Kidney- and Site-Selective Delivery of 5-Fluorouracil Utilizing the Absorption on the Kidney Surface in Rats

Shigeru Kawakami,* a Tomomi Horimoto, a Koyo Nishida, a Ryu Hiyayama, a Takahiro Mukai, a Mikiro Nakashima, b Hitoshi Sasaki, b Toshiyuki Sakaeda, c and Junzo Nakamura a

a School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagasaki University; 1–14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852–8521, Japan; b Department of Hospital Pharmacy, Nagasaki University School of Medicine; 1–7–1 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852–8501, Japan; and c Department of Hospital Pharmacy, School of Medicine, Kobe University; 7–5–2 Kusunoki-cho, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650–0017, Japan. Received February 25, 2002; accepted April 9, 2002

The present study was undertaken to elucidate the kidney- and site-selective delivery of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) utilizing the absorption on the kidney surface in rats. An experimental system utilizing a cylindrical diffusion cell attached to the right kidney surface was established. After intravenous administration of 5-FU, the concentration of 5-FU in the right and left kidneys was almost the same and was rapidly eliminated. After right kidney surface application of 5-FU, however, the concentration of 5-FU in the right kidney was significantly higher than in the left kidney and other tissues. The 5-FU concentration in four sites of the right kidney after intravenous administration was almost the same. In contrast, 5-FU was site selectively delivered in the kidney after kidney surface application. The blood concentration of 5-FU was low (<1.7 μg/ml) until 120 min after kidney surface application. The maximum blood concentration of 5-FU after kidney surface application was much lower than after intravenous administration.
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Renal cell carcinoma is the common name applied to adenocarcinoma of the kidney, a tumor arising in the kidney. In recent years, the tendency to perform ultrasonography, computed tomography, or even magnetic resonance imaging has led to increased detection of small, localized, and asymptomatic tumors. 1) The current principal treatment for renal cell carcinoma is surgical nephrectomy. For the majority of patients who have metastatic or advanced renal cell carcinoma that is not curable surgically, chemotherapy remains of limited value because response rates of less than 20% are achieved. 2) Therefore kidney- and site-selective delivery methods must be developed for anticancer drugs for the clinical treatment of renal cell carcinoma. To enhance the efficacy of anticancer drugs and to reduce their toxicity, much attention has been focused on renal cell carcinoma. The renal arterial administration of poly (styrene-co-maleic acid)-conjugated neocarzinostatin (SMANCS)/lipiodol, 3,4) microcapsule chemotherapy, 5,6) or doxorubicin-incorporated microspheres 7,8) were studied to target delivery of anticancer drugs to the unilateral kidney. The combined uses of various anticancer drugs by intravenous and oral administration were reported to enhance the therapeutic effects against renal cell carcinoma. 9) When the drugs were administered by the vascular route, they were distributed through the whole body via the blood stream, leading to inadequate kidney- and site-selective drug delivery.

In the present study, we examined the kidney- and site-selective delivery of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) utilizing absorption on the kidney surface in rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals 5-FU was purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Inc. (Kyoto, Japan). All other chemicals were of reagent grade.

In Vivo Experiments All experiments in the present study were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation of Nagasaki University. Male Wistar rats (260—310 g) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg i.p.). After the right peritoneum was dissected about 3 cm, a cylindrical diffusion cell (i.d. 9 mm, effective area 0.64 cm²) was attached to the right kidney surface with Aron Alpha (Sankyo Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and 5-FU (50 mg/ml×0.1 ml) was added to the diffusion cell directly (Chart 1). The body temperature of the rats was maintained at 37°C with a heat lamp during the experiment. As control experiments, 5-FU (50 mg/ml×0.1 ml) was injected into the jugular vein. At the predetermined times, the rats were killed, and the liver, lung, right kidney, left kidney, spleen, heart, and lung were removed. To evaluate the intrarenal distribution of 5-FU, the kidney was divided from the reniportal structure, and they were further separated into the site under the diffusion cell and the site not under the diffusion cell (Chart 2). The tissues were weighed and homogenized in a two-fold volume of phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4.

