Case report

Reconstruction of tracheocutaneous fistula with a rhomboid flap
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ABSTRACT

Various complications associated with tracheal stomas have been reported, including mechanical trauma to the peristomal skin, infection, folliculitis, granuloma, and fistula. Among them, a tracheocutaneous fistula generally requires surgical repair. A number of methods have been reported for reconstruction of fistulas using musculoscutaneous flaps or free flaps. However, those surgical techniques are all designed for complete close of the tracheocutaneous fistula and stoma, while partial closure of the stoma around the indwelling tracheal tube is not well described in the literature. We report on the use of a rhomboid flap for partial closure of a tracheal stoma. The rhomboid flap is a local flap that is frequently used by plastic surgeons because of its broad applications and not being very invasive. This is a low invasive and simple technique for partial closure of an excessively enlarged stoma.

1. Introduction

A number of complications associated with tracheal stomas have been reported, such as mechanical trauma to the peristomal skin, infection, folliculitis, granuloma, and fistula. Among them, tracheocutaneous fistula needs surgical treatment [1], and various methods have been reported for fistula reconstruction using musculoscutaneous flaps and free flaps([2,3]). However, those techniques are intended for complete close of the tracheocutaneous fistula and stoma. In contrast, partial closure of a stoma with the tracheal tube in situ is not well described. Here we report a patient who underwent partial closure and local flap reconstruction together with a review of the literature.

1.1. Case presentation

A 37-year-old woman with chronic type 2 respiratory failure had used noninvasive positive pressure ventilation at night for several years and also suffered from recurrent pneumothorax. She developed severe respiratory failure and recurrent right pneumothorax was diagnosed. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery was performed under general anesthesia. However, tracheostomy was needed because of postoperative complications. Subsequently, she developed multiple problems, including high fever, pneumonia, and pyothorax, and could not be weaned from mechanical ventilation. Long-term pressure from the tracheal tube caused enlargement of the stoma and exposed the balloon (Fig. 1). Therefore, the respiratory department consulted the plastic surgeons at 6 months after tracheotomy. On examination, the stoma was excessively large and the balloon was exposed with instability of the tube. We decided to perform reconstruction of the tracheal stoma by using a rhomboid flap. Under general anesthesia, the flap was raised and its tip was folded to make a base for supporting the tube (Fig. 2). The operating time was about 20 minutes, and the patient could eat a meal and take a bath on the same day. Removal of sutures was done at seven days after operation. At 1 month after reconstruction of the tracheal stoma, the patient was discharged from hospital on ventilator support (Fig. 3). There has been no recurrence of tracheal stoma enlargement at 1 year after surgery.

2. Discussion

Numerous methods have been reported for closing a tracheal stoma, such as direct closure or use of local flaps, myocutaneous flaps, and free flaps. However, the surgical techniques that have been described in the literature are intended for complete close of the stoma [2,4,5], while our patient only needed partial closure. The patient had several complications, including thin skin, low body weight, malnutrition, and recurrent pneumothorax. In addition, a tracheal stoma was still required for ongoing ventilator support, but the tracheal tube was very unstable and...
the balloon was exposed because the stoma had become enlarged. Therefore, we needed a method of stoma reconstruction that showed low invasiveness and was rapid, and that could be done with the tube in situ. Direct closure is the simplest method, but there were disadvantages for this patient. The suture line would be located below the tracheal tube after direct closure, which is the site most affected by pressure from the tube, so suture separation could easily occur. Also, creating a straight scar under the tube and caudal to the stoma could lead to undesirable stoma deformity and pain. Accordingly, we performed reconstruction of the fistula with a Limberg flap.

There are various transposition flaps, including rectangular or parabolic transposition flaps, note flaps, bi-lobar flaps, Z-plasty, and rhomboid flaps. We used a Limberg flap, which is a type of rhomboid flap [6]. The rhomboid flap is a local flap commonly employed by plastic surgeons due to its very low invasiveness and broad utility. In our patient, respiratory management by an anesthesiologist was required because of respiratory complications, but we can usually raise a rhomboid flap under local anesthesia. The classic rhombic flap was reported by Limberg, and there are a number of variations of this flap. The Limberg flap is used for a rhomboid defect with two opposing angles of 60° and two of 120°. As stated previously, it was necessary to cover the balloon by partially closing the stoma and creating a margin of the tracheal stoma that would withstand the pressure of the tube or balloon.

3. Conclusion

We reported a patient who underwent partial closure of a tracheal stoma. The Limburg flap is suitable for reconstruction of a tracheal stoma, and we can raise an additional flap near the stoma when complete closure becomes possible. This technique shows low invasiveness and is easy to employ for partial closure of an excessively enlarged stoma.
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