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**Abstract**

The pathogenic fungus *Candida glabrata* is often resistant toazole antifungal agents. Drug efflux throughazole transporters, such as Cdr1 and Cdr2, is a key mechanism ofazole resistance and these genes are under the control of the transcription factor Pdr1. Recently, the monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A) inhibitor clorgyline was shown to inhibit the azole efflux pumps, leading to increasedazole susceptibility in *C. glabrata*. In the present study, we have evaluated the effects of clorgyline on susceptibility of *C. glabrata* to not only azoles, but also to micafungin and amphoterericin B, using wild-type and several mutant strains. The addition of clorgyline to the culture media increased fluconazole susceptibility of a *C. glabrata* wild-type strain, whereas micafungin and amphoterericin B susceptibilities were markedly decreased. These phenomena were also observed in other medically important *Candida* species, including *Candida albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida tropicalis*, and *Candida krusei*. Expression levels of *CDR1*, *CDR2* and *PDR1* mRNAs and an amount of Cdr1 protein in the *C. glabrata* wild-type strain were highly increased in response to the treatment with clorgyline. However, loss of Cdr1, Cdr2, Pdr1, and a putative clorgyline target (Fms1), which is an ortholog of human MAO-A, or overexpression of *CDR1* did not affect the decreased susceptibility to micafungin and amphoterericin B in the presence of clorgyline. The presence of other azole efflux pump inhibitors including milbemycin A4 oxime and carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone also decreased micafungin susceptibility in *C. glabrata* wild-type, Δcdr1, Δcdr2, and Δpdr1 strains. These findings suggest that azole efflux pump inhibitors increase azole susceptibility but concurrently induce decreased susceptibility to other classes of antifungals independent of azole transporter functions.
Introduction

The pathogenic fungus *Candida glabrata* is the second most common cause of candidemia and is relatively resistant toazole antifungal agents [1]. A key mechanism of azole-resistance is the reduction in the intracellular drug concentration, which is achieved by activation ofazole transporters, such as Cdr1 and Cdr2 (formerly denoted Pdh1) [2±4]. Inhibition of these transporters is one effective way for combating azole-resistance. A recent study discovered that the monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A) inhibitor clorgyline inhibits the activity of azole efflux pumps, such as Cdr1, Cdr2, and Mdr1, leading to increased azole susceptibility in *C. glabrata* and *Candida albicans* [5]. Clorgyline suppresses the oxidation of human MAO-A and has been used as an antidepressant drug [6], and may be useful for the treatment of heart failure [7] and prostate cancer [8], suggesting that clorgyline might be a candidate for combination with azole antifungals.

Antifungals of the echinocandin class or polyene class have been suggested to be effective for treatment of candidiasis caused by *C. glabrata* [9]. However, recent epidemiological surveys have revealed the emergence of increasing numbers of isolates that have decreased susceptibility to echinocandins, particularly among fluconazole resistant isolates [10±12]. Furthermore, it has also been reported that polyene susceptibility is attenuated in azole-resistant isolates [11].

In the present study, we have evaluated the effects of clorgyline on susceptibility of *C. glabrata* to not only azoles, but also to echinocandin and polyene antifungals, using wild-type and several mutant strains, including Δcdr1 and Δcdr2 strains.

Materials and methods

Strains and culture conditions

The *C. glabrata*, *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei* strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Cells were grown at 30°C in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% dextrose; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI), minimal medium (MIN) (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids [Difco Laboratories], 2% dextrose), synthetic complete medium (SC), or SC lacking tryptophan (SC-trp) [13].

Table 1. Strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype or description</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBS138</td>
<td><em>Candida glabrata</em> Wild type</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001T</td>
<td>Δtrp1 (a derivative of CBS138)</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001HT</td>
<td>Δhis3, Δtrp1 (a derivative of CBS138)</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUE200</td>
<td>Δhis3, Δtrp1 (a derivative of 2001HT)</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-C1</td>
<td>Δcdr1:: TRP1 (made from 2001T)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-C2</td>
<td>Δcdr2:: TRP1 (made from 2001T)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-C3</td>
<td>Δpdr1:: HIS3, Δtrp1 (made from KUE200)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-C4</td>
<td>Δfms1:: HIS3, Δtrp1 (made from 2001HT)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-C5</td>
<td>2001T containing pCgACT-PC1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG11</td>
<td>2001T containing pCgACT-P</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC5314</td>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em> wild type</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 90018</td>
<td><em>Candida parapsilosis</em> wild type</td>
<td>American type culture collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 750</td>
<td><em>Candida tropicalis</em> wild type</td>
<td>ATCC, Manassas, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 6258</td>
<td><em>Candida krusei</em> wild type</td>
<td>ATCC, Manassas, VA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.t001
Plasmid and strain construction

The primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. Sequence information of *C. glabrata* genes was obtained from the *Candida* genome database (http://www.candidagenome.org). To construct an overexpression plasmid for *CDR1*, a 4.5-kb BamHI-SaiI PCR fragment containing *C. glabrata* *CDR1* open reading frame was inserted into the BamHI-SaiI site of pCgACT-P [14] and expressed under the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* PGK1 promoter (pCgACT-PC1).

*C. glabrata* deletion strains were constructed using the one-step PCR-based technique, described previously [15,16]. Briefly, a deletion construct was amplified from either pBSK-HIS (pBluescript II SK+ [Stratagene, La Jolla, CA] containing *C. glabrata* HIS3 at the XhoI site) or pBSK-TRP (pBluescript II SK+ containing *C. glabrata* TRP1 at the XhoI site) using primers tagged with 100-bp sequences homologous to the flanking regions of the target open reading frame. *C. glabrata* parent strains were subsequently transformed with the deletion construct, and the resulting transformants were selected by tryptophan or histidine prototrophy, as appropriate [15]. Successful homologous recombination was verified by diagnostic PCR and the absence of mRNA expression of the target genes was also confirmed by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (real-time qRT-PCR) (data not shown). Transformation of *C. glabrata* was performed using the lithium acetate protocol, as described previously [17]. *CDR1*-overexpressed and its control strains were constructed by transformation of 2001T with pCgACT-PC1 and pCgACT-P, respectively, selected by tryptophan prototrophy, and verified by immunoblotting.

Compounds

Clorgyline (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in distilled water and stored at 4°C. Fluconazole (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and stored at 4°C. Amphoterin B (Sigma Aldrich), carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP; Sigma Aldrich) and milbemycin A4 oxime (Novartis Animal Health, Basel, Switzerland) was dissolved in DMSO and stored at -20°C. Micafungin (Astellas, Tokyo, Japan) was dissolved in distilled water and stored at -20°C.

Susceptibility test

To examine the dose-dependence of the effects of clorgyline on fluconazole susceptibility, a checkerboard assay was performed in triplicate, in three independent experiments. MIN was used instead of RPMI, because RPMI broth mixed with a high concentration of clorgyline became turbid. The effects of clorgyline on micafungin and amphoterin B susceptibility were also examined by checkerboard assays using SC broth buffered to pH7.0. Two-fold dilutions of each compound were aliquoted into a 96-well plate. Each well was then inoculated with cell suspension at the final concentration of 5 × 10^2 cells/well. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h. Cell growth was monitored using an absorption spectrometer at 620 nm. Minimum drug concentration that inhibits growth by more than 80% relative to drug free control was defined as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) was calculated by the following formula: FIC for drug A = MIC of drug A in combination with drug B/MIC of drug A alone. The sum of FIC for drug A and FIC for drug B was defined as FIC index (FICI). Drug interaction was classified as synergistic if its FICI was 0.5 or less than 0.5, and was classified as antagonistic if its FICI was greater than 4, as described previously [18].

