M2 ratios (0.60 vs. 0.62, respectively; p = 0.88). Likewise, the distribution of monocyte subtypes divided by CD14 and CD16 expression showed no significant differences between the RA patients and healthy donors. There was no correlation between the M1/M2 ratio and these subsets (Figures 1D–F).

**Positive Correlation between the M1/M2 Ratio and Greater Number of OCs**

Monocytes are capable of differentiating into OCs. We next investigated the osteoclastogenic ability of M1/M2 monocytes. There was a significantly positive correlation between the M1/M2 ratio and the number of OCs in the RA patients (p = 0.81, p < 0.001) (Figure 2A). In contrast, there was no significant correlation between the M1/M2 ratio and the number of OCs in the healthy donors (p = 0.30, p = 0.196) (Figure 2B). The numbers of OCs in vitro were significantly correlated with the area percentage of the pit formation area (p = 0.74, p = 0.001) (Figure 2C), which demonstrated that the RA patients who had lower M1/M2 ratios and fewer OCs had smaller resorbed areas (Figure 2D) compared to the RA patients who had higher M1/2 ratios and greater numbers of OCs (Figure 2E).

**The ACPA-Positive RA Patients Had Higher M1/M2 Ratios and Greater Numbers of OCs**

To explore the factors that influence the M1/M2 subsets in RA, we analyzed the influence of RF/ACPA positivity on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monocyte subset</th>
<th>RA patients, n = 40</th>
<th>Healthy donors, n = 20</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical monocytes (%)</td>
<td>82.6 (76.4–86.5)</td>
<td>82.4 (74.7–85.6)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate monocytes (%)</td>
<td>11.0 (8.5–14.9)</td>
<td>10.1 (7.4–16.8)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonclassical monocytes (%)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.1–4.0)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.9–4.4)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 monocytes (%)</td>
<td>16.7 (6.6–40.1)</td>
<td>25.8 (11.0–43.9)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD163-positive M2 monocytes (%)</td>
<td>40.0 (11.9–67.1)</td>
<td>29.7 (23.1–68.2)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD206-positive M2 monocytes (%)</td>
<td>0 (0–0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0–0.2)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1/M2 ratio</td>
<td>0.60 (0.32–1.11)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.32–1.45)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of OCs per well, median (IQR)</td>
<td>61 (38–81)</td>
<td>68 (34–118)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentage within CD14-positive cells.
IQR, interquartile range; OCs, osteoclasts.
M1/M2 subsets. There were no significant differences between the RF-positive RA patients and the RF-negative RA patients regarding the M1/M2 ratio and the number of OC (Figures 3A, B). In contrast, the ACPA-positive patients had significantly higher M1/M2 ratios (0.87 vs. 0.41, \( p = 0.028 \)) (Figure 3C) and greater numbers of OCs (76 vs. 47 per well, \( p = 0.005 \)) (Figure 3D). We show an ACPA-negative patient’s OCs in vitro (Figure 3E) and those of an ACPA-positive patient (Figure 3F).

There were no significant differences between erosive RA patients at entry (\( n = 13 \)) and the non-erosive RA patients at entry (\( n = 27 \)) regarding the M1/M2 ratio and the number of OC (Figures 4A, B). In addition, there were no significant differences between RA patients treated with biologics (\( n = 17, 7 \) patients treated with TNF inhibitors, 5 patients treated with tocilizumab, and 5 patients treated with abatacept) and RA patients treated without biologics (\( n = 23 \)) regarding the M1/M2 ratio and the number of OC (Figures 4C, D).

The M1/M2 Imbalance Is a Strong Contribution Factor for Osteoclastogenesis

Anticitrullinated protein antibody is a well-known bone erosion promoting factor in RA (20). To elucidate which factor is crucial for osteoclastogenesis, we investigated factors that may contribute to the number of OCs by performing univariate and multivariable analyses (Table 3). In the univariate analysis, the positivities for RF and ACPA and the M1/M2 ratio significantly contributed to the number of OCs. However, the multivariable regression analysis revealed that the M1/M2 ratio was the sole significant factor contributing to the number of OCs (β-coefficient: 16.3, \( p < 0.0001 \)).