Chart 1. Experimental Procedures

Key words 5-fluorouracil; kidney; targeting; drug delivery system; rat; anticancer drug
The stationary phase used was a YMC-Pack ODS-A (302) determined by modifying the reported methods. Briefly, the concentration of 5-FU in each tissue homogenate or blood was determined using analysis of variance (p < 0.05 was considered to be indicative of statistical significance. Each value represents the mean ± S.E. of at least four experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5-FU Assay Procedures in Blood and Tissues The concentration of 5-FU in each tissue homogenate or blood was determined by modifying the reported methods. Briefly, the tissue homogenates (300 μl) and blood samples (300 μl) were added to a solution of 5-bromouracil (20 μg/ml, 150 μl) dissolved in isotonic phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) as an internal standard, 1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0, 100 μl), and 20% anhydrous sodium sulfate (500 μl). The mixtures were shaken with ethyl acetate (4 ml) for 10 min and centrifuged at 900 × g for 10 min. The organic layers (3 ml) were collected. Then ethyl acetate (4 ml) was added to the residue and the mixtures were shaken for 10 min, and thereafter centrifuged at 900 × g for 10 min. The organic layers (4 ml) were collected and the mixed organic layers (7 ml) were evaporated. The extraction residues were dissolved in 500 μl of distilled water and were washed twice with 1.0 ml of hexane. Samples (100 μl) were injected onto the HPLC column. The recovery rates for extraction of 5-FU from blood and each tissue homogenate were approximately 75%. The detection limits for extraction of 5-FU from blood and each tissue homogenate was 0.2 μg/ml. An HPLC system (LC-6A, Shimadzu Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) with a variable-wavelength UV detector (SPD-10A, Shimadzu) was used in reverse-phase mode. The detector wavelength, flow rate, and column temperature were set at 266 nm, 0.7 ml/min, and 25 °C, respectively. The mobile phase consisted of 10 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0). The stationary phase used was a YMC-Pack ODS-A (302) column (150 mm length × 4.6 mm i.d., YMC Inc., Kyoto, Japan).

Statistical Analysis Statistical comparisons were performed using analysis of variance. p < 0.05 was considered to be indicative of statistical significance.

5-FU is commonly used in clinical oncology practice and is among the drugs active against renal cell carcinoma in the extensive list of drugs reviewed by Yagoda et al. Therefore, we studied the feasibility of the kidney- and site-selective delivery of 5-FU following the application of 5-FU on the kidney surface in rats. Although oral administration of 5-FU has been used for convenience, this administration route has the disadvantage that the oral bioavailability of 5-FU is low and erratic. Therefore, intravenous administration of 5-FU was selected as a control for kidney surface application.

First, we established an experimental system utilizing a cylindrical diffusion cell attached to the right kidney surface in rats (Chart 1). This system enables us to examine drug absorption from the kidney surface without interference by absorption from the other sites. Figure 1 shows the tissue concentration profiles of 5-FU for up to 180 min after intravenous administration or kidney surface application of 5-FU. After intravenous administration of 5-FU, the concentration of 5-FU in the right and left kidneys was almost the same and the drug was rapidly eliminated (Fig. 1A). After right kidney surface application of 5-FU, on the other hand, the concentration of 5-FU in the right kidney was significantly higher than in the left kidney and other tissues (Fig. 1B), and was specifically detected in the right kidney at 60, 120, and 180 min. Figure 2 shows the intrarenal distribution of 5-FU 120 min after intravenous administration or kidney surface application of 5-FU. To evaluate the intrarenal distribution of 5-FU, the right kidney was divided from the reniportal structure, and were further separated into the site under the diffusion cell and the site not under the diffusion cell (Chart 2). 5-FU concentrations in all sites of the right kidney after intravenous administration of 5-FU were almost the same (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the concentration of 5-FU in site 1 after kidney surface application of 5-FU was approximately 4.2-, 2.5-, and 6.2-fold higher than those in site 2, site 3, and site 4, respectively (Fig. 2B).

The side effects of 5-FU are important problems to overcome in cancer chemotherapy. The common clinical systemic side effects of 5-FU are myelosuppression, stomatitis, nausea, emesis, and diarrhea after intravenous and/or oral ad-
administration, and they might be caused by the high initial blood concentration of 5-FU. Accordingly, the blood concentration profiles of 5-FU after intravenous administration or kidney surface application were evaluated as an index of the systemic side effects of 5-FU (Fig. 3). After kidney surface application of 5-FU, a low blood concentration (<1.7 μg/ml) was seen until 120 min. The maximum blood concentration of 5-FU after kidney surface application was much lower than after intravenous administration. These results suggest that the kidney surface application of 5-FU could diminish the systemic side effects during treatment for renal cell carcinoma.

The direct injection route is not suitable for targeted site-selective drug delivery with high blood flow, because directly injected drugs are rapidly cleared from the injected site, followed by drainage into the systemic circulation. On the other hand, 5-FU was site selectively delivered to the kidney utilizing the absorption on the kidney surface (Fig. 1B), because 5-FU was supplied from kidney surface without injuring the kidney. Such information should be useful in the development of a novel administration route for drug delivery to targeted sites in the kidney.

In summary, we demonstrated the kidney- and site-selective delivery of 5-FU utilizing absorption on the kidney surface in rats. Direct injection of drugs can injure the organs with the syringe needle; consequently they were limited to inject the drug continually. In contrast, kidney surface application dose not stress the kidney, and continuous catheter administration may be possible to enable long-term application of 5-FU. Furthermore, kidney- and site-selective drug delivery could be utilized with drugs for the treatment of the various renal diseases as well as renal cell carcinoma.
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