A spot dilution test was carried out as described previously [14]. Briefly, logarithmic-phase cells grown in MIN broth or SC broth were harvested and adjusted to the concentration of 2 × 10^7 cells/ml. Serial 10-fold dilutions were then prepared, and 5 µl of each dilution was
Table 2. Primers used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For gene deletion</th>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3') (sequences homologous to flanking regions of the target gene are shown in italics. Sequences shown in bold exist in pBSK-HIS, or pBSK-TRP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR1 100-F</td>
<td>CDR1</td>
<td>GGACCTGGACTTGGACCTTTGGAAGTTATATAAAACCTGTGGCAAATCTCTTTGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR1 100-R</td>
<td>CDR1</td>
<td>GATGATAAACAAACATGAACTTAAATTATAATATTAAAAATAAAATCTATGAAATTTATAAAAACTAATAGTTTTCCGAATGCAATATGTATTAATACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR2 100-F</td>
<td>CDR2</td>
<td>CTGGGACGGTATTGGGTTGGGTTGGTATTGGGTTGGGAAAGGACACCCACCTGCTCAGTAAAACAAATTATTACAACATAACAAATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR2 100-R</td>
<td>CDR2</td>
<td>CGATGGTTTACATGAAAAGTCAACCAAACACAATATATTGGTCGATTAGTTCTTTGTTTAGACAGATATGGTTTAATTAAAGTAGCATAAATTGTCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgPDR1 100-F</td>
<td>PDR1</td>
<td>CTTCGTACCCCATATCGTATTGCCATTGTGATATGGAAATTAGTGTTTTATTCTGCCTTTTTTTAGAATATATTGGTAAAGTCATTCTTTAGCTACGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgPDR1 100-R</td>
<td>PDR1</td>
<td>CTAAGTCTCATGTAAAATTATGCAACATAACCACTAAAAAATGATTTTTCAGATTAAATAATAATACAGGCTATGCACACTGTCTAAATTAATAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgFMS1 100-F</td>
<td>FMS1</td>
<td>CGAGAACAAATTAAGAGGCACACGGAACGAAGGGAATATACACCCGCCACCCATCAACTGGAAGGGTGAAATCATTTGTAAAAAAAGGCACCAAATATAAAAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgFMS1 100-R</td>
<td>FMS1</td>
<td>GTATTTAAAGTTCTTTTTTTGTTAATAAATATTCCGACCAAAAATAGCACGCAACGCCATTGTGCATATTTTAAATACAGAAAAAGGAATACGTACAAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For real-time qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cg18S-F</td>
<td>TGACTCAACAOOGGAAACCTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cg18S-R</td>
<td>CACTCAACAOOGGAAACCTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgPDR1-F</td>
<td>CAAATTCATCACCACTTACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgPDR1-R</td>
<td>GTGCTTTAGATACCACCTGTGTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR1-F</td>
<td>ACCATCTCCCTGTGCTTTACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR1-R</td>
<td>TCCTTTGAAAGGGCTGGGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR2-F</td>
<td>TCTGAAGACACAGGCGCAGCTATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgCDR2-R</td>
<td>CTGGGCGTCACCAAAATATAATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgFMS1-F</td>
<td>GCCTGACACAGGGTGTTAGGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgFMS1-R</td>
<td>AAAGAGCAGGGTCAAGGAGGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.t002
spotted onto an agar plate containing a test compound at the desired concentration. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 h.

Immunoblotting

Anti-Cdr1 and Cdr2 antibodies were kindly provided by Dr. Dominique Sanglard. Anti-Pgk1 (OriGene EU, Herford, Germany) and rabbit IgG-hrp (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) antibodies were purchased. Liquid-cultured *C. glabrata* strains at logarithmic-phase were harvested and lysed using Minute Total Protein Extraction Kit for Microbes with Thick Cell Walls (Invent Biotechnologies, Plymouth, MN) according to its instruction. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). Each protein was detected using the indicated antibodies, an enhanced chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and ChemiDoc Touch imaging system (BIO-RAD).

Real-time qRT-PCR

To examine expression levels of the genes associated with azole efflux, as well as *FMS1*, logarithmic-phase cells grown in YPD broth were adjusted to 2 × 10⁷ cells/ml and then further incubated in the presence of 80 µg/ml of clorgyline for up to 4 h. Total RNA was extracted at the indicated time points, using a FastRNA Pro Red Kit (Qbiogene, Carlsbad, CA).

Real-time qRT-PCR was then performed as described previously [19]. Briefly, first strand cDNA was synthesized with a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), using 1 µg of total RNA as template, in a final volume of 20 µl, and 3 µl of the resulting cDNA was then used as the template for individual PCRs. These reactions were performed using gene-specific primers (Table 2) and a QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen). Real-time qRT-PCR was performed using a 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Extraction of RNA and real-time qRT-PCR were performed in triplicate, in three independent experiments.

Results and discussion

Clorgyline improves fluconazole-susceptibility in *C. glabrata*

In a previous study, the chemosensitizing effect of clorgyline to fluconazole in *C. glabrata* was demonstrated by a disk diffusion assay, and the clorgyline MIC in *C. glabrata* was reported to exceed 40 µg/ml [5]. To test the effect of clorgyline at a concentration higher than 40 µg/ml on growth in *C. glabrata*, and to test the effect of clorgyline on the fluconazole MIC, we performed a checkerboard assay using serial 2-fold dilutions of these two compounds. At 48 h, clorgyline did not inhibit the growth of *C. glabrata* at a concentration of up to 160 µg/ml, but at 320 µg/ml, clorgyline inhibited the growth slightly; thus, the MIC of clorgyline may exceed 320 µg/ml. The MIC of fluconazole for *C. glabrata* was 256 µg/ml, whereas this decreased to 64 µg/ml in the presence of 160 µg/ml clorgyline (Fig 1A). On the other hand, the MIC of clorgyline for *C. glabrata* decreased to 80 µg/ml in the presence of 128 µg/ml fluconazole. According to these data, the FICI of these two drugs are less than 0.5, indicating synergism. This effect of clorgyline on fluconazole susceptibility was also supported by spot dilution test (Fig 1B).