An M1/M2 Ratio > 1 Is Associated with Higher ESR and CRP Values and Greater Numbers of OCs

An M1/M2 ratio > 1 means that there are relatively more M1 than M2 monocytes. We divided the RA patients into two groups: those with an M1/M2 ratio > 1 (\( n = 13 \)) and those with an M1/M2 ratio ≤ 1 (\( n = 27 \)). There were no significant differences of CRP levels between M1/M2 ratio > 1 group and M1/M2 ratio ≤ 1 group (Figure 5A). Because tocilizumab is known to normalize CRP levels (21), we excluded patients treated with tocilizumab (\( n = 5 \)) to evaluate CRP levels. As a result, the CRP levels were significantly higher in the M1/M2 ratio > 1 group (0.08 vs. 0.45 mg/dL, \( p = 0.032 \)) (Figure 5B). In addition, the M1/M2 ratio > 1 group, which included patients treated with tocilizumab, had significantly higher ESR values (9 vs. 29 mm/1 h, \( p = 0.011 \)) (Figure 5C). The M1/M2 ratio > 1 patients had significantly higher numbers of OCs (47 vs. 100 cells per well, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 5D).

High IL-6 Levels of Culture Supernatants of M1-Dominant Monocytes by LPS Stimulation

To analyze the function of M1/M2 monocytes in inflammation, we extracted M2 monocytes from whole monocytes and
Figure 3 | There were no significant differences between the rheumatoid factor (RF)-positive patients and RF-negative patients regarding (A) the M1/M2 ratio and (B) the number of osteoclasts. Anticitrullinated protein antibody (ACPA)-positive patients had (C) significantly higher M1/M2 ratios (0.87 vs. 0.41, \( p = 0.028 \)) and (D) greater numbers of osteoclasts (76 vs. 47 per well, \( p = 0.005 \)). (E) ACPA-negative patients had fewer osteoclasts in vitro compared to the ACPA-positive patients (F).

This is the first study to show the possible relation of M1/M2 subsets with the pathogenesis of RA. We demonstrated that RA patients with positive ACPA had higher M1/M2 ratios and greater numbers of OCs. The patients with relatively more M1 monocytes in peripheral blood (M1/M2 ratio > 1) had higher ESR and CRP values and greater numbers of OCs.

To the best of our knowledge, a relationship between RA and M1/M2 monocyte subsets had not been reported prior to this study. However, research regarding CD68 and CD163 in inflammatory arthritis has been described. It was reported that CD68, one of the M1 markers, positive synovial cells are mainly located in the sublining layer of rheumatoid synovial tissues, and the changes of the sublining of these cells were associated with clinical improvement by anti-rheumatic treatment (22). It was also reported that soluble CD163 in sera, one of the M2 markers, could be a diagnostic marker for macrophage activation syndrome in juvenile inflammatory arthritis (23). CD163 can bind and internalize hemoglobin–haptoglobin complexes, which leads to the strong anti-inflammatory effects by the release of IL-10 and carbon monoxide (24). CD163 may be produced to address overwhelming inflammatory reaction by macrophage activation syndrome in juvenile inflammatory arthritis.

There are two hypotheses regarding M1/M2 monocyte subsets and osteoclastogenesis. First, M1 monocytes may have higher osteoclastogenic differentiation ability than M2 monocytes. Second, higher M1/M2 ratios may create a proinflammatory environment that can induce more OC differentiation through some types of cytokines and chemokines, since M1-dominant monocytes produce excess amounts of IL-6, one of the most important osteoclastogenic cytokines in RA (25).
There were no significant differences between erosive rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients at entry (n = 13) and the non-erosive RA patients at entry (n = 27) regarding (A) the M1/M2 ratio and (B) the numbers of osteoclasts. There were no significant differences between RA patients treated with biologics (n = 17) and RA patients treated without biologics (n = 23) regarding (C) the M1/M2 ratio and (D) the numbers of osteoclasts.