The lowest concentration of clorgyline that elicits a chemosensitizing effect on fluconazole was 80 µg/ml. This concentration was sufficient to inhibit azole transporters in the *C. glabrata* wild-type strain. We therefore used 80 µg/ml clorgyline in the subsequent experiments, although further evaluation may be needed to detect a more appropriate dose in other *Candida* species.
Micafungin-and amphotericin-B-susceptibility is markedly decreased in the presence of clorgyline in *Candida* strains

As reported previously, echinocandin and amphotericin B MIC levels for fluconazole-resistant *Candida* isolates tend to be higher than those for fluconazole-susceptible isolates [11,12]. In some reports, it was suggested that overexpression of an azole transporter, particularly Cdr2p, may slightly decrease echinocandin susceptibility in *C. albicans* [20±22]. Therefore, we examined whether theazole transporter inhibitor clorgyline affects susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in main *Candida* species including *C. glabrata*, *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei*, which account for about 95% of clinical isolates of *Candida* species [23]. Addition of 80 µg/ml of clorgyline to a MIN plate did not inhibit any of the strains tested in this study. Contrary to our expectations, clorgyline markedly decreased micafungin- and amphotericin B-susceptibility (Fig 2). In some strains, antagonistic effects of clorgyline with micafungin (Table 3) and amphotericin B (Table 4) were observed as high FICI that exceeded 4 in checkerboard assays, while the other strains also showed slightly decreased susceptibility to micafungin or amphotericin B in the presence of clorgyline. The attenuation of micafungin and amphotericin B activity by this agent has never been reported. If this phenomenon is mediated via inhibition of an azole efflux pump, the strategy of chemosensitization by other efflux pump inhibitors may lead to an adverse effect when treatment is switched from azoles to other antifungals, such as echinocandins and polyenes.

The effect of clorgyline on micafungin- and amphotericin B- susceptibility may not be caused directly by azole transporter inhibition in *C. glabrata*

A previous report has shown that deletion of genes encoding azole efflux pumps, such as *CDR1, CDR2*, and *MDR1*, does not alter caspofungin susceptibility in *C. albicans* [24]. However overexpression of these pumps slightly decreases echinocandin susceptibility [21,22]. It is
still unclear whether the azole transporters Cdr1 and Cdr2 are involved in the efflux of echinocandins. It has previously been shown that clorgyline inhibits rhodamine 6G efflux in a recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain expressing *C. albicans* CDR1 and CDR2 [5]. Although rhodamine 6G is a known substrate of Cdr1 and Cdr2 in both *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* [25], the precise mechanism by which clorgyline inhibits these azole transporters is not clear. To investigate the clorgyline-mediated inhibition of azole transporters at the mRNA expression level, we performed real-time qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig 3A, clorgyline did not inhibit mRNA expression of *C. glabrata* CDR1, CDR2, or PDR1, but markedly elevated the expression of these genes.

It may be possible that clorgyline alters the substrate specificity of azole transporters, and that activation of Cdr1 or Cdr2, or Pdr1 are involved in transport of echinocandins and polyenes. To investigate this hypothesis, we constructed *C. glabrata* Δcdr1, Δcdr2, and Δpdr1 strains and compared the micafungin- and amphotericin B-susceptibility of these strains in the

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.g002)

**Fig 2. Effects of clorgyline on susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in Candida wild-type strains.** Spot dilution tests were performed using MIN plates as described in the legend of Fig 1B. Clorgyline was added at a final concentration of 0 μg/ml or 80 μg/ml. Micafungin (MCFG) final concentrations: *C. glabrata*, 0.06 μg/ml; *C. albicans*, 0.08 μg/ml; *C. parapsilosis*, 0.25 μg/ml; *C. tropicalis*, 0.06 μg/ml; and *C. krusei*, 0.08 μg/ml. Amphotericin B (AMPH-B) final concentrations: *C. glabrata*, 0.5 μg/ml; *C. albicans*, 0.5 μg/ml; *C. parapsilosis*, 1 μg/ml; *C. tropicalis*, 0.5 μg/ml; and *C. krusei*, 2 μg/ml. Candida wild-type strains: *C. glabrata*, CBS138; *C. albicans*, SC5314; *C. parapsilosis*, ATCC 90018; *C. tropicalis*, ATCC 750; and *C. krusei*, ATCC 6258.