An association between ACPA, which predicts erosive disease (20), and high M1/M2 ratios was observed in the present study, but it appears likely that varying pathogenic mechanisms may lead to a final common pathway, resulting in macrophage activation as well as OC differentiation. In this regard, our multivariable analysis which revealed that a high M1/M2 ratio, not positivity of ACPA, was the sole contributing factor to osteoclastogenesis in vitro suggests that there may be another mechanism underlying the increase in the M1/M2 ratio that does not involve ACPA. One of the possible ACPA-independent mechanisms contributing to in vitro osteoclastogenesis might be the intrinsic characteristic of M1 monocytes and/or the inflammatory condition derived by M1 monocytes.

In the meantime, polyclonal ACPA and some of the monoclonal ACPAs are reported to enhance OC differentiation from monocytes of RA patients in vitro (26). It is also reported that ACPA immune complex could induce the monocytes’ production of inflammatory cytokines (27). Considering these results, one possible mechanism is that ACPA may bind to monocytes, creating an inflammatory environment and increasing the M1/M2 ratio, resulting in enhanced OC activation. However, this hypothesis cannot be supported by our multivariable analysis. Accordingly, the associations among the M1/M2 ratio, ACPA and osteoclastogenesis must be elucidated.

Our present RA patients with M1/M2 ratios >1 (indicating more M1 monocytes than M2 monocytes) had higher levels of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-Coefficient (SE)</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
<td>β-Coefficient (SE)</td>
<td>p-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at entry</td>
<td>−0.399431 (0.244509)</td>
<td>0.1078</td>
<td>0.0188827 (0.215319)</td>
<td>0.9306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female to male)</td>
<td>3.170388 (5.547482)</td>
<td>0.5699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration</td>
<td>0.0139225 (0.040506)</td>
<td>0.7482</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient visual analog scale</td>
<td>0.0264302 (0.261145)</td>
<td>0.9199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender joint count</td>
<td>1.4795168 (1.214523)</td>
<td>0.2307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling joint count</td>
<td>2.4993797 (2.294888)</td>
<td>0.2830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>0.3104651 (0.275281)</td>
<td>0.2665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>7.5399988 (6.1201)</td>
<td>0.2256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF positivity</td>
<td>14.15476 (6.843849)</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
<td>3.206615 (4.857558)</td>
<td>0.5135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACPA positivity</td>
<td>18.16667 (5.96073)</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>4.292139 (4.690373)</td>
<td>0.3664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1/M2 ratio</td>
<td>12.725356 (2.410721)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>16.284653 (2.022948)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACPA, anticitrullinated protein antibody; RF, rheumatoid factor; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein.
ESR and CRP compared to the RA patients with M1/M2 ratios ≤1. Monocytes from preeclampsia patients with surface receptors characteristic of the M1 monocytes were reported to produce higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as higher TNF-α and IL-12p40/70 (28). These results as well as our finding that M1 monocytes produce more IL-6 support the idea that RA patients with M1/M2 ratios >1 had higher ESR and higher CRP through inflammatory cytokine networks.

Our study has some limitations. First, we should have used M1 monocytes instead of M1-dominant monocytes. However, we could not sort M1 monocytes by fluorescence-activated cell sorting because CD68 expresses in cytoplasm. A new method to obtain M1 monocytes without deconstruction of the cell membrane is needed. Second, we did not evaluate time courses of changes of radiographic bone erosions. Our data finding that a higher M1/M2 ratio results in more osteoclastogenesis in vitro should be confirmed clinically by radiographic findings of bone erosions over time.

In conclusion, our present findings demonstrated that the M1/M2 ratio is strongly correlated with the in vitro differentiation of OCs in patients with RA. M1 and M2 monocyte subsets may become a new target of treatments for RA to prevent progression of bone erosions.
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