Table 3. Interaction of micafungin and clorgyline against *Candida* species determined by fractional inhibitory concentration index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>MIC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (μg/mL)</th>
<th>MCFG</th>
<th>Clor</th>
<th>MCFG/Clor</th>
<th>FICI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. glabrata</em> CBS138</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25/80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em> SC5314</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25/80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. tropicalis</em> ATCC 750</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25/80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. krusei</em> ATCC 6258</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25/80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. parapsilosis</em> ATCC 90018</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2/40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MCFG, micafungin; Clor, clorgyline; FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.t003
presence and absence of clorgyline. The \(\Delta cdr1\) and \(\Delta pdr1\) strains showed increased fluconazole susceptibility, but the susceptibility of \(\Delta cdr2\) strain was equal to the wild-type strain (data not shown), in accordance with previous reports [26,27]. As shown in Fig 3B, deletion of \(C.\ glabrata\ CDR1, CDR2,\) and \(PDR1\) did not change the clorgyline effect on micafungin- and amphotericin B- susceptibility.

We also performed western blot analysis to investigate the clorgyline-mediated effect on Cdr1 and Cdr2 protein expression. The treatment with clorgyline increased not only \(CDR1\) mRNA expression (Fig 3A) but also the amount of Cdr1 protein (Fig 3C) in \(C.\ glabrata\). On the other hand, the amount of Cdr2 protein was decreased in the presence of clorgyline for unknown reasons (Fig 3C). In \(C.\ albicans\), Cdr1 plays a role in maintaining membrane asymmetry and alterations in membrane lipid composition affects Cdr1 functions [28,29]. In addition, altered sphingolipid biosynthesis resulting in the accumulation of certain long-chain bases affects echinocandin susceptibility in \(C.\ albicans\) and \(C.\ glabrata\) [30,31]. Therefore, a possible hypothesis is that decreased susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in the presence of clorgyline may be due to downstream effects of Cdr1 overproduction on membrane composition. To evaluate the effect of overproduction of Cdr1 protein on micafungin and amphotericin B susceptibility, we constructed a \(CDR1\)-overexpressing strain in \(C.\ glabrata\) and an increase in the amount of Cdr1 protein was confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig 3D). As shown in Fig 3E, overexpression of \(CDR1\) did not affect the micafungin- and amphotericin B- susceptibility.

These results suggest that clorgyline decreases the micafungin- and amphotericin B- susceptibility independent of the functions of Cdr1, Cdr2, and Pdr1.

Function of \(C.\ glabrata\) Fms1, a putative ortholog of human MAO-A, does not affect the decreased susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in the presence of clorgyline

The molecular target of clorgyline in humans is MAO-A, and its homolog in \(C.\ albicans\) is \(CBP1\), encoding a corticosteroid-binding protein [5,32]. It has previously been suggested that clorgyline may act on Cbp1 and affect plasma membrane proteins, such as efflux pumps [5]. \(S.\ cerevisiae\) Fms1 is a homolog of \(C.\ albicans\) Cbp1, and is involved in sterol synthesis [33]. The pairwise alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of the \(C.\ glabrata\) \(FMS1\) ortholog (CAGL0M07612g) and \(S.\ cerevisiae\) \(FMS1\) exhibited 42% identity and 57% similarity (ClustalW alignment with MacVector software version 15.1). Real-time qRT-PCR showed that addition of clorgyline increased mRNA levels of \(FMS1\) in the \(C.\ glabrata\) wild-type strain (Fig 4A).

Next, we generated a strain lacking \(C.\ glabrata\) \(FMS1\) and evaluated its susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in the presence and absence of clorgyline. As shown in Fig 4B, the effect of clorgyline on micafungin- and amphotericin B- susceptibility was not attenuated in
Fig 3. (A) Time-course analysis of PDR1, CDR1, and CDR2 expression in the C. glabrata wild-type strain. Logarithmic-phase cells were prepared in YPD broth and clorgyline was added at the concentration of 80 μg/ml. Cells were incubated at 30°C with agitation and total RNAs were extracted at indicated time points. PDR1, CDR1, and CDR2 mRNA abundance was measured by real-time qRT-PCR and normalized by using 18S rRNA as an internal control. Data were expressed as expression ratio relative to the mRNA abundance.
immediately before the clorgyline addition (time point 0). The mean ± SE of three independent experiments are shown. (B) Effects of clorgyline on susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in C. glabrata wild-type strain and CDR1, CDR2, and PDR1 mutant strains. Spot dilution tests were performed as described in the legend of Fig 1B. Final drug concentrations: clorgyline, 80 μg/ml; micafungin (MCFG), 0.03 μg/ml; and amphotericin B (AMPH-B), 1.25 μg/ml. C. glabrata strains: wild-type, CBS138; Δcdr1, TG-C1; Δcdr2, TG-C2; and Δpdr1, TG-C3. (C) Time-course analysis of Cdr1 and Cdr2 expression in the C. glabrata wild-type strain CBS 138. Logarithmic-phase cells were incubated at 30°C with 80 μg/ml of clorgyline in YPD broth. Total proteins were extracted at indicated time points, separated by SDS-PAGE, and blotted to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Each protein was detected using the indicated antibodies. (D) Expression of Cdr1 protein in the wild-type control and CDR1-overexpressing (CDR1-OE) strains evaluated by western blot analysis as described above. C. glabrata strains: Wild type, TG11; and CDR1-OE, TG-CS. (E) Micafungin and amphotericin B susceptibility of the CDR1-overexpressing (CDR1-OE) strain. Spot dilution tests were performed using SC-trp plates as described in the legend of Fig 1B. Final drug concentrations: clorgyline, 80 μg/ml; micafungin (MCFG), 0.025 μg/ml; and amphotericin B (AMPH-B), 1.25 μg/ml. C. glabrata strains: Wild type, TG11; and CDR1-OE, TG-CS.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.g003

**Fig 4.** (A) Time-course analysis of FMS1 expression in C. glabrata wild-type strain. Cell culture, RNA extraction, real-time qRT-PCR, and data presentation were performed as described in the Materials and Methods section and Fig 3A legend. (B) Effects of clorgyline on susceptibility to micafungin and amphotericin B in the C. glabrata wild-type and FMS1 mutant strains. Spot dilution tests were performed as described in the legend of Fig 1B. Final drug concentrations: clorgyline, 80 μg/ml; micafungin (MCFG), 0.03 μg/ml; and amphotericin B (AMPH-B), 1.25 μg/ml. C. glabrata strains: Wild type, CBS138; and Δfms1, TG-C4.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180990.g004
the $\Delta fms1$ strain, compared to its parental strain, suggesting a possibility that clorgyline may have other target molecules in *C. glabrata*.

**Azole transporter inhibitors other than clorgyline also decrease micafungin susceptibility of *C. glabrata***

The above findings indicated that the effect of clorgyline on micafungin susceptibility may not be caused by efflux of micafungin by an azole transporter. It is possible that clorgyline may directly interfere with the activity of micafungin, without a cellular response. To investigate this, we employed an efflux pump inhibitor other than clorgyline, such as milbemycin [25,34] and CCCP [35±38], and tested their effects on micafungin susceptibility in *C. glabrata*. Neither of these agents affected the control growth of any of the strains. Similarly to clorgyline, these two agents also decreased micafungin susceptibility, regardless of the presence or absence of *CDR1, CDR2*, and *PDR1* (Fig 5).

As all three azole transporter inhibitors attenuated the micafungin susceptibility, it seems unlikely that all three these compounds may similarly interact with micafungin. We cannot completely discount the involvement of azole transporters in micafungin susceptibility, because we have not yet investigated phenotype of a $\Delta cdr1 \Delta cdr2$ double deletion strain. Furthermore, the function of upstream regulators of azole transporters remains to be investigated.
Conclusion

In this study, we observed unexpected effects of clorgyline on antifungal susceptibility in the 5 most common species of Candida. Fluconazole susceptibility was increased but susceptibilities to micafungin and amphotericin B were decreased in the presence ofazole transporter inhibitors, including clorgyline, CCCP, and milbemycin A4 oxime, in C. glabrata, independently of functions ofazole efflux pumps. Although the details of the underlying molecular mechanism and clinical relevance remain to be studied, our results may provide a clue to the discovery of a novel mechanism of micafungin- and amphotericin B-resistance in pathogenic fungi.
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